MANUSCRIPT THESES

Unpublished theses submitted for the master's and doctor's degrees and deposited in the Louisiana State University Library are available for inspection. Use of any thesis is limited by the rights of the author. Bibliographical references may be noted, but passages may not be copied unless the author has given permission. Credit must be given in subsequent written or published work.

A library which borrows this thesis for use by its clientele is expected to make sure that the borrower is aware of the above restrictions.

IOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

THE CONFEDERATE SOUTH, 1865-1910; A STUDY

IN THE SURVIVAL OF A MIND AND A CULTURE

A Dissertation

Submitted to the Graduate Faculty of the Louisiana State University and Agricultural and Mechanical College in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

1n

The Department of English

by Richard M. Meaver B. A., The University of Kentucky, 1932 May, 1943 UMI Number: DP69255

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



UMI DP69255

Published by ProQuest LLC (2015). Copyright in the Dissertation held by the Author.

Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC. All rights reserved. This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code



ProQuest LLC. 789 East Eisenhower Parkway P.O. Box 1346 Ann Arbor, MI 48106 - 1346

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to thank John Crowe Hanson, new of Kenyon Gollege, Gambier, Ohio, for first awakening his interest in "the Lost Gause." He is indebted to H. Arkin Turner for careful editorial supervision, and to Cleanth Brooks and Hobert Penn Marren for steady encouragement and fruitful suggestions. He expresses to the libraries of Columbia University, Duke University, The University of North Carolina, and the University of Virginia his appresistion of their kindness in granting the use of facilities; and he thanks the library staff of The Louisiana State University for assistance in research.



11

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER		PACE
I.	THE HERITAGE	1
	1. The Feudal System	2
	2. The Code of Chivalry	20
	3. The Education of the Centleman	40
	4. The Older Religiousness	77
II.	WRITING THE APOLOGIA	98
	1. The Case at Law	103
	2. The Attack upon Secular Democracy	135
	3. The Particularism of Peoples	149
	4. The Theory of Bace	175
III.	THE TESTINONY OF THE SOLDIER	190
	1. Why He Fought	199
	2. The Saga of Confederate Valor	219
	3. The Christian Marrior	235
	4. The Character of the Enemy	243
IV.	DIARIES AND REMINISCENCES OF THE SECOND	
	AMERICAN REVOLUTION	26 8
	1. The Northern Aggressor	277
	2. Southern Leadership	280
	3. The Class System	290
	4. The Scourge of the Invader	301
	5. The Negroes in Transition	309
	6. The Tragedy of Defeat	326

CHAPTER	PAGE
V. FICTION ACROSS THE CHASH	335
1. Advocates of the Old Begime	337
2. The Beginnings of Critical Realism	379
3. Humorous Satire	407
4. Realism	418
VI. THE SOUTH AS A CONSCIOUS MINORITY	429
1. The Last Confederate Offensive	437
2. The South in the Perspective of	
H1story	450
3. The First Liberals	466
4. The South in the Nation	480
BIBLIOGRAPHY	498
VITA	517

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this investigation is to study the cultural and spiritual unity of the South as it revealed itself after the Civil War. The examination covered every variety of published material in which attitudes popularly regarded as Southern are to be found. The underlying body of sentiment points to the following conclusions.

The South is the only region of the United States to have established and preserved a culture on the European pattern, and its mind has remained substantially the mind of Europe before the French Revolution. The Southern heritage has a fourfold root in the European past: the feudal system of the plantation resembled the medieval monor; the code of honor had its encestry in the tradition of Christian chivalry: the dominant ideal of education envisaged the creation of an aristocratic class; and the acceptance of religion as revealed truth suggests the Old World before the Great Reformation. The medieval synthesis of which these were parts began to disintegrate in the sixteenth century, but there would seem to be a regular tendency for institutions in process of decline in Europe to move to America and there enjoy a revival. Under these conditions the South became so distinctive in character that in 1861 it found itself in military

¥

conflict with the industrialized North, which represented the "reformed" Europe.

The ideological struggle between South and North sontinued long after the defeat of the Confederacy. Foreseeing the dissolution of the cultural pattern, Southern apologists undertook to defend all conservative institutions, basing their case on racism, on the particularism of peoples, and on the necessity of divine sanctions. They denied the perfectibility of man and argued for the restoration of a class society reflecting distinctions in morality and knowledge. The writings of Albert Taylor Bledsoe, Edward A. Pollard, Robert Lewis Dabney, and others, which continue the Southern polemic tradition well into the postbellum period, constitute a critique of modernism.

The bulk of Southern literature for 1865 to 1910 consisted of the autobiographies of soldiers and the diaries and reminiscences of civilians. Only in rare cases are these distinguished writing, but they are comparatively realistic in attitude, and they reveal an unsophisticated Agrarian people trying to comprehend the changes which were preparing the way for a new age. Not until the writing of fiction commenced on a wide scale did the remantic aspects of the South receive emphasis.

Although fiction showed a fairly steady progress from remance to realism, it never ceased to mirror Southern ideals. Novelists of the early postbellum period

V1

were adherents of the old regime, who accepted its values without question. John Esten Cooke, Thomas Nelson Page, and Augusta Evans Wilson created stories in tone and highly romantic in content. They were followed, however, by a group which, even while utilizing romantic local settings, found it necessary to ask some questions about the Southern social order. George W. Cable, James Lane Allen, Grace King, and Charles Egbert Craddock indicate a nascent realism. Later came humorous satirists such as Opie Read and F. Hopkinson Smith, and in 1897 appeared Ellen Glasgow, in whose work "realism crossed the Potomac, moving North."

The last decade of the century was a period of mixed trends. The surviving Confederates launched a final offensive of considerable strength, but this was offset by the activities of a group of liberals, particularly in North Carolina. Southern-born scholare such as Basil Gildersleeve, William P. Trent, and Woodrow Wilson discarded sectional interpretations and discussed the South in the perspective of history. The advent of industrialiem began to make itself felt, and profit-and-loss considerations arose to obscure the inherited beliefs. By 1910 the mind of the South was divided between a nostalgia for the old, settled way of life with its fixed relationships and the rewards of the new progressivism. A sense of

vii

cultural apartness remained, but defenses of the Bouthern heritage became contimental and unrealistic in comparison with the work of the early apologists, who had grounded their arguments on first principles.

The South was the last part of the Mestern world to be defeated by the ideological forces of the French Nevolution. The breaking down of what is called Southern culture is thus not an isolated phenomenon, but part of a world-wide drift toward cultural anarchy and social chaos. The protest of the South against the national trend has been a protest against relativism, pragmatism, and sentimental optimism. The South made the mistake of over-formalizing its tradition and of cutting itself off from sources of vitality, but it was historically right in its recognition that the creation of forms and the preservation of distinctions resting upon equitable bases are essential to civilization.

The chief need of the section today is a philosophic understanding of what it has stood for.

viii

CHAPTER I

THE HERITAGE

The mind of the South, which has been conspicuous for its resistance to the dissolving tendencies of the modern world. is a traditional mind in the sense that it shows definite roots in the past. The habit of contemporary analysis has been to treat it in terms of superficial contrasts, and to overlook the possibility that it derives from conceptions more fundamental in human nature and in history than those which some modern philosophies envisage. The most obvious of these is the feudal theory of society, which, although a transplantation from the Old World, appeared in the South so natural a principle of organization that the Southern people have not to this day been persuaded to abandon it. There have been several elaborate descriptions of Southern feudalism as an economic system, but little has been said about its positive effect upon Southern character. Another is the code of chivalry, a romantic idealism, closely allied with Christianity, which makes honor the touchstone of all conduct. Connected with this is the ancient concept of the gentleman: first fully developed by Aristotle and handed down through Castiglione, Sir Thomas Elyot, and others, it significantly presupposes a stable social

order and a theory of class education. Finally there is a religiousness, very difficult of explication because, having little relation to creeds, it stands close to the historic religiousness of humanity. It may be described here as a sense of the inscrutable, which leaves man convinced of the existence of supernatural power and intelligence, and leads him to the acceptance of life as a mystery. Often it takes the form of simple belief in Providence. All of these existed as determining forces in the antebellum South and bind together the peculiar complex of Southern culture today.

1. The Feudal System

The South developed as an agricultural region through the institution of a feudal system. The type of society which it created was patterned on an order then declining in Europe, but in the New World it grew to great proportions, modified by features of land, and elimate, and especially by the presence of negro slavery. The impulse behind its origin was both economic and political: a large estate under central management and worked by laborers who were bound to their station proved the best means of acquiring wealth from the soil of Virginia, and the settlers here, as in the other Southern

colonies. were principally immigrants who had come to make their fortunes. From the incorrigible gentleman idlers of whom Captain John Smith complained in his despatches te England, to the host of indentured servants who poured into the settlements in the seventeenth century, dwellers in the South aspired to acquire estates and become masters. and although many did not progress beyond the status of yeomen or small farmers, the plantation ideal was dominant in the general ordering of Southern life. Politically the feudal structure was desirable because by making the owner of broad acres true lord of the domain it simplified administration. Lord Baltimore recognized this when in Maryland he offered manorial powers to those able to take up large holdings. Some three score estates were granted on such terms and were run more or less in the fashion of an English medieval manor until in the course of time they turned into its American counterpart, the Southern plantation. The number of truly baronial

¹F. P. Gaines, <u>The Southern Plantation</u> (New York, 1924), p. 145. A curious piece of blindness has led some writers to assert that the antebellum South was not a true aristocracy because the aristocrats were few in number. It is never the number of the dominant element which makes its presence decisive; it is rather the economic, the political, and especially the moral influence which it is able to exert. An oligarchy always tends to communicate its attitudes and habits to the lower groups, just as in a monarchy the court sets the fashions for the nation.

²U. B. Phillips, <u>Life and Labor in the Old South</u> (Boston, 1929), p. 43.

estates was indeed never great; in many inland districts and especially toward the mountains they tended to thin out, but in Tidewater Virginia, in the coastal regions of the Carolinas, in the lower Mississippi Valley, and in the Blue Grass region of Kentucky they were numerous enough to be thought of as the characteristic economic organization and to support an aristocratic society which produced the first Americans popularly identified as "gentlemen."

It is profitable to examine the plantation as a social unit, because its structure came to be mirrored in the structure of the Southern social world. Its organization demanded stations, and the stations which men held in their local community became the source of a peculiar pride and dignity. A view of how the plantation was operated enables one to understand why anything other than a class society was unthinkable to a Southerner of the old regime. In the Southern social system which came to an end with the Civil War there was subordination without envy and superiority without fear, and this was made possible, as is always true, by an articulation of the whole society. The typical plantation was a little cosmos in which things were arranged according to a wellunderstood principle which gave coherence to the whole.

Plantations were not infrequently described as

worlds in themselves. Even those estates which grew a single staple for the export trade and depended on foreign sources for their manufactured goods had slaves and servants trained in special occupations to meet elementary local needs, and usually the larger the estate, the greater the representation of trades and skills. A few examples will illustrate how this self-sufficient economic organization gave a special social structure to the community. When "King Carter" of Corotoman devised his will in 1726, he listed seventeen indentured servants among the personnel of the homestead, including "sailors, tailors and carpenters, a glazier, a bricklayer, and a blacksmith." A later member of the Carter clan, Robert, the master of Nomini Hall, counted among his slaves eleven carpenters, two joiners, two postilions, a bricklayer, a blacksmith, a miller, a tanner, a shoemaker, a hatter, a sailor, a carter, a butcher, a cook, a waiter, and a scullion among the men; and among the women three housemaids, two seamstresses, two spinners, a laundress, a nursemaid, and a midwife. There had also been a negro coachman, whose excursions into veterinary science, it is noted, led to a practice of medicine among his fellow

1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 220.

l blacks.

Nount Vernon under the administration of Washington displayed a comparable diversity of occupations. When Washington received title to the estate, it anounted to 2500 acres, which he, as a land-loving Virginian, eventually increased to more than 8000 acres. In his operations the owner availed himself of the labor of both free and indentured whites in addition to that of a considerable number of slaves. From the indentured whites he usually expected some kind of specialized service. In 1760 he wrote to Philadelphia for a joiner. a brickleyer, and a gardener; in 1786 he purchased the services of a Dutchmen to serve as ditcher and mower, and in the same year he got "from on board the brig Anna. from Ireland, two servant men ... Thomas Ryan, a shoemaker. and Cavan Bower, a Tayler Redemptioners for three years service by indenture." In this year Washington took e census of his slaves and found 216. On the Mansion House Farm, one of the divisions of the estate, there were 67, including the following specialized workers:

¹<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 225.

²Paul Haworth, <u>George Washington</u>: <u>Country</u> <u>Gentleman</u> (Indianapolis, 1925), p. 168.

a "'Val de chambre,' two waiters, two cooks, three drivers and stablers, three seamstresses, two housemaids, two workers, four spinners, smiths, waggoners, carpenters, i knitters, a carter, and a stockkeeper." With his hired laborers he commonly drew up a contract which allowed them a house, a stated amount of provisions, and a small wage, and which sometimes placed restrictions on their 2 moral conduct.

General John Mason, son of George Mason of Gunston Hall, has testified regarding that division of labor which made each plantation a little industrial world:

> It was much the practice, with gentlemen of slave and landed estates... so to organize them as to have considerable resources within themselves; and to employ and pay but few tradesmen, and to buy little or none of the course stuffs used by them... thus my father had among his slaves carpenters, coopers, sawyers, blacksmiths tanners, curriers, shoemakers, spinners, weavers, and knitters, and even a distiller. 3

A fine glimpse of a feudal paradise which survived until 1865 is given by Mrs. Virginia Clay in her reminiscences. During the closing months of the Civil War Mrs.

¹Ibid., p. 193. ²Ibid., p. 169. ³Quoted in T. J. Wertenbaker, <u>Patrician and</u> <u>Plebeian in Virginia</u> (Charlottesville, Va., 1910), p. 50.

Clay spent some time at Redoliffe, the baronial estate of Senator James H. Hammond of South Carolina, who had contributed the chapter "Slavery in the Light of Political Science" to the Southern symposium Cotton is King, and Pro-Slavery Arguments. On this spacious property, tilled by the labor of 400 slaves, were a gristmill, a forge. a wheelwright's shop, a hospital, and a church, to which there was summoned once a month a white preacher to give the negroes a somewhat more decorous introduction to Christianity than could be expected from one of their own emotional exhorters. Redcliffe grew not only cotton, but also corn, wool, vegetables, and grapes, from which a "clear straw-colored wine" was produced. In the palatial home were marbles, statuary, and paintings so that Redcliffe measures up even to the romancer's conception of an antebellum slaveholder's estate. Oddly enough. this seat of patrician splendor escaped the destruction of war, Sherman's sweep through the Carolinas missing it by fourteen miles.

Such was the diversity of occupation on: a plantation that there was a task adapted to everyone,

lvirginia Clay-Clopton, <u>A Belle of the Fifties</u> (New York, 1904), p. 214.

and when a worker grew too old for one kind of employment, he would, in paternalistic fashion, be shifted to another l better suited to his condition. The strong sense of particularism which developed in these communities derived principally from the circumstance that everyone had his place. The feeling of being bound to a locality, which has been almost wholly lost by the deracinated pepulation of the modern metropolis, was a part of the plantation dweller's daily consciousness and an important factor in his self-respect. An odd manifestation of this appeared in the superiority which the negro who "belonged" to someone felt over the free negro.

From these accounts it will appear that the Southern plantation, if it was of considerable extent, constituted a more or less self-sufficing community of agriculture and industry, freeing in large measure its members from dependence on institutions outside its bounds. A natural result of these conditions was an

Louis Morton, Robert Carter of Nomini Hall (Williamsburg, Va., 1941), p. 105.

²Kate Cumming recorded in her <u>Journal of Hospital</u> <u>Life</u> (Louisville, 1866, p. 47) under the date September 28, 1862: "The other day I heard the doctor's servant indignantly say that some one had spoken to her as if she was free, and had no master to care for her."

intense provincialism. The lords of these agrarian strongholds regarded foreign influences- and this expression must be taken in its most provincial sense- as undesirable. John Pendleton Kennedy's Swallow Barn, which contains the most complete plantation setting in early American literature, gives a good notion of this distrust. Here Frank Meriwether is the benevolent despot. A portly Virginia gentleman of forty-five, he had studied law at Richmond more to learn how to defend his own rights than to represent clients before the bar, and then had retired to his estate to enjoy as a birthright the finest existence a man could conceive. He managed accounts, dispensed hospitality in traditional open-handed style, frequently made himself court of high appeal to override the decisions of overseers, and took a distant interest in politics, exploding occasionally over some novelty of invention or policy which threatened to disturb the calm of his Eden. He saw in the discovery of the steamboat a menace to isolated communities and a forward step toward that "consolidationism" at which Southern statesmen were to point for the next fifty years. "This annihilation of space, eir, is not to be desired," he told his visitor from the North. "Our protection against the evils of consolidation consists in the very obstacles to an intercourse." He felt that "the home material of

Virginia was never so good as when the roads were at their worst." Swallow Barn is little dependent on the outside world even for asusements; its wholesome fun comes from annual celebrations, droll accidents, and the kind of interest which a humane people naturally take in one another.

Such seclusion and relative self-sufficiency nurtured the social hierarchy in which these plantations bear comparison with the medieval manor. From the owner of the estate at the top, on down to field workers, bond or indentured, who, if not bound to the soil, were at least under some constraint to work it, there were relatively well understood orders and degrees. The master, as justice of the peace, preserved order and settled disputes. In actual practice he usually possessed much more authority than the title or office he might hold would imply, for this authority extended beyond the sphere of business and legal relationships. John R. Thompson, editor of <u>The Southern</u> <u>3</u> Litebary Hessenger, described him as an autocrat. It

1 John Pendleton Kennedy, <u>Swallow Barn</u> (New York, 1906), p. 73.

²James Roberts Gilmore (<u>Life in Dixie's Land</u>, [Londen, 1863], p. 164) described the congregation of a country church in South Carolina as seated according to social rank. "All classes were there; the black servingman off by the door-way, the poor white a little higher up, the small turpentine-farmer a little higher still, and the wealthy planter, of the class to which the colonel belonged, on 'the highest seats of the synagogue,' and in close proximity to the preacher."

3<u>The Southern Literary Messenger</u>, XX (June, 1854), 332.

was a matter of pride with him, however, to exercise his power with justice, and he ordinarily acknowledged some responsibility for the welfare and protection of his dependents which proceeded from moral obligation rather than written stipulation. Slaves on a plantation were almost invariably referred to as "our people." This sense of obligation has been made so prominent a part of the sentimental tradition that it might be regarded with auspicion were it not well supported by the records. Washington. who was far from a sentimentalist on the subject of slavery, nevertheless was accustomed to visit his sick slaves and on occasion to take over personal supervision of their treatment. The spectacle of this typical landholder riding daily about Mount Vernon, which he had divided into five farms, each under the management of an overseer. and all under the authority of a single steward. keeping a sharp eye on operations and often reprimanding his underlings somewhat impatiently, furnishes a striking pisture of this paternalistic social structure.

v

Louis Morton, op. cit., p. 107.

²paul Haworth, op. cit., p. 196.

Washington was regarded as an ideal product of the Southern social system (<u>Southern Liverary Messenger</u>, New Series, I [June, 1856], 437): "In the perfect symmetry of the character of Washington, may be traced the influence of the Southern family, and an eulogium may be found on the tendencies of her organic influences."

The Southerner had a deep-seated notion that only gentlemen were entitled to stand at the head of this hierarchy, and a writer in <u>The Southern Literary Messenger</u> contended on this principle that Northerners were unfit to be masters. "In obsdience to isothermal laws," he said, "slavery has already made its exodus from the inhospitable shores of New England, <u>never to return</u>: there is no climate there; there is no soil there, and there is <u>ne master</u> there." Years later Ellen Glasgow in <u>The</u> <u>Battle-Ground</u> was to make Major Lightfoot say contemptucusly to the low-caste Rainy-day Jones: "There's no man alive that shall question the divine right of slavery in my presence; but - but it is an institution for gentle-<u>2</u> men."

At least one Southern writer saw a parallel between the opposition of Southerner and Northerner and that of Norman and Saxon, on the basis of which he thought he could argue that Southerners were fitted both by heritage and experience to rule not only their own section but the North as well. Northerners, being a people contentious and lacking in self-control, required a firm restraining hand. In part he said:

11bid., X (November, 1860), 349.

²Ellen Glasgow, <u>The Battle-Ground</u> (Garden City, N. Y., 1922), p. 89.

Naturally generous, Southerners exercise much forbearance, till the question of honor is raised, and then they rush to the sword accustomed to enforce obedience when it is due, they readily yield to it when their position and duty require it, - fierce and fearless in contest, yet just, generous, and gentle in command, - they possess every quality necessary to rule the Northern people; to establish rules of justice between the two peoples; and to preserve the government. 1

The sense of trusteeship thus developed was one of the enduring legacies of the plantation system. The landholder, if he belonged to the tradition, would not concede that his servants meant nothing more to him than the value of their labor, nor did the servant ordinarily envisage the master as nothing more than a source of employment. The master expected of his servants loyalty; the servants of their master protection. Each working in his sphere went to make up a whole, through which there ran a common bond of feeling. It was a type of the corporate society, held together by sentiments which do not survive a money-2 economy.

Of course, this is the spirit of feudalism in its

^{1&}lt;u>The Southern Literary Messenger</u>, New Series, IX (June, 1860), 406.

²⁰ne of the routine obligations of the Southern plantation owner today, seventy-odd years after emancipation, is to defray the medical bills of his tenants, and to get them out of jail when they have been committed for minor offenses.

eptative aspect; abuses were inevitable, and in the South lordship over an alien and primitive race had special effects upon the character of the slaveowners. It made them impatient and arrogant, and it filled them with boundless self-assurance. Even the children, noting the deference paid to their elders by the servants, began at an early age to take on airs of command. After an extensive tour through the Southern states, J. S. Buckingham expressed the epinion that slavery

> trains the free child in the constant exercise of arbitrary power over his little slave-companions; it makes him impatient of contradiction from any source, as he is always accustomed to command; and it engenders such a habit of quick resentment and instant retaliation for an injury, real or supposed, by the frequent opportunities of its indulgence on unresisting and helpless slaves, that at length it forms a part of the individual's nature, and can neither be conquered nor restrained. 1

From this came "the universal irritability of temper, impatience of contradiction, and constant readiness to avenge every imaginary insult with instant and deadly punishment 2 of the offender." Horace Fulkerson, who had studied the life of the lower Mississippi Valley, described the planters as "arbitrary, self-willed, and dictatorial," so that even from their equals. "they could illy brook contradiction and

¹<u>The Slave States of America</u> (London, 1842), p. 553. ²<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 557.

opposition." These things, which were almost invariably acted by Northerners and by visiting Englishmen, gave Southerners a reputation away from home which they thought baseless and inspired by malice.

Another factor present in the agrarian psychology everywhere, and especially strong in that of the Southern planter, was the desire for a lasting identification of his name with the piece of land which he had marked out as his own. He had a profound conviction that a family is not established until it belongs to a place, that a local habitation and a name go together; and it can scarcely be doubted that this coupling of the name with the property was an attempt to regain that connection between the land and its possessor by virtue of which the owners become, politically as well as economically, "the estates of the realm." John S. Wise mentions no fewer than seventeen Virginia families of the upper James River valley whose names were thus identified with estates. In this way

Horace Fulkerson, <u>Random Recollections of Early</u> <u>Days in Mississippi</u> (Vicksburg, 1885), pp. 15, 16, 144. <u>Similarly George Mason (Papers of James Madison</u> [Washington, 1840], III, 1391) declared in the Federal Constitutional Convention that "every master of slaves is born a petty tyrant."

²John S. Wise, <u>The End of an Era</u> (Boston, 1900), p. 139. Constance Cary (<u>Recollections Grave and Cay</u> [New York, 1911], p. 3) introduced her father, Archibald Cary of Carysbrooke, with the remark that "all old-time Virginians loved to write themselves down as part of their parental estates."

the American patrician sought to gain some of the prestige enjoyed by the county families of England. He liked to be alluded to as the proprietor of such and such a hall, for in the mind of the Southern gentleman, freeholding of itself conferred some kind of superiority in the quality of citizenship. Landless men lacked dignity, and mercantile pursuits were traditionally discountenanced. In the period of the Civil War the feeling that to lose land was to lose prestige was still strong. In Thomas Nelson Page's Red Rock, Mr. Gray, on riding off to the war, from which he knows he may not return, leaves a final injunction with his son: "And Jacquelin," he said, "keep the old place. Make any sacrifice to do that. Landholding is one of the safeguards of a gentry. Our people, for six generations, have never sold an acre, and I never knew a man who sold land that throve." And in George W. Cable's John March, Southerner, old Judge March says to his son proudly: "We neveh sole an acre, but we neveh hel' one back in a spirit o' lan' speculation, you understan'?"

IGrace King (Balcony Stories [New York, 1925], p.
179) recalled that in antebellum Louisiana it was considered
disgraceful to board out or to live in a rented house.
2Thomas Nelson Page, <u>Red Rook</u> (New York, 1925),
p. 47.
2George W. Cable, John March Southerner (New York)

³George W. Cable, John March Southerner (New York, 1894), p. 63.

Together with the land hunger of the Southern planter, the desire to add new acres to the patrimony, well illustrated in the careers of Colonel William Byrd, of George Washington, and of many others, there was a vague but ever-present sense of personal relationship to the land, which is one of the mainsprings of Southern psychology, as of the psychology of any genuine feudal order. The Virginia or Carolina aristocret wanted not merely another piece of land as good as his, or a sum of money equal to its fair purchase price; he wanted <u>his</u> land in the same way that a mother wants <u>her</u> shild or a patriot <u>his</u> country. To call this mystical would perhaps explain little, but it may safely be said that this sense of local attachment, of loyalty to the soil, is a sentiment to which all people are susceptible, and that it is sure to be found in all families of long establishment.

Along with this passionate desire to identify the family name with the landed estate there was an ambition to retain the title in perpetuo. It is related (R. C. Beatty, Col. William Byrd of Mestover, Boston, 1832, p. 21) that Robert Beverley refused to sell three thousand acres of land on the Rappahannock because the prospective buyer wished it made over to him forever. Col. Byrd insisted on a deed limited to 999 years. George Jordan of Surrey County, Virginia, (1bid.) provided in his will that whoever enjoyed his land, even to the thousandth generation, must have a sermon preached yearly on the anniversary of the death of his daughter. Such sentiments indicate why primogeniture and entail were popular in the Southern colonies until the American Revolution, which commenced the general undermining of English institutions.

An understanding of this attachment goes far to explain why the Southerner chose to battle for his acre, which was more often than not only a poor red acre, rather than for some abstract concept of "Union" about which warm sympa-1 thies could not cluster. Nathaniel Hawthorne, who understood better than any other writer of his time the contrary workings of the human heart, observed in his pertinent essay "Chiefly About War Matters" that a state was as large a territory as anyone could be expected to love; and that love for an invisible hypothesis like the American Union 2 was something few people had the imagination to achieve.

The relative self-sufficiency of the plantation; the <u>noblesse oblige</u> of its proprietor; the social distinctions among those who dwelled upon it, which had the anomalous result of creating affection and loyalty instead of envy and hatred; the sense of kinship with the soil, present too in its humbler inhabitants, who felt pangs on leaving

¹D. S. Freeman (R. E. Lee [New York, 1934-35], I, 404) contends that an important factor in Lee's decision not to fight against Virginia was this feeling of kinship with the soil. "Having plowed her fields, he had a new sense of oneness with her." Robert Stiles (Four Years <u>Under Marse Robert [New York, 1903], p. 19) interprets the sobriquet "Marse Robert" as one of the last defiant protests of Southern feudalism. "It was, in some sense, an outcry of the social system of the South assailed and imperilled by the war and doomed to perish in the great convulsion." ²The Works of Mathaniel Hawthorne (Boston, 1883), XII, 315.</u>

"the old place,"- these were the supports of Southern feudalism, which outlasted every feudal system of Europe except the Russian, until it was destroyed by war and revolution.

2. The Code of Chivalry

Another part of the Southern heritage, which has received a vast amount of mention but little systematic examination, is expressed by the term <u>ohivalry</u>. It has been alluded to both jokingly and seriously, depending on the writer's point of view or degree of acquaintance, but there have been few attempts to clarify what it stood for in the Southern tradition. Those Northerners who described the war as a contest between "shovelry and chivalry" apparently thought that there existed in Southerners a kind of self-idealization, or a moral ambition to conform to an aristocratic type sufficient to create some differences between the two peoples, and today the term is seldom absent from discussions of the South.

Since chivalry has been one of the main traditions of European civilization, it was not strange that a chivalric code should develop in the South, which was disposed to accept rather than to reject European institutions. A historical retrospect will show the source of the Southern tradition. Chivalry as it existed in Europe during the

Middle Ages was a body of forms and centiments of enormous influence in elevating and refining the civilization of the period. It appears to have had its origin in the dark years following the dissolution of Charlemagne's empire. when cruelty, rapine, and brutal anarchy so distressed humanity that there came a passionate reaction. which enlisted men in the service of an ideal good, and later brought in the Christian religion as a sanction. The people recognized a class of knights as representatives of right and defenders of order, idolized them, crowned them with all virtues, both real and imaginary, and for five hundred years respected them as the ruling caste. Although in its later periods chivalry became associated with other things, including the worship of woman, it commenced thus as an order of men of good will, pledged to make might serve right; and although it developed forms, ceremonials, and shows, it was first and foremost a spirit. Of this spirit the Knights of the Round Table are perfect if legendary exemplars, engaged in asserting a rule of justice and humanity against naked strength. Candidates for the order of knighthood were put through an initiation which made these duties explicit. An early specimen of the vow

¹G. P. R. James, <u>History of Chivalry and the</u> Crusades (New York, 1857), pp. 26-27.

required of them was "To speak the truth, to succour the l helpless, and never to turn back from an enemy."

The ethical importance of chivalry lay in the fact that wherever this spirit made itself felt, there it alleviated, though it could not entirely overcome, the natural brutishness of man. It furnished a system by which iniquity could be condemned, however dazzling the success of its perpetrators, and in the darkest times stood as an aspiration and a promise that justice would return and lawful relations obtain among men.

This analysis prepares for an understanding of chivalry in the New World, because there, even more than in Europe, it existed as a spirit, little seen in rituals and ceremonies, but often of surprising influence in determining lines of conduct. It is difficult to say in precisely what company it crossed the Atlantic. That a certain portion of Virginia's first settlers were gentlemen is acknowledged. Captain John Smith's struggles with his indolent colonists, who were "ten times more fit to spoyle a Commonwealth, then either to begin one, or but help to maintaine one," form a striking chapter of early American

11b1d., p. 28.

2Travels and Works of Captain John Baith (Edinburgh, 1910), II, 487.

history, and there can be little doubt that these misplaced men-about-town would have been happier deciding points of hener than digging stumps. Like some other European institutions, chivalry came over a seedling, figuratively speaking, but having struck root in the American soil, achieved a lush growth, and was modified, sometimes grotesquely, by the rudeness of the American environment. Indeed it is probably true that the spirit of chivalry was stronger in the Southern states after two hundred years of settlement than when the Virginia and Carolina landholders were first clearing their acres.

Of the characteristic ideas of chivalry, none came to a more exaggerated flowering in the South than that of personal honor. We have seen how in the Old World chivalry supported a caste, the distinguishing mark of which was an honor which had to be kept unsullied at any cost. In the South, as soon as the gentleman caste had established itself on property ownership and slave labor, this concept was invoked to set it apart from the commonalty. The gentleman was a privileged character. He could not be injured with impunity; his motives could not be impugned; and above all, his word could not be doubted. A highly touchy sense of personal pride was built on these premises, and its vindication often called for the duel, a recognized institution in America from colonial days. In the Revolutionary period, dueling was fairly common in the North,

but it began to disappear there after 1800. In the South on the contrary it continued to flourish, and the toll of life exacted by this rigid convention among gentlemen was se great that in 1858 Governor John Lyde Wilson of South Carolina published a pamphlet entitled "The Code of Honor; or Rules for the Government of Principals and Seconds in Duelling." The purpose of this manual was not to encourage the practice, as could be supposed, but rather to diminish it by defining rather narrowly the grounds on which one gentleman might "demand satisfaction" of another. The author announced it his desire to save lives which might be lost as a result of challenges made on insufficient grounds. It is easy to see, however, that he retained some pride in the institution. "Tennessee, Kentucky, Georgia. and South Carolina," he observed, "would bear away the palm for gentility among the states of the Union" if the prevalence of dueling should be admitted as a criterion. At

Ljohn Lyde Wilson, "The Code of Honor; or Rules for the Government of Principals and Seconds in Duelling" (Charleston, 1858), p. 46. Louisiana, especially New Orleans, was not far behind. Bishop Henry Whipple (Southern Diary [Minneapolis, 1937], p. 155) wrote from this city in 1844: " Perhaps on no subject of public morals is there so great an apathy as upon the sin of duelling. In the southwest especially duels are of very frequent occurrence. Seldom do you take up a newspaper here without finding some a/c of 'an affair of honor' as these fachionable murders are termed." The Englishman Edward Sullivan (<u>Rambles and Scrambles in</u> <u>North and South America</u> [London, 1852], p. 224) visiting the same city in 1850, was appalled by the number of personal encounters. He found that "the southern men are naturally hot blooded, and duelling is part of their creed."

the same time Northern criticism of the practice piqued him. He contrasted the "urbanity" of Southerners with the "uncouth sivility of the people of Massachusetts," and he intimated that the kind of personal abuse filling Northern journals would in the South bring challenges to mortal combat. Opposition to dueling was attributed to "the materialistic puritan ekeptics of this country," and anti-dueling laws were described as "transplanted from the permicious hotbed of puritan skepticism."

Curious memorials of this once wide-spread custom survive today: Tennessee requires of all those admitted to the bar an oath that they will not engage in dueling; and governors of Kentucky must swear upon induction into office that they have never fought a duel with a deadly $\frac{4}{4}$ weapon.

Another aspect of the <u>code duello</u>, which removes further question as to its origin in the spirit of chivalry, was the emphasis placed upon the social rank of the combatants. A gentleman might chastise a low fellow with whip or same for offering him an insult, but he could not according to the code meet him on the field of honor, because

1wilson, op. cit., p. 36.

²The <u>Code of Honor. Its Rationale and Uses</u>, <u>By</u> the Tests of Common Sense and Good Morals, with the Effects of its Preventive Remedies (New Orleans, 1883), p. 19. ³Ibid., p. 24.

Don C. Seitz, Famous American Duels (New York, 1929), p. 40.

honor was the exclusive possession of the gentleman caste. Governor Wilson noted in his "Code" that if a man repeived a challenge from a person with whom he was unacquainted, he might demand a reasonable length of time "to ascertain his standing in society, unless he is fully vouched for by his friend." In the days of European chivalry knights jousted only with knights, and sometimes this privilege was insisted upon even on the field of battle. It is recorded that at Bouvier a body of Flemish knights refused to charge a force of infantry because they were not gentlemen, and so lost the battle.

In this connection it is instructive to learn that Senator Brooks of South Carolina deliberated two days over whether to use the horsewhip, the cowhide, or the cane for his assault upon Senator Summer because a different degree of insult was implied by each (Charles S. Sydnor, "The Southerner and the Laws," Journal of Southern History, VI [February, 1940], 22.

2Wilson, op. oit., p. 19. Similarly John Augustin wrote ("The Caks," <u>The Louisians Book</u> [New Orleans, 1884], p. 87): "Yes could not fight a man whom you could not ask to your house."

3F. Warre-Cornish, <u>History of European Chivalry</u> (London, 1901), p. 75. Theodore Spencer (<u>Death and</u> <u>Elizabethan Tragedy</u> [Cambridge, 1936], pp. 136-138) has collected a number of citations showing that the chivalrous orders considered it ignominious to engage in combat with the low-born, and especially to die at their hands:

"Signal AFrancisco in Massinger's <u>Duke of Milan</u> says (V, 1, 185-188):

And but that

I seern a slave's best blood should rust that sword That from a prince expects a scarlet dye, Thou now wert dead.

(continued on page 27)

The object of a knight's career being that honor which proceeds from feats of arms, it naturally follows that he was expected to be indifferent toward material revards, and there seems little doubt that the contempt directed at money-getting, as well as the belief that money itself is somehow contaminating, originated with this high and solemn ideal, colored as it was with Christian selfdenial. Though the attitude was not, of course, carried over bodily into the American South, there were unmistakable reflections of it. Here the people had come to the New World for the purpose of gaining fortunes, a fact which could not be denied, but which was kept out of sight in various ways. First of all, Southern landholders exhibited an aversion to the handling of cash money, except perhaps when at the gaming table; it seemed more in keeping with the haut monde for them to have their business transacted through a factor. To this agent the planter consigned his crop, together with a list of the plantation requirements,

2 (continued from page 26) The Duke of Suffolk in Part 2 of Shakespeare's <u>Henry VI</u> declares (IV, 1, 50-52):

> Obscure and lowly swain, King Henry's blood, The honorable blood of Lancaster Must not be shed by such a jaded groom.

And in Marlows's <u>Massacre</u> at <u>Paris</u> The Duke of Guise exclaims (1, 1021):

To die by peasants, what a grief is this.

including articles of luxury. The factor sold the produce, forwarded the supplies, and so left his client free from all business duties except a periodical settlement. In this way many contrived to live as if they had no direct 2 Southern etiquette placed money among the things which no one of 3 good breeding would mention at the table.

Such views, combined with the inveterate Southern habit of extravagance, created a type not far removed from the aristocratic wastrels of Europe, incapable of making money by personal industry, but unable to see why it should 4 not be forthcoming to support their elegant mode of life. Bishop Whipple's earnest appraisal did not miss this note in Southern society. He discovered that the typical

¹Charles S. Sydnor (<u>op. cit.</u>, p. 8) has recorded his impression that "The reading of extant plantation records and communications between planters and factors makes one wonder whether any other business of equal size has been run with as few precise records and as little commercial and legal paper as was the southern plantation."

²Opic Read (<u>The American Cavalier</u> [Chicago, 1904], p. 209) wrote in a characterization of the Southern planter: "He used to say, 'A gentleman can't buy and sell - unless it's action.' He had more respect for a pauper lawyer than for a rich grocer."

³In Thomas Nelson Page's <u>Gordon Keith</u> (New York, 1912, II, 52) the old general says to his son: "My son, there are some things that gentlemen never discuss at the table. Money is one of them."

[&]quot;Typens Power (<u>Impressions of America</u>; <u>During the</u> <u>Years 1833</u>, <u>1834</u>, <u>and 1835</u> [Philadelphia, 1836], II, 136) observed that the Southern planters "are fond of money without having a tittle of averice."

Southerner "is generous to a fault with his property, is fend of galety & pleasure and generally dislikes the routine of business. His habits are those of genteel idleness or those of the man of leisure. Nothing is a too expensive gratification of appetite or feeling which his purse will 1 permit him to buy." At the same time he found labor in the South "if not dishonorable at least as of doubtful gentility." He deplored the human waste that resulted from undeveloped and unexercised talents. "The southern man is educated in nine cases out of ten to be a gentleman, an unproductive gentleman, a term which sometimes means a helpless being who is dependent on others for even the manilest offices of labor."

A lordly carelessness in the use of money was affected by most planter aristocrats, and its opposite, a calculating economy, was contemned as of Yankee origin. Maturally exceptions are to be found, but in general that lack of business sense and even of ordinary prudence so many times proved against the Southern people was regarded by the upper class as a sign of <u>noblesse</u> and a witness that they were the inheritors of the chivalric spirit. The Englishman Thomas Hamilton after a journey covering much of the

> ¹Southern Diary, p. 43. ²Ibid., p. 44. ⁶Ibid., p. 60.

d

United States thus summed up the combination of recklessness atth meney and jealous regard for personal status which he found to characterize the Southerner: "He values money only for the enjoyment it can procure, is fond of gaiety, given to seeial pleasures, somewhat touchy and choleric, and as enger to avenge an insult as to show a kindness. To fight a duel in the New England States would, under almost any circumstances, be disgraceful. To fetuse a challenge, to tolerate even an insinuation derogatory to personal honor, would be considered equally so in the South."

Like the knight of old, the gentleman was required to speak the truth, and of nothing did the Southern tradition make more a fetish than the pledged word. Falsehood, like an act of cowardice, immediately lost one his standing in society, and it is surprising to learn with what grigor such observances were enforced by public opinion.

<u>lken and Manners in America</u> (Edinburgh, 1833), II, 284. Whipple (op. cit., p. 43) reported simply: "The southerner himself is different from the northerner in many striking particulars. He is more chivalrous, that is to say he has more of that old English feeling common to the days of the feudal system and the crusades."

²Edward Sullivan (<u>op. cit.</u>, 225) was told that no New Orleans jury would convict a man for killing another who had called him a liar, and that in general there was streng feeling in favor of justifiable homicide. It was widely believed that in the North, on the contrary, there was small regard for truthfulness. Captain Fitzgerald Ross (A <u>Visit to the Citics and Camps of the Confederate States</u> [London, 1869], p. 282) after studying war news as it was propagated in New York, concluded that "Neither people nor papers are particular here on the score of veracity, and there is not the slightest disgrace attached to being found out in a falsehood."

met this supreme test was he judged worthy of the companion-**PG** WAG planation, and then, if the matter could not be adjusted, 1×0 Only if he proved that honorable status was dearer to him than ship of genturen, or, in the language of knighthood, If a gentleman's word was questioned, he demanded an he deserving of a place in the ohivairic order. life by going through the ritual of the duel.

`> ordeal, which the business-like methods of Grant and Sherman te which this spirit distinguished the Southern people from sharp in the respective attitudes toward war. The Southern **H**0 abivalry appeared in print, but it remained for the Civil Mar to provide an interesting demonstration of the extent The difference came out very **\$** ceremonial, to be conducted strictly according to rules, is other words, as a gigantic tournament with the Lord and with maximum display, color, and individual daring In aptebellum days much vague discussion about only partly effaced. They regarded it as an elaborate papie possessed a highly remanticized ploture of the their Forthern adversaries.

ы Б

the regined, **17he "Code of Honor" re-issued in New Orleans in 1883** (\underline{op} , \underline{oit} , p, 6) made the point that "emong the regime **virtue with venen and honor with men are more valuable than 11fe - are more worthy of the last defence."**

Hosts for unpire and judge. Some Southerners actually expressed the opinion after First Manassas that the war would promptly cease because this battle had decided the question of manhood between the two sections and there was nothing else to be settled.

If the people of the North ever subscribed to this notion, they changed it after the removal of the colorful McClellan, and thereafter the task of conquering the South became a business, an "official transaction," which cost a great deal more in dollars and men than had been anticipated, but which was at length accomplished by the systematic marshalling of equipment and numbers. John Pope's Virginia campaign gave the South its first intimation that the North was committed to a "total war." The Southern people became very much dismayed by this, for it seemed an infraction

¹There is no better description of this attitude than that furnished by Dr. F. E. Daniel in <u>Recollections of</u> <u>a Rebel Surgeon</u>, (Austin, Texas, 1899, p. 14): "To give you an idea of my conception of war, - notwithstanding I had read a great deal of history, of course, - I took along a sole leather valies with me, full of broadcloth suits, patent leather shoes, linen shirts, fancy shirts and ties. I had an idea (what a fool I was), that both armies would march out in an open place and meet by a kind of understanding, and after a few selections by the band, go to fighting; and at sunset, or sooner, the one that whipped would have some more music by the band, and then we'd retire." An eyewitness thus described the Virginia troops

An everitness thus described the Virginia troops seasabled at Harners Ferry after the John Brown raid (James assembled at Harpers Ferry after the John Brown raid (James B. Avirett, <u>The Memoirs of General Turner Ashby and His</u> Compers [Baltimore, 1867], p. 62): "They talked of war as

of that tasit agreement obtaining between all civilized belligerents. Perhaps it is not too fanciful to read in Leo's terse statement. "Pope must be suppressed." a feeling that he was fighting not so much against a particular enemy as against an outlawed mode of warfars. And when Sherman, Sheridan, and Hunter began their systematic ravaging and punishing of civilians it seemed to the South that one of the fundamental supports of civilization was knocked out and that warfare was being thrown back to the naked savagery from which religion and the spirit of chivalry had painfully raised it in the Middle Ages. The courtly conduct of Lee and his officers to the Dutch farmwives of Pennevivania has been perhaps too much centimentalized, but the fact remains that these men felt they were observing a code which is never more needful than in war, when fear and anger are likely to blind men and threaten their selfcontrol. The material loss in farms and dwelling has been forgetten. nor does the South of today appear greatly to resent its economic inferiority, but the memory of how "the

An interesting parallel to this is found in the sixteenth-century <u>Mirror for Magistrates</u> (ed. Lily B. Campbell, Cambridge, Mass., 1938, p. 88) where Roger Mortimer complains that the barbarous Irish by whom he has been slain do not recognize the rules of war:

nten

They know no lawe of armes nor none will lerne: They make not warre (as others do) a playe, Theyre end of warre to see theyr enmye deade.

great march" was conducted lingers on in bitterness. It is reasonable to suppose that the Southerner, always a wastrel, was able to swallow the loss in farms and dwellings but has not been able to swallow the affront to the tradition in which he was brought up. At any rate, the South has persisted in making a distinction between McClellan, Hancock, and Grant, who adhered rather strictly to the code, and such "fighting prophets" as Sherman, who inaugurated the war of unlimited aggression. The former. It was generally held, had fought honestly according to the rules of varfare, eschewing vandalism and terrorism. Naturally the thought of being beaten came hard to a people priding themselves on their martial traditions, but the thought that rankled in the South for generations was that the enemy, while masking himself under pretensions of moral superiority, had dropped the supposedly common code of elvilization and won in a dishonorable manner. Today

Robert Stiles (op. <u>cit.</u>, p. 239) admired Grant for his "rough chivalry."

²A striking elaboration of the doctrine that civilizations consists in just such adherence to a code, or a body of forms set up between the individual and his impulses, occurs in W. B. Yeats' <u>A Vision</u> (New York, 1938, p. 180): "A civilization is a struggle to keep self control;" and one of the first signs of its decay is "a sinking in upon the moral being."

this attitude may seem difficult to credit, but one has only to read the literature of Reconstruction to find it. expressed frequently and with conviction. Against Meade. who fatally dashed Southern hopes at Gettysburg, against Grant, who won decisive victories at Vicksburg and Chattanooga, or against Thomas, who at Nashville gave the Confederacy its most humiliating defeat of the war. one finds little or no complaint. But against Sherman, who accompanied his policy of severity with a series of **Vindictive expressions words could not contain the measure** of Southern indignation. Likewise in New Orleans resentment against Benjamin Butler's peoulations was nothing compared to the outrage felt over his famous edict to the ladies. which according to the Southern code of the time was something incomprehensible.

A vehement Southern partisan was to declare after the war that the Southern people prided themselves so much on their reputation for chivalry that they were willing to sacrifice everything in preference to it, including the hope of fictory. It is highly pertinent to mention, moreover, that the Southern commanders who advocated the other

Let would be unfair, of course, to leave an impression that all of the atrocities were committed by one side. John S. Mosby's men indulged in savage acts of retaliation, and Basil Duke apologized for the conduct of some of his soldiers in Morgan's wild dash across southern Indiana and Ohio.

style of warfare, and who, if they had got into the North with independent commands, very likely would have pursued policies similar to those of Sherman and Sheridan, were not members of the gentleman caste, but were hard, self-made men. who believed that "war means fighting and fighting means killing." Such were Stonewall Jackson and Nathan Bedford Forrest, both men of the people, and both men in whom there ran a streak of ruthlessness. Those who abjured the chivalric rules of warfare won; the military ritual which had come down from the Middle Ages was dealt a fatal blow, and the way was cleared for modernism, with its stringency. its abstractionism, and its impatience with sentimental restraints. It scarcely needs pointing out that from the military policies of Sherman and Sheridan there lies but an easy step to the "total war" of the Germans. the greatest affront to Western Civilization which

Chivalry was part of civilization because it preserved the humanity of men by keeping them from yielding unrestrainedly to their impulses. Emanuel de la Moriniere in a postbellum essay on the topic ("Chivalry," <u>The</u> <u>Louisiana Book</u> [New Orleans, 1884], p. 177) wrote: "The sense of honor in its widest meaning, includes the faculty of forming some ideal standard superior to the lower nature of man and recognizing in ourselves some power of approximating to it."

has occurred since its founding.

Whether the Southern feeling of superiority in this matter rests upon facts real or supposed, it must be taken into account as one of the points of sectional friction. Hore than one observer viewed the Civil War as ultimately a clash of character, and the South naturally preferred to see itself clothed in the armor of chivalry. We may note for example a significant interview which took place between Gaptain Fitzgerald Ross of the Austrian Hussars and Judah P. Benjamin, Confederate Secretary of War. Captain Ross mentioned that upon his journey to Richmond he had seen many evidences of depredation, whereupon the Secretary replied:

1

If they had behaved differently, if they had some against us observing strict discipline, protecting women and children, respecting private property and proclaiming as their only object the putting down of armed resistance to the Federal Government, we should have found it perhaps more difficult to prevail against them. But they could

At a banquet given by Bismarck in 1870, General Sheridan, who had been with the German staff in the capacity of unofficial observer, said he favored treating noncombatants with the utmost rigor. He declared (Moritz Busch, <u>Bismarck</u>: <u>Some Secret Pages of his History</u> [New York, 1898], I, 128): "The people must be left nothing but their eyes to weep with over the war."

The Nazi Revolution in present-day Germany is a triumph of the middle class analogous to the triumph of the American middle class in the Civil War. The Nazi leaders have shown the same aggressiveness, lack of restraint, and willingness to resort to outlawed procedures which Southerners complained of in Yankees.

not help showing their cruelty and rapacity; they could not dissemble their true nature, which is the real cause of this war. If they had been capable of acting otherwise, they would not have been Yankees, and we should never have quarreled with them.

But unfortunately for the South, the tradition of chivalry affected far more than the conduct of her sons upon the battlefield. It affected the whole character of her thinking, and if in one place it kept them from atrocities or even from bad manners, in another it set up a special kind of exclusiveness which starved art and letters. The Southern conception of education and of the role of the artist was more deeply influenced by this particular heritage than has been generally recognized. The barrier which it raised against persons from other social levels was less serious than that which it set up against the scholar and the creative thinker.

Chivalry is a great topic for literature, but if everyone belongs to the chivalry, little or nothing will be written. It is a witness of the great hold which this ideal had upon the entire Southern people that no other pattern was consciously followed. Only a small fraction of the people belonged to this class, it is true, but of the remainder, those who aspired at all looked in its direction.

lfitsgerald Ross, op. cit., p. 29.

There were no centers of commercial and intellectual activity where competing ideals could emerge. Members of a chivalric order are preformers of the gestes, but not singers of them. The poets and troubadours of Europe had an uncertain position: they enjoyed some license and were valued for their special contributions, but the attitude of society toward them was one of toleration rather than of serious respect. In that transplanted chivalry which grew up on American shores one finds a comparable attitude, even somewhat accentuated by the rawness and philistinism of a new country. In every aristogracy the artist has been declasse. He has been patronized and supported by the aristocrats; but the patronage and the support have only symbolized the gulf between him. a supernumerary, a hireling, and those who have laid title to estates by deeds or by inheritance. This truth is not weakened by the fact that members of the aristocracy have often themselves dabbled in arts and letters. In instances they have done so to the extent of winning a more illustrious name by these than by their rank, but it will be found virtually without exception that they have regarded such employments as elegant exercises, to be pursued for diversion, or to be exhibited as evidences of versatility.

It will be recalled that the Restoration wits were gentlemen first, and authors only by way of <u>divertissement</u>; and Byron's desire to be regarded as a lord is, of course, one of the commonplaces of literary history.

The notion of following letters as a profession was quite as foreign to the Southern gentleman as it was to the English mobleman, and his position was, if anything, more unfavorable for it. His career was that of a man of the world; his education was devised to meet its demands, and if he developed some special skill at authorship, that was a matter for congratulation, but rarely was there a suggestion that he capitalize it by making it his means of support or his claim to recognition by society. An examination will show how this aristocratic prejudice, which had its foundation in the institution of chivalry, determined the content of Southern education and made inevitable the slightness and sterility of the Southern contribution to belles lettres.

3. The Education of the Gentleman

When in 1828 John Randolph of Roanoke, answering Edward Everett in the House of Representatives, called the ability to lead men, whether in the field or in the senate chamber, the highest of the gifts of heaven, he was expressing the prevailing belief of his Southern compatriots. "There is, he said, "a class of men who possess great learning, combined with inveterate professional habits, and who are, (ipso facto, or perhaps I should say ipsis factis, for I must speak accurately as I speak before a Professor)

disqualified for any but secondary parts anywhere - even in 1 the Cabinet." It was natural that a people whose talents lay almost wholly in the direction of statecraft should sonsider eminence in war and eloquence in council the marks of illustrious manhood. The Southerner of good class was Aristotle's political animal; his antecedents were auspicious for leadership, as we have seen; his education prepared him for it, and before the nineteenth century was in its second quarter the exigencies of his section created a continuous demand for its exertion.

Several explanations have been offered to account for the poor showing of the Old South in <u>belles lettres</u>, but as yet no successful attempt has been made to estimate the section's actual achievement in terms of its animating ideals. The elimate, the lack of cities, the institution of slavery - all have been mentioned as militating against the production of literature, but inspection will reveal that the Old South wrote rather prolifically, and that in the field which it had marked out for its own, it held preeminence for close to a century. The Old South was an aristocracy whose hero was the warrior statesman, and besause it was intensely interested in the battle for political

Register of Debates, Twentieth Congress, First session, IV (Washington, 1828), 1327.



supremany, its literature was the literature of the forum.

It is a maxim that in every society education will ultimately serve the needs of the dominant class, and in the Old South this consisted of the gentleman planters, who contemplated lives of ease and independence. The plantation system, with its patriarchal administration, and the presence of slavery, which drove a wedge between the leisure class and those compelled to toil for a living, kept at the top of society a small group whose immediate tasks involved duties of a political nature. The Southern planter, although his ancestors may have been tradessen or yeomen. became, once he had perfected his material establishment in America, an aristocrat by calling, upon whom there devolved the work of keeping harmonious the efforts of a stratified and fairly complex community. The question of noble lineage. which usually gets much attention, becomes largely irrelevant when it is understood that, once in the New World, these settlers created and maintained a class seciety. By the beginning of the eighteenth century the

LThomas Jefferson Wertenbaker, <u>op. cit.</u>, pp. 105-107. For a good estimate of the influence of such duty on character see H. S. Fulkerson, <u>loc. cit</u>.

²Thomas Jefferson Wertenbaker, <u>The First Americans</u> (New York, 1927), pp. 1-21. It is not without significance that of all the colonies Virginia stood longest for the Stuarts (Robert Beverley, <u>The History of Virginia</u> [Richmond, 1855], pp. 232-233).

populace was effectually divided into a lower class of slaves and tenants, a middle class of yeomen, or small independent farmers, and an upper class of planters. The aristocracy of the Old South was small in number, and it was found in restricted areas, but such is the nature of aristocracy that if it is genuine - and that means if it earns and receives respect - its relative number is of little importance. It will set the tone of society, and those who aspire to rise in the world will seek to identify themselves with it. Though the number of antebellum mansions may soon be counted, it is none the less true that those who dwelled in them put their stamp upon the whole of Southern society, not excluding the turbulent frontier.²

Louis B. Wright, The First Gentlemen of Virginia (San Marino, Calif., 1940), p. 48.

(continued on page 45)

²In the Blue Grass region of Kentucky and in the Natchez region of Mississippi frontier conditions gave way to a fairly settled, aristocratic society in the space of two or three decades.

The amazing proliferation of military titles in the South (see Thomas D. Clark, "Gentlemen of Rank," <u>The</u> <u>Rampaging Frontier</u> [Indianapolis, 1939], pp. 183-204) which impressed all foreign travelers suggests that Americans were trying to "enoble" themselves within their political framework. Thus Colonel A. J. L. Fremantle of the Coldstream Guards reports General J. E. Johnston as remarking to him (<u>Three Months in the Southern States</u> [New York, 1864], p. 121): "You must be astonished to find how fond all Americans are of titles, although they are republicans; and as they can't get any other sort, they all take military ones."

Virginia, where this system lay in happiest balance, became the spearhead of the American Revolution and gave to the infant republic a wealth of leadership such as might have graced the golden age of another nation. It is a veritable Plutarchian list of heroes. Patrick Henry. one of the most elequent of Americans, and a man of prophetic vision; Washington, whose firm and segacious mind prevented the collapse of the colonial rebellion; Jefferson, cosmopolitan and bold political thinker; Madison, political journalist and learned student of constitutional history; John Marshall, "the legislative judge." who carried far the fateful impulse toward consolidation; and John Taylor of Carolina, farmer-philosopher, whose searching oritique of economic society anticipated the assumptions of Marxism these were the chief figures in that constellation of genius which emerged from the agrarian, feudal society of colonial Virginia, and who, joined with a few from other states, confirmed the South in the habit of governing.

^{2 (}continued from page 44)

According to Dr. F. E. Daniel (op. cit., p. 157) these titles were broadly proportionate to local importance. "In the South in those days, everybody who was anybody in particular had a military title, and the titles were graded according to one's importance in the vicinity, and ranged all the way from 'Cap,' bestowed on the postmaster and city marshall, through 'Major,' the title of the editor, 'Colonel,' the title of the town lawyer and politician, to 'General' for the fat, old rich fellows."

Their comparative superiority invites attention to the system of education which prepared them.

The Southern ideal of education rested on those traditional principles which may be traced to Aristotle by way of the Elizabethans. As it was designed to produce the well-rounded gentleman, its basic principles are fairly easy to distinguish. Education beyond the most elementary, it was believed, is adapted only to those whose minds are previously disposed to the virtuous and the honorable -1 in other words, to an aristooracy. It is not adapted to the masses, who appreciate only the utilitarian, and who are condemned to lead lives of service. According to a writer discussing public education in <u>The Southern Literary</u> <u>Messenger</u>.

> To enlighten all classes most effectively we should begin with the upper one first. Light should be set on a high place that it may dispel the darkness that surrounds us, and a few men truly and thoroughly educated would shed more light around them and awaken a desire of improvement in a greater number, than in any single way

²In the seventeenth century the guardian of one Virginia orphan was obligated by the courts to have him taught "honestly according to his degree" (Philip A. Bruce, Institutional History of Virginia [New York, 1910], I, 310.).

¹Jefferson, who led the popular party against the great landowners, projected his system of general education to free the state from a leadership confined to wealth and family (Roy J. Honeywell, <u>The Educational Work of Thomas</u> <u>Jefferson</u> [Cambridge, Mass., 1931], p. 25). The failure of his comprehensive program has been attributed to the feudal nature of antebellum Virginia (Charles W. Dabney, <u>Universal</u> <u>Education in the South</u> [Chapel Hill, 1936], I, 20).

in which we could diffuse it. 1

This diffusion, however, did not mean that equality was to be sought.

There are many things in which the attempt to introduce the principle of equality must end in the complete deterioration of all parties concerned. God made the greater light to rule the day, and the lesser light to rule the night; and this principle was through the whole of creation, and all attempts of man to subvert it must end, as they always have, in the manifest injury to all parties. 2

In the same vein a writer in <u>De Bow's Review</u> had the following to say about common schools and universities:

> There are those, I am aware, whose chosen theme it is to declaim upon the <u>democracy</u> of science and literature in this favored age, and to undervalue all education and acquirements unless they be of a popular character. To such I make no reply further than to say, I believe it is self-evident that it is impossible, in the nature of things, for the multitude to attain more than a very superficial acquaintance with the various departments of learning and knowledge. 3

The Southern system of education was to be devised in frank recognition of the section's caste system. William H. Trescott of South Carolina stated this determination in the baldest fachion:

> In establishing then, a system of education for a slave state, there are two principles which may be placed as a foundation on which to build. 1. That the state is not required to provide

ces. 21bid.

3De Bow's Review, XVIII (April, 1855), 553.

education for the great bulk of its laboring classes. 2. That it is required to afford that degree of education to everyone of its white citizens which will enable him intelligently and actively to control and direct the slave labor of the state. 1

While the planters of Virginia occasionally made provision for the common school education of the lower orders in their community, it was thus generally thought that higher education was for those whose position in life carried a mandate for public leadership. This led the antebellum South to an anomalous situation in which facilities for university training were more widespread and better developed than those for secondary school training. Archibald Roane, writing in <u>De Bow's Review</u>, expressed the Southern choice in this matter.

> If, then, one of the two systems of education the common school or the university system - must prevail exclusively or even generally, (and we have already shown that where the former is of the greatest excellence it does not necessarily follow the latter too must be so, and that even one may exist without the other,) I repeat, if a choice between the two must be made, it seems to me that no man who has regard to the honor, reputation, and glory of his country, abroad and in future time, can hesitate to give preference to the university system. We, in the south, at least, who have not been so much carried away by the crude and radical theories of the times, can have no such hesitation.

1<u>Ibid.</u>, XX (January, 1856), 148.

²The sircumstance that in early Virginia even primary education was largely dependent on family provision made its possession a sign of one's position in the economic hierarchy (Philip A. Bruce, op. cit., I, 293-307).

3De Bow's Review, XVIII (April, 1855), 554.

The education which the South envisaged for its upper class was humanistic, and so framed as to instill the elassic qualities of magnificence. magnanimity. and liberality. The virtues of a ruling casts depend upon the kind of teaching which molds character. Discussing the proposal to organize a "Central Southern University" at which the sons of the South would be taught the principles of their society, an author in De Bow's Review set down the fellowing as first consideration: "In the organization of the university, this maxim should be borne in mind: nething is improper to be taught which is proper for a gentleman to learn. "" While proponents of education talked in general about enlarging the sentiments and developing the powers of the mind, the intimation remained plain that institutions of higher learning were expected to turn out a type, and that the basic aim of instruction was to teach propriety, rather than to cultivate eleverness and ingenuity. This is significant as showing a tendency, for in proportion as a social system is rigid, it will set premium upon conformity to the accepted type and ignore those whose only

2De Bow's Review, XXIII (December, 1857), 579.

IThus Professor Nathaniel Beverley Tucker (quoted by T. J. Wertenbaker, <u>Patrician and Plebian in Virginia</u>, p. 101) could write of Virginia's first college: "If there is anything by which the University of William and Mary has been advantageously distinguished, it is the liberal and magnanimous character of its discipline."

birthright is ability.

Such education was moral in the sense that it would give the youth a sound set of values. In accordance with the theory of the Greek thinker, it would teach one to approve and to disapprove of the right objects and in the right manner; in short, to display a set of correct sentiments determined by the code of the gentleman. In a defense of the education of the planter class a writer in Russell's Marazine maintained that "apart from professional exactness and professional details, they have the general scholarly training which so well becomes the gentleman, and is so necessary to the perfect development of his character." This was praised as liberal because it resulted in "a catholicity of taste as well as of feeling, and an elevated view of all subjects, particularly public affairs." The stadent, declared a writer in De Bow's Review, "must be regarded as a moral, as an intellectual, and as a physical being; and any system that does not provide for the proper development of each one of these departments (if the term be permitted) falls short of the requirements of his nature."

2De Bow's Review, XXIII (December, 1857), 580.

IBussell's Magazine, I (May, 1857), 100. In a characterization of Jeb Stuart, John Esten Cooke (<u>Wearing</u> of the Gray [New York, 1867], p. 30) declared: "His education was that of the gentleman rather than the scholar. 'Napoleon's Maxims; A translation of Jomini's Treatise on War, and one of two similar works, were all in which he appeared to take pleasure."

This presumed a well-rounded regimen which would leave him prepared, like Milton's scholar, to perform all general duties, both public and private, of peace and of war.

The significant feature of this training was its avoidance of specialization. That this avoidance was deliberate, and that it derived from the premises there can be no question. Since specialization is illiberal in a freeman, his acquaintence with the arts and sciences must remain that of the amateur. He must learn enough to be a judge of proficiency in others, but proficiency in himself is a sign of vulgarity. Nothing can be clearer than that the men who drew up the program of the Southern universities desired to produce neither bookish minds nor clever special-They were training young men for the Southern world, ists. where scholarship was politely admired and then largely ignored. The students were fully alive to these purposes. and thus we find a youthful orator at the College of William and Mary in 1699 warning his institution against turning out the scholar type:

> For in such a retired corner of the world, far from business and action, if we make scholars, they are in danger of proving mere scholars, which make a very ridiculous figure, made up of pedantry, disputatiousness, positiveness, and a great many other ill qualities which render them not fit for action and conversation. 1

Louis B. Wright, op. cit., p. 109.

In 1839, nearly a century and a half later, an article in the <u>Southern Literary Messenger</u> reflected an identical point of view. The writer declared:

> Non who are endowed in any extraordinary manner with one talent, are generally unfit for any pursuit in life. They take no interest in the ordinary affairs of society - are lost to all notions of prudence, or considerations of the useful everything is sacrificed to the indulgence of the one ruling passion. It is well, we say, that society is not made up of such men - but that it consists of those who have no very great capacity for one pursuit more than another - and who possess all the faculties in a moderate degree. 1

King Philip's famous taunt to his son Alexander, whe had performed skillfully upon the flute, "Are you not 2 ashamed, son, to play so well?" would have been understood in the antebellum South. The thought of devoting oneself to learning for its own sake did not appeal to the ruling slass. Allowing for the great attention paid the study of law, one may say that Southerners, like the English whom they imitated, wanted at the head of affairs not professionals, but competent amateurs. Training for

Houthern Literary Messenger, V (July, 1839), 443. Plutarch's Lives of Illustrious Men (Chicago, n.d.), I, 235. This view, universal among the ancients, was based on the principle that "He who busies himself with mean occupations produces, in the very pains he takes about things of little or no use, an evidence against himself of his negligence and indisposition to what is really good" (Ibid.).

Thus a writer in the <u>Southern Literary Messenger</u> (XXVIII [April, 1859], p. 310) could say: "All education should be conducted with reference to the mind. We should not seek knowledge for its own sake." headership there was of course, as has been noted, but when the training is scrutinized, it turns out to be such as in another age would have produced the courtier, with perhaps an extra allowance for rhetoric. So great was the value set on the spoken word that at the University of Georgia "forensic disputation" was a required course, and the testimenials proving the Southern college youth's anticipation i for a future in politics are numberless.

The attitude of the Old South toward education, and is a parallel way toward the arts, cannot be understood until it is realized that the propertied classes regarded their roles in life as fixed, and that academic training was expected to prepare their sons to play their parts as leaders in the little plantation world, or perhaps in the state or national legislature, with skill and credit. That many who entered life without possessions received this training does not diminish the force of the assertion, for they were regarded as in a sense probationers who in the course of a career of the approved type, would be assimilated into this class. Professionalism and specialization, except

¹E. Merton Coulter, <u>College Life in the Old South</u> [New York, 1928], p. 63. See also Virginia Fitzgerald, "A Southern College Boy Eighty Years Ago," <u>South Atlantic</u> <u>Quarterly</u>, XV (July, 1921), pp. 236-246.

in the law, were for those unlucky enough to have to make their living by them.

It is axiomatic that in any society self-made men will imitate those for whom greatness is a birthright. This explains the tremendous pressure to conform to the gentleman type. The sons of yeomen who passed by way of education and success at law into the upper ranks of society -2 and there were many such - must have felt doubly obligated to accept the point of view of the group in which they were pervenue. The gentleman's ideal of behavior has been in all periods the same; he is a self-justifying type, who feels that he does not have to earn his position by special exertions. That is to say, his importance lies not in what he can do, but in what he is. The gentleman expresses an

IThe Southern lawyer was prome to envisage himself in the role of the Roman patron, as a champion of those needing protection. William J. Grayson in dedicating his <u>The</u> <u>Hireling and the Slave</u> (Charleston, 1856), n.p., to James L. Petigru wrote: "The most exalted station in society is that of the advocate who employs distinguished legal attainments and abilities to defend the unfortunate, vindicate truth and right, and maintain law, order and established government, and this station is universally admitted to be yours."

The exalted Southern conception of the calling was expressed by Professor Beverley Tucker in an address on the study of law before the students of William and Mary College (<u>Southern Literary Messenger</u>, I (August, 1834), 46): "To be eminent in our profession is to hold a place among the great ones of the earth, and they, who devote themselves to it, have the rare advantage of treading the paths which lead to the highest objects of honorable ambition, even while walking the round of daily duties, and providing for the daily wants of private life."

²⁸ce Joshua W. Caldwell, "The Lawyers and the Aristocracy," <u>The South in the Building of the Nation</u> (Richmond, 1909), VII, 347-352.

end in himself, and the display of skills, powers, and cleverness alone does not gain one admission to his circle. These are things needed by those who have not yet arrived in the world, their exhibition as sign that the possessor is still in process of becoming. The gentleman, on the other hand. Is heir to the aristocratic knowledge that he oves his position to the structure of society and not to anything that he can do especially well. There is a persisting belief that to make a man a specialist is somehow to interfere with his performance as a whole man. The more or less brutal truth is that the career of a gentleman is being a gentleman. The social and educational regimen of the Old South was accordingly such as to prepare the fortunate for public life, to produce men of integrity and decision, who could talk well and wear the graces - not guill-drivers or "career men" of letters, or explorers of the scientific world.

No explanation of the comparative absence of imaginative literature in the South is complete which does not include as a cause the traditional aristocratic contempt for the artist as specialist. The classic expression of

IThe French traveler Michael Chevalier (Society, Manners and Politics in the United States [Boston, 1839], p. 115) noted that the Virginian "is better able to command men, than to conquer nature, and subdue the soil."

this attitude comes from Netspur, the <u>beau</u> sabreur of a society not too unlike that of the antebellum South:

I'd rather be a kitten and cry new

Than one of these same metre ballad mongers. In such a milieu literature is looked upon as an elegant indulgence, pardonable in those who use it as entertainment for their leisure, reprehensible in those who pursue it to the extent of neglecting personal or public cares. Where war and statecraft are held the chief offices of man, precesupation with an art will be regarded as a sentimental 1

This is the basic cause of the Old South's failure to cultivate literature. The talent which went into the erations of Patrick Henry, the state papers of Jefferson, the journalism of Madison, and the political economy of John Taylor was unquestionably of a high order, but it was confined to one field of play. The endeavors which elsewhere produced imaginative treatments of the human scene, or, as in New England, theocratic disputes and transcondentalist philosophies, in the South flowered in political elassics. Jefferson, the apostle of liberty,

LA note of contempt crept into the <u>Southern Literary</u> <u>Messenger's</u> discussion of the <u>Pickwick Papers</u> (III [September, 1837], p. 532): "We have no large cities on this side of the Potomac, and therefore no mobs, civil or literary."

after stating the grounds for American independence, wrote a series of state papers and political speculations which may well be regarded as the most seminal in our history; Heary, political seer, predicted in his three most celebrated orations the division of church and state, the separation of the colonies from the mother country, and the then far-off War Between the States: Madison, erudite student of history, joined with Alexander Hamilton and John Jay to write the authoritative exposition of the nature of the union; and John Taylor of Caroline, an unpretending country gentleman, turned, like Cincinnatus, from the plow to the concerns of his country and presented the case for agrarian civilization in his formidable An Incuiry into the Principles and Polities of the Government of the United States, a work which one qualified student has described as worthy "to rank with the two or three really historic contributions to political science which have been produced in this country."

While the South continued to enjoy the political and social leadership which these denote, while her institutions were yet unchallenged, her literary sterility, though often admitted, was not seriously deplored. In

¹Charles A. Beard. <u>Economic Origins of Jeffersonian</u> <u>Democracy</u> (New York, 1927), p. 323.

1820, however, the Missouri Compromise sharply dramatized the growing sectional hostility, and thereafter the South considered it a repreach to herself to remain the literary pupil of the North. Sectionalism in politics had now fully emerged, and it was to be followed by the effort to express a regional culture. From this time on repeated attempts were made to establish journals which should be vehicles of Southern learning and present the Southern point of view on topics of general interest. The Southern Review of Charleston was the first solid achievement in this direction, and although it attained a standard of real excellence and appears today the most characteristically Southern of all that were attempted. it lasted only from 1828 to 1832. Two years after its cessation, however, a Richmond printer, Thomas Willys White, founded the Southern Liberary Messenger, which, despite faltering first steps, was destined to be a mouthpiece of Southern culture for thirty years and to come nearer than any other medium V to giving the Southland the literary independence which was being sought. The first issue of this distinguished journal, appearing in August, 1834, carried an editorial which is a summing-up of much that has here been pointed out.

> It is folly to boast of political ascendancy, of moral influence, of professional eminence, or unrivalled oratory, when in all the Corinthian graces which adorn the structure of the mind, we are lamentably deficient. It is worse than folly to talk of 'this ancient and unterrified commonwealth' - if we suffer ourselves to be terrified

at the idea of supporting one poor periodical, devoted to letters and mental improvement. 1

The issue carried also a list of letters from correspondents caluting its appearance. Their general tone reflects a resentment of Northern intellectual hegemony and a hope that the South will ascept this opportunity to express itself on topics other than politics. Said one correspondent:

> With these sentiments, you may be assured that I wish success to your endeavor to rouse the spirit of the South in the cause of literature; to draw its intellectual energies from the everlasting and monotonous discussion of politics, which has run the same round of arguments and toplos for forty years, and allure her favored sons and daughters to the kinder and brighter fields of science and letters.

Another wrote:

I look with much anxiety to your Launch, (which I wish had been the title of your work) the first of any promise in Virginia, heartily desiring it God-speed - yet fearing that you may meet with some inaptitude or distaste to mere literary contribution from the educated of our eitizens. This, however, cannot last long; you may feel it at the outset, but it will soon end; for I doubt not that the Messenger, as one of its best effects, will draw into literary exercise the talents which now lie fallow throughout the community, or which have long extravasated in politics or professions. 3

¹Southern Literary Messenger, I (August, 1834), 2.
²<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 127.
³<u>Ibid</u>.

A third likewise found reason to hope that it would create an appetite for literary fame and thus diminish the obsession with politics:

> We have been too long tributary to the north; it is time, high time, to awake from our lethargy to rise to the majesty of our intellectual strength, put on the paneply of talents and genius, and <u>strike</u> for the 'prize of our high calling.' If the object of your labors be attained, of which there can be no reasonable doubt, posterity will be more grateful to you than to thousands of political <u>exquisites</u> of the day, whose memory will last only so long as their ephemeral productions. 1

In spite of its outward success, however, it is plain that the <u>Messenger</u> had to contend with much apathy and indifference in its regional constituency. Thus in 1843, nine years after the hopeful beginning just described, one finds the editor exclaiming, "How glad to us will be the day, when an ardent love of liberal learning shall have supplanted some of the hobbies of Southern intellect, have roused its slumbering energies and imparted a taste for 2 purest joys and sweetest solaces." By 1857 it appeared that some progress had been made against the universal preoccupation with politics, and the editor could exult in the new-found glory of authors:

The literary men are regarded with greater consideration than formerly, and are not now

1<u>1b1d</u>. 2<u>1b1d</u>., IX (September, 1843), 575. compelled to walk under the huge legs of politicians and peep about to find themselves dishonorable graves. It is getting to be thought that a man may, perhaps, accomplish as much for the South by writing a good book as by making a successful stump speech; that he who contributes to the enjoyment of his fellew-citizens by a lofty poem or shapes their convictions by a powerful essay is not an idle dreamer merely and that the pen devoted to the treatment of subjects out of the range of political and commercial activities is as usefully employed as the tongue which is exercised in the wearisome declamation of legislative halls. 1

Such spells of enthusiasm, however, were short-lived, and expectations were dashed. Two years later in connection with a pointless debate then going on in Charleston over whether William Gilmore Simms could write good English prose there appeared this characteristic note of exasperation: "When will the people of the South learn to know and honour 2 their worthiest literary men?"

The last of such ventures was <u>Russell's Magazine</u>, which was commenced in 1857 under the sponsorship of fimrod, Hayne, and others. An editorial in the second issue reflected the sense of cultural isolation:

> Year after year, under the influence of foreign pressure and outrage, the Southern States have been drawing closer the bonds of a common brotherhood, and developing in self-reliance, energy, courage, and all the resources of independent nationality, they are rapidly aspiring to the station which God designed that they should occupy and adorn. 3

1Ibid., XXV (December, 1857), 471. 2Ibid., XXIX (October, 1859), 315. 3Russell's Magazine, I (May, 1857), 178.

Ironically, at the very time when the South declared its literary independence of the North. Southern authors found it imperative to give a larger share of their intellectual energies to the political struggle. The quarrel over the tariff, the nullification movement, and the beginning of incendiary attacks on slavery put the section squarely on the defensive, with the result that in the period 1828-1835 the abler leaders of the South definitely abandoned democracy. From this time on mounting political seal deflected creative spirits from complete dedication to literature and also altered for the worse the South's contribution to political thought, heretofore its chief claim to intellectual distinction. The speculations of Henry, Washington, Jefferson, Madison, R. H. Lee, and Taylor may be called philosophic in the best sense of the word: resting on assumptions furnished by the French Enlightenment. they deal with the broad topic of man in society and discuss principles certain to assert themselves wherever there is free political organization. After the South had been warned to look for destructive attacks on her institutions, both temper and point of view shifted. Its writing became devoted, on the one hand, to an exposition of propositions already crystallized in law; and on the other, to a demonstration of the humanitarian aspects of slavery. The real question was begged; it was now not how might the South

best define the legal status of the African for the benefit of the general polity, but what would be the most effective propaganda to counter the stream flowing down from the North. The first question had been uppermost in many minds before hatred clouded the picture, but in the four decades preceding the war dispaceionate discussion practically vanished. One is able to appreciate the intensity of the feeling which was generated when he learns that it drove into sectional consciousness such detatched and cosmopolitan intelligences as Thomas Jefferson and Edgar 1 Pres.

In this connection John C. Calhoun may be studied as a bridge between the old and the new schools of Southern political thought. Born of up-country yeoman stock, he was of undistinguished origin, but through law, and marriage unto a family of position he took the sure

¹Gloomy over the Missouri Compromise, Jefferson wrote to John Holmes in April, 1820: (<u>Works</u>, Federal Edition [New York, 1904], XXI, 159.) "But as it is, we have the wolf by the ears, and we can neither hold him safely or let him go. Justice is in one scale, and selfpreservation in the other.

Reviewing J. K. Paulding's <u>Blavery in the United</u> States, Poe expressed the following opinion of abolitionists (<u>Southern Literary Messenger</u>, II [April, 1836], p. 336: "They superinduce a something like despair of success in any attempt that may be made to resist the attack on all our rights, of which that on Domestic Slavery (the basis of all our institutions) is but the precursor."

read to the tep of the social hierarchy. Unlike the typical Southerner in his ascetic habits and humorless disposition, Calhoun made a lasting contribution to the political literature of his section, if not indeed to the science of politics, with his doctrine of the concurrent majority, an attempt to fix a procedure whereby in any commonwealth the minerity may check the tyranny of the majority. The intellectual resourcefulness indicated by this ingenious theory must place him among the foremost American political thinkers, but at the same time it was so plainly a rationalization of sectional needs that he appears the forerunner of the Southern apologists, and his "dream of a Greek 1 democracy" part of the Southern defensive reaction.

This reaction was twofold: it comprised in part an attack on the libertarian dogmas of the French Revolution, and in part a new theory of "social articulation." The leading exponents of this theory, who became quite vocal after 1830, reveal a noteworthy unity of belief. Among them was Thomas R. Dew, a Virginian, who studied in Germany during the era of Fichte and Hegel and returned home to teach at William and Mary, filled with the notion that duties are more important than rights. Called upon to

¹ See V. L. Parrington, <u>Main Currents in American</u> Thought (New York, 1927), II, 99-108.

testify before a legislative committee, he dismissed Jefferson's theories as "glittering generalities," stated in substance the iron law of wages, and maintained that all great civilisations are built on the toil of subject l peoples.

Another was Chancellor Harper of South Carolina, who is 1837 published <u>A Memoir on Slavery</u>. He took the position that those who control property will always rule, that organized society has a variety of offices which must be performed by human beings of different castes, and that education should be given in accordance with the recipient's predestined role in life. "Is it not palpably nearer the truth," he asked in an examination of the axiom that all men are created free and equal, "to say that no man was ever born free and that no two men were ever born equal?" Man is born to subjection, he reasoned, just as he is born in sin and ignorance.

The most striking contribution to this body of thought, however, was George Fitzhugh's <u>Sociology for the</u> <u>South</u>, published in 1854. This work is unique in the boldness of its attack on the theory of free society and in its remarkable foreshadowing of the modern corporate

¹Thomas R. Dew, "Professor Dew on Slavery," <u>Pro-</u> <u>Slavery Arguments</u> (Charleston, 1852), pp. 324 ff. ²Chancellor Harper, "Harper on Slavery," <u>1616.</u>, 6.

state. Fitzhugh argued that competitive society throws the burden on the weak and the ignorant, whose toil goes to swell "the vulgar pomp and pagentry of ignorant millionaires." and that therefore the whole world should return to slavery, for "slavery is a form, and the very best form of socialism." Private property should be preserved, because its possession tends "to beget learning. skill, and high morel qualifications.* but liberty is a delusion, since all virtue lies in the performance of duty. Though not without misstatements and exaggerations. the book is an outline of the totalitarian state, which substitutes for individual liberty and free competition a fixed hierarchy and state provision for all classes; and it shows how far out of the main current of nineteenth century thought the Southern apologists had moved in order to defend their inherited system.

An impulse capable of driving political philosophers so far to the right could not fail to be reflected in general literature. The desire in the South for literary fame, never of hardy growth, thrusting up in spite of the tendencies of education and social pressure, now had to

¹George Fitzhugh, <u>Sociology for the South</u> (Richmond, 1854), p. 92. ²<u>Ibid</u>., p. 27. ³<u>Ibid</u>., p. 191.

encounter a gathering political hurricane. We have seen that at the time when the South embarked upon literary independence, it embarked also upon militant sectionalism, If previously the pursuit of belles lettres had been viewed as an amiable frivolity, it might, after the South has commenced its bitter ideological struggle with the North, he regarded as nothing less than remissness in duty. The prevailing notion had always been that a man of parts should serve the state, even in times of peace. Opposed to the effect of a few progressive spirits to win the Southern intellectual away from politics there must be noted the tendency, strong and pervasive, especially among the popular element, to demand of him some form of public service. Thus the gallant Philip Pendleton Cooke, circumstanced as few were in his time to court the muse, had the following illuminating incident to report: "What do you think of a good friend of mine, a most valuable and worthy, and hardriding one, saying gravely to me a short time ago: 'I wouldn't waste time on a damned thing like poetry; you might make yourself, with all your sense and judgment, a useful man in settling neighborhood disputes and difficulties. ** Cooke himself was to some extent tinctured

^{1&}lt;u>Southern Literary Messenger</u>, XVII (October-November, 1861), 670.

with the same attitude. Literature he regarded as an ocoupation for the middle years; after that age and gravity called for statecraft. "My literary life opens now," he wrote to a friend. "If the world manifest any disposition to hear my 'utterances,' it will be abundantly gratified. I am thirty: until I am forty literature shall be my calling - avoiding however to rely upon it pecuniarily - then (after forty) politics will be a seguitur."

Richard Henry Wilde, whose delicate faculty gave the world "My Love is Like the Summer's Rose," drew censure for choosing to spend years studying the legend and romance of Medieval Italy. "The mission to which Mr. Wilde addressed his faculties and gave years of toil in Europe," wrote a chronicler of the bench and bar of Georgia.

> was not is harmony with his relative duties to mankind and with that position which his eminent talents and finished cultivation had secured from the world. He was qualified for extensive practical usefulness as a jurist, scholar and statesman... In Europe there was delight to the senses, but mildew to the heart. The voluptuary, the man of fashion, the idler were gratified; but the moral here, the public benefactor, the man of enterprise, and the scholar of a just ambition, desirous to leave a record of popular utility, would turn with generous self-denial from such enchantments. 2

His critic could not understand how Wilde could

11b14., p. 672.

²³tephen F. Miller, Bench and Bar of Georgia (Philadelphia, 1858), II, 360.

devote years of study to Tasso, "to the sentimental details, to the fantacies of insanity, and that, too, not for the benefit of medical jurispurdence." In short, "The task, with whatever success performed by Mr. Wilde, was below the merit which should have sustained itself in a better field, - at the forum, in the walks of political economy, in commerce, in constitutional law, or in the analysis of government, all of which admitted the classic beauties of 2 style."

The "scholar of a just ambition" is an especially meaningful phrase. By it the writer was pointing to the student of public affairs, whose research and meditation may be counted on to promote the interests of society, not to add to self-culture, or to further art for art's sake. As John Donald Wade has remarked, ante bellum Georgia considered all activity of the latter kind dilet-3 tantism.

Occasionally this attitude took the form of direct patriotic appeal to Southerners of a reflective cast of mind to produce a Southern philosophy. "From the Bible and Aristotle," stated a writer in De Bow's Review, "we

¹<u>Ib1d</u>., p. 361.

[&]quot;Ibid.

³John Donald Wade, <u>Augustus Baldwin Longstreet</u> (New York, 1922), p. 190.

can deduce (added to our own successful experiment) quite enough to build a new philosophy on the ruins of the present false and vicious system." The urgency of the time required a Southern transvaluation of values.

> Hence it follows that all books in the whole range of moral science, if not written by Southern authors, within the last twenty or thirty years, inculcate abolition either directly or indirectly. If written before that time, even by Southern authors, they are likely to be as absurd and as dangerous as the Declaration of Independence, or the Virginia Bill of Rights. 2

In an environment where insistent denigration of artistic interest was reinforced by overmastering temptation to enter the political debate, there was no room for <u>belles lettres</u> as a profession. Rewards awaited upon other forms of activity, and most of those who in the heat and imagination of youth tried poetry and fiction gave it up for good, or for long intervals, either to take up political journalism, or to become men of affairs.

The gifted man of letters swallowed up in the lawyer is one of the common figures of the Old South. William Wirt, whose <u>Letters of a British Soy and The Old</u> <u>Bachelor reveal a talent for the genial essay</u>, became Attorney-General of the United States and gave to office

¹De Bow's Review, XXIII (October, 1857), 339. ²Ibid., p. 341.

that energy which might have made him conspicuous in 1 literature, as was noted by a contemporary biographer. John Pendleton Kennedy, the friend and benefactor of Poe. after producing in Swallow Barn one of the idylis of American literature, a work which for charm and definess is not surpassed by the best of Irving, closed a twentyyear period of dilettante activity by sinking into the complacent functionary and businessman. The career of Nathaniel Beverley Tucker, however, provides perhaps the best example of how Southern men of literary endowment were won from pure literature by the challenge of the sectional political contest. Born at "Matoax" to a family rich in traditions of service to the state, Tucker graduated from the College of William and Mary, spent a period practising law in Missouri, and then returned to his alma mater as professor. In 1834 he published George Balcombe, a novel described by Poe as the best America had to that time Two years later appeared The Partisan Leader, produced. a remarkable forecast of sectional conflict, issued under the date "1856" in the hope that it would prove a warning to Virginians. The Partisan Leader is a prime instance of

^{17.} W. Thomas, John Randolph of Roanoke and Other Sketches of Character, Including William Wirt (Philadelphia, 1853), p. 46. 27 The Works of Edgar Allan Poe (New York, 1903), VII, 303.

a novel with a specific political purpose. One beholds in this story, with its long disputes over tariffs and other topics of sectional rivalry, the absorbing political strife which was soon to engulf its author completely. A vehement advocate of state rights and the agrarian order, Tucker accepted the gage which he felt had been thrown down by the North and poured forth a stream of political treatises, but in doing so he silenced one more literary voice for the South.

The career of William Gilmore Simms demands especial appraisal, for it is peculiarly instructive in the fascination which the Southern social order exercised upon men of strong and independent mind, even while it tormented them with frustration. Simms was the nearest approach to the professional man of letters to be found in the antebellum South, if we leave out the doubtful case of Edgar Pos. Born outside the aristocratic class, he made a determined effort to gain entry into it by means of his pen, and if an indefstigable industry and allegiance to the principles of his society had been measures of success, he would have gone to the top. For several years he deserted romance, in which his achievement had been best recognized, to attempt biography, history, and oratory, securing with his "The Morals of Slavery" a place alongside Chancellor Harper and Governor Hammond in Pro-Blavery Argument. In

1849 he assumed the unrewarding editorship of the Southern Quarterly Review with the object of giving the South an organ comparable with New England's North American Review. But it was not to be; his considerable exertions in defense of the Southern feudal order did not get him admitted to the magic circle; he discovered that in this milieu proficiency in letters, even loyal service to the regime, was no substitute for property holding or family In Charleston, if anywhere, aristocratic connections. disdain for the mere litterateur was decisive, and no conceivable fame in the field of his choice would have been weighed in the scale with the successes of others in camp and senate. Although by some miracle of affection Binms remained a loyal Charlestonian to the end, he could not refrain in moments of despondency from recognizing that celebrated city's indifference to his work. On October 30, 1858. he wrote in a personal memorandum:

IThe terms employed by a writer in the <u>Southern</u> <u>Literary Messenger (XXVIII [May, 1859], p. 370) to pay</u> tribute to Simms suggest that he was appreciated on two counts, his conformity to the gentleman ideal, and his labor in defense of Southern institutions: "Mr. Simms occupies a position in the eyes of the Southern people which is most honorable. The chivalric gentleman - the accomplished scholar - the untiring defender of the South, and all its rights and interests, he is everywhere recognized as one of our most worthy citizens, and distinguished ornaments."

Thirty odd years have passed, and I can now mournfully say that the old man was right. All that I have done has been poured to waste in Charleston, which has never smiled on any of my labors, which has steadily ignored my claims, which has disparaged me to the last, has been the last place to give me its adhesion, to which I owe no favor, having never received an office, or a compliment, or a dollar at her hands; and, with the exception of some dozen of her citizens, who have been kind to me, and some scores of her young men, who have honored me with loving sympathy and something like reverence, which has always treated me like a public enemy to be sneered at than a dutiful son doing her honor. 1

A passage in his poem "The Western Emigrants" has been

taken as reflecting the same feeling:

Simple change of place Is seldom exile, as it hath been call'd, But idly. There's a truer banishment To which such faith were gentle. 'Tis to be An exile on the spot where you were born; -A stranger to the hearth which saw your youth, -Banish'd from hearts to which your heart is turned. 2

¹Quoted in W. P. Trent, <u>William Gilmore Simms</u> (Boston, 1892), p. 238. It is not unusual, of course, to find an author railing against the indifference of the local community; thus Hawthorne, Simms' contemporary, had a elosely analogous opinion of his native Salem. The Southern writer, however, seems to have felt that he was up against what Paul Hamilton Hayne (<u>ibid.</u>, p. 238) described as "a species of ignorance... invincibly blind and presumptuous." This description certainly implies class prejudice.

Again Hayne in a letter to Margaret J. Preston (Quoted in <u>The Last Years of Henry Timrod</u>, ed. Jay B. Hubbell [Durham, 1941], p. 66) spoke bitterly of the local attitude: "Touching the <u>Southern Public</u>, and those who from places of practical trust & toil lead, - generally its opinions, we <u>artists</u> - may as well make up our minds to receive nothing unless it be contumely, and a thinly-weiled contempt."

²william Gilmore Simms, <u>Poems Descriptive</u>, <u>Dramatic</u>, <u>Legendary</u>, <u>and Contemplative</u> (New York, 1853), II, 165.

This, together with his famous self-composed spitaph, "Here lies one who, after a reasonably long life, distinguished chiefly by unceasing labors, has left all line better work undons," is a poignant testimony of defeat. The tragedy of Simms' whole effort was that he expected something which this society was simply not prepared to give, and in the struggle he sacrificed too much. As compromises are usually fatal, little doubt exists that the concessions he was compelled to make to be effective as a man account for his relative mediocrity as poet and romancer.

The antebellum Southern humorists, who will be remembered as the creators of an indigenous American literature, exhibit the same drift from art to politics. Augustus Baldwin Longstreet, who with <u>Georgia Scenes</u> began the tradition of frontier humor, spoke apologetically of his volume as a mere <u>bagatelle</u> and soothed his conscience by hoping that the sketches would one day be valuable as history. Later in life, having gained place and respectability, he plunged into the proslavery argument with Letters on the Epistle of Paul to Philemon, or the Connection of Apostolic Christianity with Slavery, and A

¹Quoted in W. P. Trent, <u>William Gilmore Simms</u>, p. 323. 20. P. Fitzgerald, <u>Judge Longstreet</u> (Nashville, 1891), p. 88.

<u>Voice from the South</u>. Joseph Glover Baldwin, a genius at the comic, followed up his entertaining <u>The Flush Times</u> of <u>Alabama and Mississippi</u> not with more writing of the imaginative kind, which the popularity of this work should have encouraged, but with <u>Party Leaders</u>, a series of political portraits of such men as Hamilton, Jefferson, and Clay.

An aristocracy, if it is rich and secure, will foster the arts by means of largesse and patronage; but it does not assimilate the artist; it continues to regard him qua artist. He is, after all, an entertainer. If on the other hand the aristocracy is menaced, it will gird itself for battle, and the artist, who in calmer times was its charge, will suffer neglect and perhaps contumely. The dominant society of the Old South was an aristocracy. incerfect but in process of perfecting itself. Its position, however, was remarkably precarious. It was threatened flank and rear; from the North came attack on its labor system, which, as everyone recognized, was the kingpin of the entire Southern economy. From the West came the incessant pressure of the frontier, with its natural equalitarianism. Defense against these powerful forces demanded that things be kept in battle order. Ranks must be closed, allegiance firm. In the light of these circumstances, one understands why the young Southerner was educated to be a political soldier.

He was the heir apparent of a civilization which rested on principles antedating the French Repolution. While New England in her golden day was softening down Calvinism into Unitarianism, the Southern intellectuals were busy building up a rigid theology to defend a social order with which their fortunes stood or fell. The concentration upon this task, which began with the academic education, continued with maturity, and flowered, as it often did, in a manifesto bearing the fruits of conscientious research and meditation, left little room for the more disinterested kind of creativeness. To many of them literary aspiration appeared a thing of guilt. Pleasure was there; duty was here. They cultivated literature in odd hours and then left it for sterner employments.

Every system of education, as Aristotle declared, is ultimately a tool of the state, and the controlling point of view will be that which the state visualizes as its chief source of welfare. In one society it may be commercial skill; in another science and invention. In the Old South it was an <u>ad hoc</u> humanism which produced the

¹John Pendleton Kennedy in a letter to Washington Irving dated May 1, 1835, said (<u>Horse-Shoe Robinson</u> [New York, 1937], p. 3): "You have convinced our wise ones at home that a man may sometimes write a volume without losing his character-."

gentleman scholar and the political soldier. When one considers this education and this temperament, it comes as no surprise that in the era following Appomattox many Southerners felt impelled to re-enter the forum. Nearly all of them believed that the various Northern statements of what the struggle was for rested not only upon misinterpretations of the "constitutional compact" - a phrase dear to Southerners of legal training - but also upon shallow and sophistical theories of society. It was a matter of proclaiming justice amid the ruins, but the powerful polemic vein which had run from Patrick Henry to Calhoun continued in appreciable volume for more than fifteen years after the collapse of the Confederacy. A succeeding chapter will discuss the pivotal ideas with which the apologists of the lost cause sought at least the consolation of a forensic victory.

4. The Older Religiousness

Just as there was much in the economic and social structure of the Old South to suggest Europe before the disintegration of the medieval synthesis, so there was much in its religious attitude to recall the period preceding the Age of Reason. For although the South was heavily Protestant, its attitude toward religion was essentially the attitude of orthodoxy: it was a simple

acceptance of a body of belief, an innocence of protest and heresy which left religion one of the unquestioned and unquestionable supports of the general settlement under which men live. One might press the matter further and say that it was a doctrinal innocence, for the average Southerner knew little and probably cared less about casuistical theology or the metaphysics underlying all religion; what he recognized was the acknowledgement. the submissiveness of the will, and that general respect for order, natural and institutional, which is piety. A religious solid South preceded the political Solid South. Such disputes as occurred among churchmen were ecclesiastical rather than theological, and the laymen themselves preferred not to regard religion as a matter for discussion. Religion was a matter for profession, and after one had professed belief, he became a member of a religious brotherhood, but this did not encourage him to examine the foundations of belief, or to assail the professions of others. There were quarrels over small points of orthodoxy among the clergy, but a tendency to take the Gospel as handed down prevented the bold speculative flights which gave New England its Golden Day. The Southerner did not want a reasoned belief, but a satisfying dogma, and the innumerable divisions which occurred on the Southern and Western frontiers are ascribable to a religious intensity

together with an absence of discipline rather than to a desire to effect a philosophic synthesis, as was elsewhere the case. As early as 1817 <u>The Western Gazetteer</u>; or <u>Emigrants' Directory</u> made the following report on the condition of religion in Kentucky: "Baptists, Methodists, Preebyterians, and Seceders are the prevailing sects; they manifest a spirit of harmony and liberality toward each other, and whatever may have been said to the contrary, it is a solemn truth, that religion is nowhere more respected than in Kentucky." Throughout the South and West there occurred the anomalous condition of an incredible flowering ef sects accompanied by the more primitive type of emotional 2 response to religion.

New England, on the other hand, was settled in the

²For a good account of the multiplicity of sects on the Western frontier see Frances Trollope, <u>Domestic</u> <u>Manners of the Americans</u> (Boston, 1832), pp. 139-145.

¹Samuel R. Brown, <u>The Western Gazetteer</u>; or <u>Emi</u>-<u>grents' Directory</u> (Auburn, N. Y., 1817), p. 114. The observant Francis J. Grund (<u>The Americans in Their Moral</u>, <u>Bocial</u>, and Political Relations [Boston, 1837], p. 158) neted that Americans generally were wonderfully tolerant of sects and schisms while expecting everyone to admit some religious belief: "Although the most perfect tolerance exists with regard to particular creeds, yet it is absolutely necessary that a man should belong to some persuasion or other, lest his fellow citizens should consider him an outcast from society. The Jews are tolerated in America with the same liberality as any denomination of Christians; but if a person were to call himself a Diest or an Atheist, it would exercise universal execration."

early years by people who had been embroiled in religious founds, which they found occasion for renewing after they had set themselves up in the New World. The doctrinal differences which resulted in the exiling of Anne Hutchinson and Roger Williams, in the withdrawal of Themas Hooker from the Bay Colony, and which later cost Jonethan Edwards and Ralph Waldo Emerson their pulpits are instructive cases in point. Such troubles ensue only when doctrinaires arise to make assent a matter of intellectual conviction. In New England the forces of dissent finally won the day. The right to criticize and even to reject the dogmas of Christianity became at length more important than the will to believe them, so greatly did the tide run against the conformists, and in the nineteenth century Emerson, Channing, and Transcendentalism killed insistence on uniformity in this once most orthodox of sections. A conclusion to be drawn from these trends, if we take the point of view of the older religionist, is that Hew England, acting out of the intellectual pride which has always characterized her people, allowed religion to become primarily a matter for analysis and debate. Instead

Inhe Presbyterian Danville (Ky.) Quarterly Review (I [September, 1861], 387-358) attacked the liberal movements as "The New Ocspel of Rationalism." The writer declared: "But during the last three quarters of a century, (continued on page 81)

of insisting upon a simple grammar of assent, which a proper regard for the mysteries would dictate, they conceived it their duty to explore principles, and when they had completed the exploration they came out, not with a secured faith, but with an ethical philosophy, which illuminated much, but which had mone of the binding power of the older creeds. There followed as characteristic results unitarianism and Christian Science, two intellectual substitutes for a more rigorous religious faith.

The results of the divergence did not appear at once, for originally both Virginia and the New England colonies conceived religion as a part of the general program of government. The instructions drawn up in 1606

It was further contended by the same organ (II [March, 1862], 17) that when man uses reason to test Scripture "the inevitable logical result is Atheism."

^{1 (}continued from page 80) the Christian world has contemplated the strange spectacle of men holding the highest positions of honor and emolument in the bosom of the Church, eating as a canker into the very vitals of the body they were sworn to protect, and shamelessly sucking the breasts of that mother which nurtured them, while endeavoring with parricidal hand to deal her a death blow. We have seen this exhibited in the great defection of Rationalism in Germany, Broad Church liberalism in Britain, and Arian heresy in New England. The movement is characterized by a denial of all that is essential in Christian faith, veiled under the specious garb of appeal to human reason as a criterion. It is an ingenious species of tumbling in the domain of mysticism, from the basis of a narrow philosophy. As it has none of the lineaments of that system of faith once delivered by the saints, it may not inaptly be termed <u>The New Gospel of</u> <u>Rationalism</u>."

for the Virginia Company required that "the true word and service of God be preached, planted and used." and further provision for conformity was made by the Divine and Martial Laws of 1611. which required that everyone who then resided in Virginia, or who should thereafter arrive, should make profession of religious belief, and if found deficient, should repair to a minister for instruction. The first General Assembly, moreover, passed a law ordaining universal church attendance. This, together with various laws against profanation and the sins of the flesh, was enforced with regularity and some severity. Virginia Episcopalians and New England Disserters thus began part passu in suppressing what they considered alien and subversive views. As time went on, however, their paths separated; the religiousness which in Virginia had originally been supported by laws remained as a crystallized popular sentiment; in New England, always more responsive to impulses from abroad, it. gave way to rational inquiry. New Englanders cultivated metaphysics and sharp speculation; Southerners generally. having saved their faith, as they thought, from the whole group of pryers, reformers and troublesome messiahs, settled back and regarded it as a part of their inheritance which

1philip A. Bruce, <u>Institutional History of Virginia</u>, 1, 216. 2<u>1bid</u>. they did not propose to have disturbed.

Such religious persecution as occurred in Virginia found its victims not among heretics in theology, but among actual or potential disturbers of the peace. The Quakers, who were considered the foremost of these, were treated with extreme hostility throughout the seventeenth century. The charge leveled against these zealots was not that of doctrinal heresy: it was that their principles tended to undermine the whole institutional character of religion, and the state as well. They would not contribute to the support of the established church: they did not hold public assemblies: and they would not bear arms in defense of the commonwealth. It is little wonder that to colonial administrators these evidences savored of disaffection to the point of disloyalty. and that Quakers were commonly described as "a postilential sect, " and "an unreasonable and turbulent sort of people," The General Assembly of Virginia in the winter of 1659-60 declared that their beliefs tended to "destroy religion, laws, communities, and all bonds of civil society," and passed measures which forbade the immigration of Quakers and banished those already in the colony. They were being

> ¹<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 230. ²<u>Ibid</u>.

punished not for the sin of theological schism, but for the 1 sim of political nonco-operation.

The history of Unitarianism in the South provides a further commentary on the Southern conception of the role of religion. While the Puritan was attempting to make his religion conform to the canons of logic, conscience, or ethical propriety, the Southerner clung stubbornly to the belief that a certain portion of life must remain inserutable, and that religion offers the only means of meeting it, since reason cannot here be a standard of 2 interpretation. Unitarianism, as a conspicuously speculative kind of divinity, was agreeable to those who test belief by reason, but unattractive to those who long for a sus-4 taining creed and a means of emotional fulfillment. There

Clement Eaton (Freedom of Thought in the Old South [Durham, 1940], p. 302) found the antebellum South more tolerant than the North toward Catholics.

2<u>The Danville Quarterly Review</u> (I [September, 1861], offered the following argument for rejecting reason as a test for revelation: "If rationalism is a procrustean bed, and everything not corresponding precisely to its dimensions must be reduced to its measure or lopped off, then Revelation is not the standard of reason in regard to divine things, but reason that of Revelation; which at once cuts up by the roots all the Christian's hope."

³Captain Basil Hall (<u>Travels in North America in the</u> <u>Years 1827 and 1828</u> [Philadelphia, 1829], p. 276) gives an amusing account of a Unitarian preacher whom he heard in Boston: "He then embarked on the great ocean of religious controversy, but with such comsummate skill, that we scarcely knew we were at sea till we discovered that no land was in sight."

4Peter Cartwright (Autobiography [New York, 1857], p. 48) rejoiced that in the Great Revival "Universalism was almost driven from the land." were few congregations in the South of sufficiently intellectual disposition to welcome it. Bishop Francis J. Grund explained the situation by saying:

> The inhabitants of the South are principally Episcopalians, and as much attached to authority in religion as they are opposed to it in politics. They consider Unitarianism as a religious democracy; because it relies less on the authority of the Scriptures, than on the manner in which the authority of the clergy expounds them, and retains too little mysticism in its form of worship, to strike the multitude with awe. 1

And James Freeman Clarke found in Kentucky that the "nature of the people" demanded a more emotional discourse than 2 the typical Unitarian sermon provided.

A fairly intensive missionary effort succeeded in establishing Unitarian societies in Baltimore, Augusta, Savannah, Nobile, New Orleans, Nashville, Louisville, and a few other cities, but most of these dwindled after a brief period of flourishing. As it became plain that religious radicalism in New England was tending toward anarchy, and more especially as radical clergymen became prominently identified with abolitionism, Southern religious orthodoxy hardened and the Unitarian societies became powerless to

IFrancis J. Grund, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 158. The Episcopalians were never in a majority in the South, but their influence in certain areas, such as Virginie, could have given this impression.

²James Freeman Clarke, <u>Autobiography</u>, <u>Diary and</u> <u>Correspondence</u> (Boston, 1891), p. 226.

propagate themselves. General evidence that the South afforded poor soil for religious radicalism may be further seen in the following distribution of churches: in 1860 this section had one of the 51 Swedenborgian churches in the United States, 20 of the 664 Universalist, and none of $\frac{2}{100}$ the 17 Spiritualist.

There was a prevalent feeling among Southern people of cultivation that religion should be a settled affair. Restless and skeptical minds who would dispute its grounds were looked upon as persons inimical to a comfortable and orderly design for living. Refuting a point of doctrine brought one a reputation not so much for intellectual distinction as for perversences and ill will. New England was

"The apathy with which a cultivated Southern congregation regarded both its faith and the labors of its ministry is illustrated in a story reported by Harriet Martineau (Society in America [New York and London, 1837], II, 321): "A southern clergyman mentioned to me, obviously with difficulty and pain, that though he was as happily placed as a minister could be, treated with friendliness and generosity by his people, and so cherished as to show that they were satisfied, he had one trouble. During all the years of his ministry no token had reached him that he had religiously impressed their minds, more or less. They met regularly and decorously on Sundays, and departed quietly. and there was an end. He did not know that any one discourse had affected them more than another; and no opportunity was offered him of witnessing any religious emotion among them whatever."

¹For a full discussion of the attempt to establish Unitarianism in the South see Clarence Godhes, "Some notes on the Unitarian Church in the Ante-bellum South," <u>American</u> <u>Studies in Honor of William K. Boyd</u> (Durham, 1940), pp. 327-366.

²Clement Laton, op. cit., p. 296.

contemptuously referred to as the land of "notions." A writer in the <u>Southern Literary Messenger</u>, drawing a contrast between Southern and Northern people, found the latter lacking in a sense of measure: "- having liberty which they do not appreciate, they run into anarchy, being devotional, they push piety to the extremes of fanaticism, - being contentious withal, they are led to attack the interests of others merely because those interests do not comport with their ideas of right."

What the aristocratic Southerner desired above all else in religion was a fine set of images to contemplate, as Allen Tate has argued. The contemplation of such images was in itself a discipline in virtue, which had the effect of building up in him an inner restraint. And thus a sense of restraint and a willingness to abide by the tradition were universally viewed as marks of the gentleman; on the other hand, the spirit of discontent, of aggressiveness, and of inquisitiveness was associated with those who had something to gain by overturning the established order.

In consequence it is not difficult to see why the <u>Southern Quarterly Review</u>, II (July, 1842), 137. <u>Southern Literary Messenger</u>, New Series, IX 860), 405. <u>Southern Literary Messenger</u>, New Series, IX (June, 1860), 405.

3Reactionary Essays on Poetry and Ideas (New York, 1936), 183-184.

Southern gentleman looked upon religion as a great conservative agent and a bulwark of those institutions which served him. Spokesmen of the South were constantly criticizing Northerners for making religion a handmaid of social and political reform. A critic of Dr. Channing, writing in the <u>Bouthern Quarterly Review</u>, declared:

> It is not very usual for the clergy of our country to enter with zeal upon the arena of politics. The department of a religious teacher is supposed to lie in a different sphere, and to embrace different duties; and the people generally listen to him with aversion and reluctance when he meddles with secular subjects.

A dosen years later a writer in the <u>Southern Literary</u> <u>Messenger</u> thus described the North's mixing of religious and secular causes: "Her priesthood prostitutes itself to the level with a blackguard, and enters the secular field of politics, in the spirit of a beer-house bully: and the politician as carelessly invades the sanctuary of the priest." Although Southern clergymen not infrequently invoked the word of God to defend Southern institutions, especially when these were being assailed, they were on

<u>1Southern Quarterly Review</u>, II (July, 1842), 169.
<u>2Southern Literary Messenger</u>, New Series, X
(November, 1860), 343.

principle opposed to the use of the church as a tool for worldly referm. The evangelical sects aimed at a conversion of the inner man; the conservative ones at the exposition of a revealed ethic; but both regarded themselves as oustodians of the mysteries, little concerned with social agitation and out of the reach of winds of political doctrine.

Reverence for the "word of God" is a highly important aspect of Southern religious orthodoxy. Modern discussions of fundamentalism usually overlook the fact that belief in a revealed knowledge is the essence of religion in its alder sense. The necessity of having some form of knowledge that will stand above the welter of earthly change and bear witness that God is superior to accident led Thomas Aquinas to establish his famous dichotomy, which teaches, briefly, that whereas some things may be learned through investigation and the exercise of the reasoning powers, others must be given or "revealed" by God. Man eannot live under a settled dispensation if the postulates of his existence must be continually revised in accordance with knowledge furnished by a nature filled with

¹A chief cause of the great split between the Southern and the Northern Methodists in 1844 was the narrower view which the Southern Methodists took of the church's field. See W. W. Sweet, <u>The Methodist Episcopal</u> <u>Church and the Civil War</u> (Cincinnati, 1912), pp. 15-46.
church and the Civil War (Cincinnati, 1912), pp. 15-46.

contingencies. Nature is a vast unknown; in the science of nature there are constantly appearing emergents which. if allowed to affect spiritual and moral verities, would destroy them by rendering them dubious, tentative, and conflicting. It is therefore imperative in the eyes of the older religionists that man have for guidance in this life a body of knowledge to which the facts of natural discovery are either subordinate or irrelevant. This body is the "rock of ages." firm in the vast sea of human passion and error. Moral truth is not something which can be altered every time science widens its field of induction. If moral philosophy must wait upon natural philosophy, all moral judgments become temporary, relative, and lacking in those sanctions which alone make them effective, as the more perspicacious Southern theologians pointed out. And though possibly no people were more ignorant of the Summa Theologica than the little-read and inarticulate rural Southern population, the dualism of Aquinas supports their instinctive opposition to scientific monism, one of the last of the South's medieval heritages. Both are responses to the same need. Ill-equipped intellectually, the South has established a habit of being right in the wrong way, or correct with a poor set of reasons. Then, as now, it explains their dogged adherence to what is taught "in the Book." and their indifference to empirical disproofs.

Emerson and his colleagues founded their revolt

against New England orthodoxy upon the principle of continuity of knowledge and the prerogative of the individual mind to judge and determine. They were successful, and the sountry soncluded that the victory was won everywhere: but in the South the battle has not yet been fought. In the present century, when publicity attending the theory of evolution forced the issue, there was widespread amazement that legislatures representing sovereign states were prepared to vote revealed knowledge precedence over natural, for such in a broad view of the matter is the significance of the so-called anti-evolution laws. This could not have surprised anyone who knew the tradition, for here there had never been any impeachment of the "Word," and science had not usurped the seats of the prophets. It may therefore be proper to describe the South as "backward" if one employs the word not in a vaguely prejudicial sense, but with some reference to the continuum of history. The South was striving to preserve a centuries-old distinction which the North was condemning as error.

Indeed, it has been a settled practice with Southern spokesmen to describe the differences between North and South in religious language. When the period of sectional separation came, more than one Southern churchman could be found placing the blame for the sine of New England, the chief of which was abolitionism, upon "the great Socinian

heresy." This was an open attack upon the whole movement of deism and rationalism, which by the middle of the eighteenth century had captured the cultivated orders of Europe, and by the middle of the next much of New England and the North. In the midst of the Gilded Age the Reverend R. L. Dabney, a celebrated Presbyterian divine, was pronouncing pragmatism the equivalent of atheism, and fundamentalist leaders today regard the purely scientific view of man as only the modern pose of godlessness.

It cannot be denied that during the period of the French Revolution there was much religious skepticism in certain Southern educational centers and among elements of the Southern upper class. It was, however, a transient phase, confined while it lasted to small cultivated groups, and it disappeared so completely in the antebellum years that it can be properly ignored in any account of the molding of the Confederate South. Skepticism is always an achievement of an intellectual aristocracy, who by education and through access to libraries have become accustomed to the critical handling of ideas. At the close of the eighteenth century, and for perhaps two decades afterward

1R. L. Dabney, <u>A Defence of Virginia and Through</u> <u>Her of the South</u> (New York, 1867), pp. 131 ff.

some Southern aristograte considered it fashionable to embrace delem and to flaunt a disrespect for the Bible. Jefferson, who in this period translated twenty chapters of Volney's Ruing, is of course the best-known Southern exponent of free-thinking. The irreligion of the day turned Williamsburg, home of venerable William and Mary College, into a veritable seat of infidelity; infidelity flourished surprisingly at the University of North Carolina: it crept across the mountains and infected illustrious Transylvania in the Blue Grass region of Kentucky, shocking Amos Kendall by the extent of its provalence; and it penetrated the University of Georgia; then in its early years. Despite such progress, however, it reasined distinctly an upper-class attitude, sharply localized, and without power to affect the essential religiousness of the Southern populace. After 1830, when the South as if by prescience turned to a defense of all conservative ideals, it declined almost to the point of extinction.

Robert Carter of Nomini Hall was a Virginia patrician who broke with the Established Church and became a deist. He and Jefferson conducted some correspondence about the "human origin" of religion. See Louis Morton, <u>Robert Carter of Momini Hall</u> (Williamsburg, 1941), p. 233. For a full account of Southern religious skepticism, see Clement Eaton, op. cit., pp. 10-18.

One might suppose that the powerful example of Jefferson would have started a school of rationalism below the Potomac, but in this matter, as in others. Jefferson failed to take root in his section. His doctrine of state rights and his agrarianism were cherished, but his religious liberalism, like most else that he learned from the French radicals, was ignored. His influence waned rapidly, so that within a few years after his death the Presbyterians were able to force the resignation of an atheist professor from the University of Virginia, which Jefferson had hoped to make the very citadel of unfettered thought. In the same period South Carolina fundamentalists compelled the removal of President Cooper of the state university because he had questioned the authority of the Pentateuch.

The influence of nineteenth-century science on the religious temper of the Old South was never great. It has been a common assumption that Southerners devoted their minds to politics, the classics, and the novels of Sir Walter Scott, remaining blandly innocent of the discoveries in which this century was so fruitful. Like other

¹w. B. Hesseltine, <u>A History of the South</u> (New York, 1941), pp. 340-341. ²Clement Eaton, <u>op. cit</u>., p. 287.

generalized descriptions. this one is effectually true. but omits some details which would qualify the picture. Thomas Cary Johnston in a survey of scientific activity in the antebellum South has corrected many overstatements of Southern indifference to the spirit of the age. He found natural science taught not only in colleges, where sometimes it led the list of elective subjects. but also in female seminaries; and he names a number of Southerners who proved themselves fertile in theory and invention. His study, however. affords little if any evidence that this scientific interest, more widespread than is popularly supposed, issued is a skeptical habit of mind. The truth seems clear that the Southern scientist did not carry his scientific speculation to the point at which it becomes an interpretation of the whole of life. The author ascribes the failure of the South to become eminent in scientific thought to the individualism of her people and their unwillingness to co-operate in common enterprises. It appears more ascurate to say, on the contrary, that although the traditional mind of the South recognized in science a fascinating technology, it refused to become absorbed to the extent of seeing it as either a philosophy of life or a religion.

¹Thomas Cary Johnston, <u>Solentific Interests in the</u> <u>Old South (New York and London, 1936).</u>

It thus clung to its inherited religious humanism. Unlike the technician, the average Southerner did not feel that he must do a thing because he found he could do it. It is significant that neither the Jacobinism of the French Revolution nor the scientific materialism of the century which followed was able to draw him from the view that man holds a central position in the universe under divine guidance.

In this way the Southern people reached the eve of the Civil War almost untouched by the great currents of rationalism and skepticism, and their allegiance to the older religiousness was reflected in their fighting men. Into the strange personnel of the Confederate Army, out of "regions that sat in darkness," poured fighting bishops and prayer-holding generals, and through it swept waves of 1 intense religious enthusiasm long lost to history. And when that army went down to defeat, the last barrier to the secular spirit of science, materialism, and democracy was swept away.

It seems an inescapable inference that in the sphere of religion the Southerner has never been friendly

Ine Confederate Veteran (V [August, 1897], 411)
estimated that in the Army of Northern Virginia alone there
were 15,000 conversions. See also Basil Gildersleeve,
"The Creed of the Old South," <u>Atlantic Monthly</u>, LXIX
(January, 1892), 76.

to the spirit of inquiry. He felt, with what may now appear to be prophetic instinct, that a religion which is intellectual only is no religion. Unlike his cousin to the north, he has been willing to accept the mystery. Traditionally his has been a natural piety, expressing itself in uncritical belief and in the experience of conversion, net in an ambition to perfect a system or to tidy up a world doomed to remain forever deceptive. changeful. and evil. For him a moral science made up of postulates and deductions taking no cognizance of the inscrutable designs of Providence and the incluctable tragedies of private lives was no substitute. Whether he was a Virginia Episcopalian, dozing in confortable dogmatic slumber, or a Celt, transplanted to the Appalachian wilderness and responding to the wild emotionalism of the religious rally, he wanted the older religion of dreams and drunkenness - something akin to the rituals of the Medieval Church, and to the mystic selebrations of the ancients.

CHAPTER II

WRITING THE APOLOGIA

"Things reveal themselves passing away." someone remarked to W. B. Yeats, and it is an historical fact that every established order writes its great apologia only after it has been fatally stricken. When the forces of the old and the new come into dramatic and crucial conflict, then spokesmen appear to formulate the traditional assumptions and to defend what it has always been supposed sould never be indicted. At best these win only a forensic victory, for the wound is an inward one, and the body politic dies while they are yet arguing its right to survive. In Europe the opponents of the Reformation did not awake to the dissolution of Medieval Christendom until the revolution had gone too far to be checked, when such men as Sir Thomas More vainly threw themselves into the breach. More than a century later the principle of government by divine right, which had been effectually killed by the English Civil War, received brilliant expositions in Thomas Hobbes' Leviathan and in the Earl of Clarendon's History of the Rebellion. In 1790 Edmund Burke, a child of the aristocratic eighteenth century, viewed the "red feel fury of the Seine" through the eyes of a traditionalist and correctly foretold the political character of jacobinism.

In each gase some accomplished facts were required to reveal all that was involved in the new order, but by that time the appeal of the conservative was the voice of a lost cause.

The situation was not different in the American There a few had vaguely predicted what social Soath. revolution would mean, but it took the events of war and reconstruction to demonstrate that this new order would alter not only men's lives but also their perspectives. "Usion" and "Abolition" were political cries, innocentseeming, but the policies they entailed meant political centralization, a parallel to the overthrow of feudalism; the destruction of fixed social relationships, a parallel to the equalitarian measures of the French Revolution; and the substitution of pecuniary standards of rank and conduct for the old code of chivalry and noblesse oblige. a parallel to the rise of industrialism and a money-economy in Europe. Only after the war did the interconnection of these changes become fully apparent to the leaders of the South.

The defeated people of the Confederacy may be said to have made two great dying struggles before becoming adjusted to the reality of their fall. The first of these, which was in the politico-military sphere, went by the name of the Ku Klux Klan, and was in effect an invisible government formed to combat the immediate sims of the conquerors then investing the land. Though this organization has since covered itself with odium by becoming the tool of bigotry, it may properly be thought of as the last creation of Southern political genius, and a not unworthy one in view of the conditions of the period. The second, which was waged on the literary front over a period of about fifteen years, was designed to refute the claim that the North had upheld the cause of law and humanity. The reader who studies the works which this effort produced - and it is not without some patience that they may be perused has no difficulty in recognizing their antebellum ancestry. They are the writings of the political soldier, carrying on in order that what was lost on the field by unlucky wager of battle may be in some form preserved.

The realization which especially angered the Southern apologists was that they were held up as traitors, or subverters of the established order; whereas it appeared plain to them that the North, led by fanatical reformers, had promoted a revolution on principles rejected by the Founding Fathers. In the light of history the South was

¹Writing of an experience in the summer of 1881, Edwin Alderman could say (<u>Library of Southern Literature</u> [Atlanta, 1909], I, xxii): "Southern literature had, to that period, largely meant to me orations, polemics, threnodies, defenses."

the leval section, for it had poured out its blood and treasure is defense of the common inheritance of laws and sustoms. Without exception, therefore, the Southern apologists begin with a careful review of history, in which they point out how constitutional stipulation and legislative precedent justified both slavery and the recourse to secession. Their central aim was to prove that the South formed the Constitutional Party.

The period following Appomattox, moreover, was an era rife in what a later generation has come to know as "war guilt lies," in which each side accused the other of having inaugurated violence by destructive attack upon the status guo. According to the Northern view, the South, having become disaffected, set about the criminal business of wrecking the Union, which, had it been successful, would have reduced the states to political nonenities in the great world. The South, on the other hand, saw the North as a usurper, disregarding the Constitution whenever it stood in the way of sectional ambition, and seeking to reduce hitherto sovereign states to mere administrative provinces. It regarded as final proof of this design the transfer of the basis of government from compact to conquest, which destroyed the concept of a free union resting upon the consent of the members.

To the Southern political soldier this type of

contest was particularly congenial, for he was, as we have seen, a religionist and a metaphysician, and a defender of more or less dognatic ideals. Things which are eternally right in the mind of God may be wickedly perverted in the world, but the perversions must not go unopposed. The Earl of Clarendon wrote his monumental History "that posterity may not be deceived by the prosperous wickedness of these times": and two centuries later Jefferson Davis could find nothing more apt for the fly-leaf of his Hise and Fall of the Confederate Government than Seneca's Felix et brosperum scelus virtus vocatur. It is, of course, part of the duty of chivalry to serve the eternal verities. The code of honor, the duello, the universal contempt for cowardice were all parts of a system of belief whose central point was that a gentleman may risk destruction but not dishonor. These ideas rest upon a basic assumption that the most important thing in life is the cultivation of truth and the preservation of good form, which entail living up to one's obligations and refusing to act from a sense of what will pay. When the Southern political soldier undertook to prepare his defense after the failure, therefore. his object was to show that his people had acted not from a sense of profit but from a sense of right and obligation. Few words were wasted upon the expediency of a course of independence, but chief spokesmen like

Alexander Stephens, Albert Taylor Bledsoe, and Robert Lewis Dabney were at great pains to demonstrate that the South fought to maintain principles, which were better defended in the Union than out, but better out than not at all.

1. The Case at Law

By supposition, he who appeals to the verdict of the sword should abide by it, should "be silent and take defeat," but when the contest has been stubbornly maintained, on what has seemed fair grounds, the temptation to try another court of adjudication is sometimes too much for human nature to resist. It is not surprising, then, to find that shortly after the cannon had ceased, Southern publicists were appearing before the tribunal of world opinion in arguments formidably charged with law and history. The prediction by Jefferson Davis that if the South lest the war, her history would be written by the North was destined to be realized in the sense that the Northern history of the South came to be the national one, but a Southern history was nonetheless written.

Southern spokesmen could take heart from the fact that however deep the cup of woe, they had the almost unanimous moral support of their people. It is, indeed, an important index to the Southern character that in this total overthrow they showed no disposition to turn against their leaders. The advocates of secession had led them into a complete disaster, and had the South been a nation divided over the justice of the war, as some have tried to prove, these leaders might have been singled out for repudiation and even acts of vengeance. But the Southern people have always been characterized by a stubborn "loyalty to loyalty," and the manner in which the war was conducted by the North only confirmed the belief that there lay a deep-seated difference between the two peoples, a difference great enough to warrant separate political destinies.

The earliest and in many ways the most effective of the Southern apologists was the brilliant eccentric, Albert Taylor Bledsoe. Born in Frankfort, Kentucky, in 1809, Bledsoe attended Transylvania University and then West Point, where his associates numbered Jefferson Davis and R. E. Lee. After a curiously varied career as soldier, preacher, and college professor, he joined the bar of Springfield, Illinois, whose membership at that time included Abraham Lincoln. Of the lawyers pleading before this court Bledsoe appears to have been the most successful, for it is reported that he more than once carried off the basket of champagne awarded the winner of the greatest number of cases in a year. Only one curious

¹sophia Bledsce Herrick in the <u>Library of Southern</u> Literature, I, 395.

fact is known of his association with Lincoln in this period: when the future president was challenged to a duel by General James Shields, Bledsop, drawing upon his West Point training, undertook to teach his colleague the 1 art of using the broadsword.

A born intellectual, Bledsce had the omnivorousness of mind which seeks strange by-paths of knowledge and finds a challenge in lost causes. He first gave evidence of his great gift as a controversialist in a slender volume entitled <u>An Examination of President Edwards' Inquiry into</u> the <u>freedom of the Will</u>, which he described as "a complete 2triumph over the scheme of moral necessity." A few years later he produced a <u>Philosophy of Mathematics</u>, and in 1856, when the slavery controversy was at the boiling point, he published a book-length tract, <u>Essay on Liberty and Slavery</u>, in which he justified the institution in terms of the Miltonic doctrine that liberty must always be proportioned to moral and intellectual worth.

When the Civil War began, Bledsoe left his post as professor of mathematics in the University of Virginia to become Confederate undersecretary of war. J. B. Jones in

LAlbert J. Beveridge, <u>Abraham Lincoln</u> (Boston and New York, 1928, I, 349.

²Albert Taylor Bledsoe, <u>An Examination of President</u> <u>Edwards' Incuiry into the Freedom of the Will</u> (Philadelphia, 1845), p. 11.

A Rebel War Olerk's Diary has given an unflattering picture of his engaged in the work of this office; puffy, indolent, and unsethedical, he groaned under official routine until in 1864 President Davis sent him to Europe on an important propaganda mission. Davis, feeling that the North was getting in too many telling blows with its publications on slavery and treason, and cherishing until the last the delusive hope of European intervention, entrusted him with the task of preparing a statement which should set forth the Confederacy's right to existence. For this purpose he was to use European libraries and to seek European publication but before he could complete the task the war was over, and he returned home with his materials. Finding that Southern leaders were being threatened with punishment for treason, he then sat down, and "in white heat," it is said, wrote the classic Southern apologia, is Davis a Traiter, or Mas Secession & Constitutional Right Previous to 1861?

In a preface written, one may suppose, to preclude the charge of seditious literature the author stated fairly the object of his polemic:

¹Sophia Bledsce Herrick, op. cit., p. 396.

It is not the design of this book to open the question of secession. The subjugation of the Southern States and their acceptance of the terms dictated by the North, may, if the reader please, be considered as having shifted the Federal Government from the basis of compact to that of conquest; and thereby extinguished every claim to the right of secession for the future. Not one word in the following pages will at least be found to clash with that supposition or opinion. The sole object of this work is to discuss the right of secession with reference to the past; in order to vindicate the character of the South for loyalty, and to wipe off the charge of treason and rebellion from the names and memories of Jefferson Davis, Stonewall Jackson, Albert Sidney Johnston, Robert E. Lee, and all those who have fought and suffered in the great war of coercion. Admitting then, that the right of secession no longer exists, the present work aims to show, that, however those illustrious herces have been aspersed by the ignorance, the prejudices, and the passions of the hour, they were nevertheless, perfectly loyal to truth, justice, and the Constitution of 1787 as it came from the hands of the fathers. 1

In the pages which follow he presented in narrow compass the familiar argument for state sovereignty, which may be briefly summarized thus: the thirteen original states, after "seceding" from the Articles of Confederation (which were, by the way, supposed themselves to constitute a perpetual tie) formed a new compact, or union, which was given a delegated authority, and to which the various states "acceded" after making important reservations, including the prerogative of sovereignty. Fear that such a

lAlbert Taylor Bledsoe, <u>Is Davis a Traitor</u>? (Baltimore, 1866), p. v.

consolidation might be manipulated to the hurt of individual members led Virginia, under the urging of Patrick Henry, expressly to provide in her ordinance of ratification for 1 the resumption of powers. Several members of the Constitutional Convention, in describing the entry of a state into the federation, used the term "accede," which he thought might be fairly taken as the antonym of "secede." Moreover, the word "compact" was freely employed, and the argument that the Constitution was not a compact was never heard until 1833, when it was brought forward by Daniel Webster, basing an interpretation on the commentaries of Justice 2 Story. The Constitutional Convention repudiated the term "national government," and a motion to have the Constitution approved by a national convention failed to win a second.

To the terms of this original compact the South had been loyal, and if there was to be a proscription of traitors, he thought it should begin with such Abolitionists as had denounced the Constitution as "a covenant with hell." Fourteen Northern states, despite their professed respect for the Union, had passed laws obstructing the recovery of fugitive slaves and thus had not only contravened

> 1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 159. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 7. 3<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 18. 4<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 62.

Congressional law but had also nullified a part of the l Constitutional compact. This was the beginning of real treason to the American Union as it was originally established.

Bledsoe was exempt from the deadly lack of humor which makes much Southern political writing a test of patience. He possessed a fine talent for satire and mockery, which he especially loved to use in dealing with what he considered New England sanctimoniousness. It may be doubted whether the chapter "Mr. Webster vs. Mr. Webster" is surpassed by any American philippic. Webster's celebrated exposition of the Constitution was "merely a thing of vords," and the Webster of 1833 was to the Webster of 1850 as "Philip drunk to Philip sober." The first was a shameless popularity seeker; the second a man appalled by the mischievous consequences of the doctrine he had set loose.

> Especially after his race was nearly run, and, instead of the dazzling prize of the Presidency, he saw before him the darkness of the grave, and the still greater darkness that threatened his native land with ruin; he raised the last solemn utterances of his mighty voice in behalf of "the compact of the Constitution"; declaring that as it had been "deliberately entered into by the States," so the States should religiously observe "all its stipulations." 2

¹<u>Ibid</u>., p. 101. ²<u>Ibid</u>., pp. 102-103.

Bledsoe was a master controversialist, writing always with tremendous directness and compression and appearing to aim not at any mere limited success, but at erushing victory. To Northerners who express a belated curiosity about loyalties which moved the South to make its immense sacrifices, <u>Is Davis a Traitor</u>? will make as plain as any book could why Southerners believed they were fighting within their rights and why they believed the fight was for self-preservation. It is the perfect brief, the case of an experienced legalist, citing precedent, Constitutional provision, and law before reviewing the case in equity.

At some date after Appomattox General Lee said to Bledsoe, "Doctor, you must take care of yourself; you have a great work to do; we all look to you for our ' vindication." <u>Is Davis a Traitor</u>? was destined to be of immediate use in the defense of Southern leaders, for Charles O'Conor and Robert Oulds, attorneys for former President Davis, lacking time for adequate research into Constitutional history, employed it in the preparation 2 by 1868, however, passions had begun

LEdwin Mims in <u>The South in the Building of the</u> Nation, VII, 464. ²Sophia Bledsce Herrick, <u>op</u>. <u>cit.</u>, p. 396.

to cool, and charges against the Confederate chieftain were nolle prossed before the issue could be unfolded in a courtroom drama.

Having thus made the first Southern counterattack, Bledsce went to Baltimore to establish an organ for the continuous presentation of his views.

The next writer to enter the list was Edward Albert Pollard. the most prolific of all who argued the Southern cause. Pollard had experienced a colorful life before his day as the South's most fiery journalist. Following periods at Hampden-Sidney College and the College of William and Mary, he spent some years vagabonding about the world. Returned home, he became shortly before the outbreak of war co-editor with the brilliant John M. Daniel of the Richmond Daily Examiner, in which capacity he was the first to discern and to make public the serious limitations of Jefferson Davis. In the course of the war he produced no fewer than eight volumes dealing with its issues and events and became notorious for his outspoken criticism of the government's policies, although he protested that his utterances were motivated by "pure devotion to a great cause." In 1864 he was, like Bledsoe, despatched to

IE. A. Pollard, The Lost Cause (New York, 1866), p. 1.

England, his mission being to serve as a journalistic representative of the South. He was captured on the high seas, however, and brought to prison, where Secretary Stanton ordered him kept in solitary confinement for a time. Upon being exchanged early in 1865, he returned to Richmond to continue writing.

Of Pollard's voluminous output, the greatest contribution to the Southern apologia was a work which appeared in 1868 under the somewhat fulsome title The Lost Cause. A New Southern History of the War of the Confederates, Comprising a Full and Authentic Account of the Rise and Progress of the Late Southern Confederacy - the Campaigns. Incidents and Adventures of the Most Gigantic Struggle of the World's History. In the introduction the author described his history as "a severely just account of the war." The description scarcely applies, for a just account it plainly is not, although on some topics the writer displays a cendor not often met with in protagonists of the Southern side. He was far more interested in the real causes of the war than in the abstract rights which the opposed parties claimed to be upholding, but he found it necessary to consider the position of the South with regard to the

1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 111.

1

Constitution.

He found the Constitution a highly defective instrument, the ambiguity of which had allowed two political parties to develop over a fundamental point of interpretation. The final document was a vote-getting compromise, salvaged from a convention which had met with vague purposes. and had deadlocked over sectional differences. "The language of the call of the constitution," he wrote, "was singularly confused. The men who composed it were common flesh and blood, very ignorant, very much embarrassed, many of them unlettered, and many educated just to the point where men are silly, visionary, dogmatic, and impracticable." Yet the agreement which they contrived, though "actually one of the loosest political instruments in the world," had been celebrated as of almost divine origin by three generations of "American demagoguism." All that with certainty could be deduced from it was that the central government could reach individuals within the states through some restricted channels of authority. And yet when the South presented its interpretation of the restricted authority of the Constitution, the North through the use of cunning political nomenclature fastened upon her spokesmen the names of

1<u>Fbid.</u>, p. 37.

"nullifier" and "disunionist." It will take a long time. Pollard declared in a summary passage, for the world to learn^{ee}that the system of negro servitude in the South was not Slavery: that John C. Calhoun was not a 'Disunionist'; and that the war of 1861 brought on by Northern insurgents was not a 'Southern rebellion.'" Calhoun, known in the North as the man who would have destroyed the Union, had istroduced a proposal which "certainly would have realized a beautiful idea of political association." But from 1787 to 1861, as it happened, the South and the North had lived together as two political aliens, with slavery furnishing "a convenient line of battle between the disputants." From this analysis Pollard cassed on to a history of Northern aggression, and to the diverse character of Southerners and Northerners, which seemed to him the decisive factor in the case.

The next man to prepare a legal case for the South was one of its fallen leaders. Alexander H. Stephens, diminutive vice-president of the Confederacy, had always been known as a moderate, and for a number of reasons his voice came more pleasing to Northern ears than that of any

> 1<u>Ibid.</u>, pp. 43-44. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 46. 3<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 47.

sther man identified with the Southern cause. Stephens had been one of the die-hard Unionists who fought the movement of secession until, as they thought, the official action of their states deprived them of further choice of course. He had, in fact, a consistent record as a pacificator. As late as November 14, 1860, he had addressed the state legislature in a powerful plea to preserve the Federal Union; during the war he made earnest if unsuccessful attempts to alleviate the sufferings of Northern soldiers in Southern prison camps; and in January, 1865, he was a delegate to the Hampton Roads Conference, where Lincoln met representatives of the South in a good-humored if fruitless exchange of views.

Disagreeing with Davis on many policies, and recognizing the inevitability of defeat, Stephens left his post on February 7 and journeyed to his home, "Liberty Hall," in Georgia, there to await like a philosopher whatever fate might befall the leader of a lost cause. In May he was arrested and taken to Fort Warren in Boston Harbor, and although there he suffered considerably through aggravation of an already poor state of health, he received touching signs of kindness from some eminent citizens of Boston and was afterwards able to speak of his period of incarceration without bitterness.

Following his release, he returned South, displaying

a disposition to adapt himself unreservedly to the changed state of affairs. Of all the Southern apologists, Stephens showed the least interest in recrimination, but he was too representative of the political soldier class to remain silent while issues, even those dead beyond hope of resurrestion, were being gone over. Consequently when in 1867 a Philadelphia publisher suggested to him that he write a history of the war, he undertook the task and in three years' time produced one of the unique works of American political literature. This was A Constitutional View of the Late War Between the States; its Causes, Character. Conduct. and Results Presented in a Series of Colloquies at Liberty Hall. It is a treatise consisting of more than twelve hundred pages of dialogue, perhaps the most extended work of this character in existence. Taking Cicero's Tusculan Disputations as his model, the author introduces a symposium of four speakers, whose views embody the conflicting theories of the American Union. Three are visitors at Liberty Hall from the North: Judge Bynum, a Radical Republican from Massachusetts; Professor Norton, a Conservative Republican from Connecticut; and Major Heister, a War Democrat from Pennsylvania.

Stephens was the only writer among the apologists to preface his work with a dialectic of history, which is worth noticing for the light it sheds on the type of mind that presided over Southern councils. All communities, he explained, have organic laws which may be called their constitutions. These laws are principles which react upon society, but society in turn reacts upon them, and from this interaction come the changes and revolutions in the human order. Just as the afflictions of the human body proceed from neglect of the "vital laws of its organization," so political convulsions in the body politic proceed from 1 neglect of its principle of organization. Accordingly, he who writes history "with Philosophic hand" will never lose sight of the reciprocal bearing of laws and society. "Principles," he wrote," constitute the subject matter of 3 this work."

The discussion proper begins on the versula of Liberty Hall when Judge Bynum, in a tone of friendly curiosity, asks his host why after making his famous pro-Union speech at Milledgeville he went with Georgia when she seceded. Stephens' answer begins an exposition which makes up the twelve colloquies of the first book. He argues simply that his course was the only one open to a conscientious believer in state rights. Since by the

¹Alexander Stephens, <u>A</u> <u>Constitutional</u> <u>View</u> (Philadelphia, 1868-70), I, 9. ²<u>Ibid</u>. ³<u>Ibid</u>. doctrine in which he had been schooled his primary allegiance was to the state, it was his duty to follow her political destiny wherever it might lead. There were, in fact, no citizens of the United States; there were only clizens of the separate sovereignties which made up the Union, and sovereign states cannot be deprived of their sovereignty by implication. The states of Virginia, New York, and Rhode Island expressly provided in their acts of ratification for a resumption of delegated powers in case these should ever be perverted to their own hurt. and it was not without significance that all of the states retained complete control of their militias. The right of secession was so well understood a part of American political doctrine that Lincoln himself had given an admirable exposition of it in the House on January 12, 1848.

Stephens' central thesis was summed up in the maxim 5 <u>Contemporanea expositio est optima et fortissima in lege</u>. But one may inquire why, since the doctrine of state rights

³Ibid., pp. 254-255, 270-271, 290-291.

⁴This speech, in which Lincoln committed himself unreservedly to the principle of self-determination of peoples, is found in the <u>Congressional Globe</u>, New Series, 1847-1848 (First Session, Thirtieth Congress), pp. 93-95.

⁵Stephens, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>., I, 501.

¹Ibid., pp. 20-23.

²Ibid., p. 34.

had been exhaustively argued for thirty years, he felt it necessary to make this tedious restatement. He told his interlocutors that he knew they had been schooled in a different theory, and that because "Men's opinions or eonvictions on such subjects do not so readily or so easily change," he aspired to leave something by which the future might form an impartial judgment.

> It is better, therefore, to leave these questions for the verdict of posterity - for the enlightened and unimpassioned judgment of mankind. By this, we or our memories must abide. All that any of us can hope to do in the permises is, to see that all the facts, as well as a true account of our actions, shall be transmitted to that sugust tribunal. This is the work of history. The only anxiety I have in the matter is, that this work shall be faithfully performed - that the record shall be rightly put.

With this in mind Stephens tried strenuously to identify the War for Southern Independence with the struggle for constitutional liberty everywhere. And a constitutional lawyer to the last, he maintained that what the South had surrendered was not the federative principle, but only the right to maintain it by force - certainly a sufficiently vain distinction. "So you see," he concluded in a characteristically prolix passage,

11bid., p. 522.

my opinion is, that the Cause which was lost at Appomattox Court House, was not the Federative Principle upon which American Free Institutions was [sio] based, as some have very erroneously supposed. This was far from being one of the results of the War. The Cause which was lost by the surrender of the Confederates, was only the Maintenance of this Principle by arms. It was not the Principle itself that they abandoned. They only abandoned their attempt to maintain it by physical force.1

This would, of course, leave the Confederacy in the vanguard of those nations which perished for freedom's sake, and such a thought might well become the cherished possession of her sons. Stephens had a dark view of the tendency of the American government:

> If centralism is ultimately to prevail; if our entire system of free Institutions as established by our common ancestors is to be subverted, and an Empire is to be established in their stead; if that is to be the last scene of the great tragic drama now being enacted: then, be assured, that we of the South will be acquitted, not only in our own consciences, but in the judgment of mankind, of all responsibility for so terrible a catastrophe, and from all guilt of so great a crime against humanity. 2

<u>A Constitutional View</u> is a remarkable <u>tour</u> <u>de force</u>; verbose and repetitious, it is an extreme example of the Southern tendency to couch principles in voluminous rhetoric; yet at the same time it is admirable for its unyielding insistence upon the point and its determination

> ¹<u>Ibid</u>., II, 651-652. ²<u>Ibid</u>., 669.

to refer all circumstances to basic issues. With the society in which he had been nurtured undergoing one of the most drastic transformations recorded in history. Stephens was able, with something recalling the philosophic manner of the ancients, to discuss with erstwhile enemies the constitutional life of the state. But the reader who, wearying of law and precedent, hopes his author will somewhere descend the Olympian height of abstraction and take a human view of the struggle, is disappointed. It is true that the reading of A Constitutional View has its associations with Job, as Douglas Southall Freeman has remarked; yet there is no work which will better display the unpragmatic and unempiric quality of the Southern mind. If one divides all humanity into Don Quixotes and Sancho Panzas, according to a suggestion of George Santayana, he must allow Stephens a prominent place with the first, who, because they serve ideals only, appear mad to men who take counsel of circumstances.

The political chieftain of the Confederacy was in his seventy-first year when he began the preparation of his apologia. His life since the collapse of the struggle had not been uneventful. He had spent two years in a cell in Fortress Monroe, part of the time in irons; he had gone

^{1&}lt;sub>D.</sub> S. Freeman, <u>The South to Posterity</u> (New York, 1939), p. 33.

abroad, where the British, especially, lionized him as the leader of a lost cause; and he had made an unsuccessful venture into the insurance business. It was plain, however, that he was a parting guest, for whom the world had no further use; and as ill health had then become constant, he settled at "Brierfield" on the Mississippi coast to spend his last days as a recluse. There Jefferson Davis, with the blue waters of the Gulf before him and an expanse of Southern pine and cypress behind, wrote his story of the growth of sectionalism, and of the nation which was born, lived, and died smidst war.

Davis had a stiff-necked quality, which had made him impervious to pressure while he was in office and which left him irreconcilable when conquered. He never sued for pardon, and it is related that Winnie Davis, "Daughter of the Confederacy," refused a highly eligible New Yorker because she knew the alliance would pain her stern father. The Rise and Fall of the Confederate Government, although published as late as 1881, was executed wholly as a vindication of the past, and bespeaks a man whose real interest in life ended with the old regime.

Davis had special reason to give his account to pesterity, for he had been the chief target of Southern eriticism, and although impressive evidences of loyalty were shown him following his release from prison, these had

to be interpreted as signs of sympathy for his harsh treatment at Federal hands, not as an endorsement of his administration. He had been reproached for the failure to attack Washington after Bull Run, probably the finest military opportunity offered the Confederacy during its existence; he had been charged with preserving an obstinate faith in poor advisors such as Braxton Bragg long after events had demonstrated their limited competence, and it was obvious that he had abandoned too late the fatuous hope of European intervention.

Davis had, therefore, not only the common duty of arguing the Confederacy's case at law but also the task of justifying his own policies. <u>The Rise and Fall</u> begins as a conventional rebuttal of Northern contentions, with the author declaring in his preface:

> The object of this book has been from the historical data to show that the Southern States had rightfully the power to withdraw from the Union into which they had, as sovereign communities, voluntarily entered; that the denial of that right was a violation of the letter and spirit of the compact between the States; and that the war waged by the Federal Government against the seceding states was in disregard of the limitations of the Constitution, and destructive of the principles of the Declaration of Independence. 1

¹Jefferson Davis, <u>The Rise and Fall of the</u> <u>Confederate Government</u> (New York, 1881), I, v. The first volume leads through a maze of legal argument: the terminology of the Constitution, the various compromises. Northern precedents for secession, and the last attempts at amicable settlement, all are analyzed in the light of the doctrine of strict construction. The Federal government, he claimed, went so far along the road of usurpation that it finally came to regard itself as the sele judge of its own powers, and when the Lincoln administration was inaugurated "timid vacillation was then "succeeded by uncorupulous cunning." South Carolina was maneuvered into a position where she either had to fight or to abandon the principle on which she struck for independence.

> The invasions of the Southern States, for the purposes of coercion, were in violation of the written Constitution, and the attempt to subjugate sovereign States, under the pretext of "preserving the Union," was alike offensive to law, to good morals, and the proper use of language. The Union was the voluntary junction of free and independent States, to subjugate any of them was to destroy the constituent parts, and necessarily therefore, must be the destruction of the Union itself. 2

Upon the men guilty of this breach of law lay the charge of "whatever of bloodshed, of devastation, or of shock to republican government has resulted from the war."

> 1<u>Ibid</u>., 220. 2<u>Ibid</u>., 439. 3<u>Ibid</u>.

Many of the steps taken by the central government to meet the novel crisis of a civil war have been recognized as of doubtful legality, and Davis frequently interrupts his military nerrative to point out infringements of the Constitution and of local rights. Federal rule in New Orleans had shown little respect for precedent: the subversions of the state governments of Maryland, Kentucky, and Missouri had been high-handed in the extreme, and all through the North there had been arbitrary arrests for suspected disaffection, which look strange in the history of a constitutional republic. Davis had by this time convinced himself that the whole of Northern policy was directed toward establishing a centralized despotism, and the libertyloving men of the North as well as the South needed to be warned against its encroachments. "The contest is not over, the strife is not ended," he wrote. "It has only entered upon a new and enlarged arena"; and there the champions of constitutional liberty must fight "until the Government of the United States is brought back to its constitutional limits."

The Rise and Fall of the Confederate Government contains elements of the tract, the military history, and

1<u>Ib1d.</u>, II, 294.

the personal diary, but it is perhaps best described as an extended political essay, presenting the whole conflict, from the earliest beginnings through the first years of Reconstruction, from the point of view of the constitutional lawyer. Its primary object was to show that the North, as the party of revolution, had in the fanaticism of its program committed great wrongs against the conservative section of the nation. The main contention was simply that faith among contracting parties depends upon observance of the contracts as written, not as whittled away by tenuous reasoning, or eroded by what one party pleases to call progress.

> Much of the past is irremediable; the best hope for a restoration in the future to the pristine purity and fraternity of the Union, rests on the opinions and character of the men who are to succeed this generation: that they may be suited to that blessed work, one, whose public course is ended, invokes them to draw their creed from the fountains of our political history, rather than from the lower stream, polluted as it has been by self-seeking place-hunters and by sectional strife.

Davis' history, though consisting largely of selfexculpation, is in style grave and noble throughout. The tired old man who composed its exhaustive pages realized that the sun had long set on an order whose living head he once was, but for all that he would not bow the knew to

1<u>Ibid.</u>, I, viii.

expediency or cease to gainsay his opponents. When in 1989 he died at the age of 81, the last of the political soldiers left the scene, and their sons, having little disposition to revive old issues, were looking about for places in the new order.

Nen of varied talents were called by a desire to defend the South in her course of secession, but of them all Bernard J. Sage best represents the combination of erudition and vehezent spirit which distinguishes the entire Southern apologia. Sage was born and educated in Connecticut. but at an early age he removed to New Orleans to practice law, became a sugar planter, and so generally identified himself with the life and aspirations of the section as to earn the right to be considered a Southern spokesman. He was among those sent abroad in 1864 to help check the adverse tide of European opinion, but it was 1865 before the fruit of his labor appeared, and then it was published in England as The Republic of Republics; or, American Federal Liberty, by P. C. Centz, Barrister. This transparent pseudonym, which he meant to stand for "Public Common Sense," went unquestioned, and the book was long looked upon as the work of an English advocate devoting his trained mind to the issues of the American quarrel. After having passed through three English editions. it was brought out in Boston in 1881, the year in which Davis completed his Rise and Fall,

and a year which may be regarded as setting a term to the major apologies.

Sage shows some close parallels to Bledsoe, but there is much in this volume not contained in the compact Is Pavis a Traitor? and the central thesis is highly original. He argued that though the states had a right to secede under the constitutional compact, there being no grounds for restraining them, or for coercing them back in to the Union, the North on the other hand had a right to make war on the South under the <u>jus gentium</u>, by which any people is entitled to take extra-legal steps to preserve its threatened integrity. But this right carried a limitation. After the North had gained the victory, she could not them invoke the constitution, which had in the meanwhile been disregarded, and treat the vanquished as rebels against national authority; she could proceed against them only as the conquered people of a foreign nation.

This ingenious analysis is supported by the most painstaking reading of law and history to show the difference between what the founding fathers had laid down and what the Lincoln administration had assumed. Sage was as positive as the others in his view that the states had never sacfificed their sovereignty, and that federal coercion of them against their wills was usurpation. This was "A Roman Chapter of American History," and he quotes Burke's

selemn warning, "This change from an immediate state of procuration and delegation to the course of acting as from original power, is the way in which all the popular magistracies of the world have been perverted from their purposes."

"An inviduous and fraudulent revolution is now going on," he wrote, "which by subjugating the people to a selfdetermining government placed the United States on the road 2 travelled by all moribund republics." Long before the gloomy German prophets of the twentieth century, Sage thought he saw signs of what could be called "Caesarism" 3 at the end of Western democracy.

The author found, moreover, an interesting source for the consolidationist philosophy of Story, Webster, and their school. It lay, he argued, in the views of the original ensaies of the Constitution, who had sought to defeat its champions. Lincoln became the heir of their views, not knowingly, but through simple ignorance and so was in a position to be misled by cunning advisers.

The Republic of Republics is a careful, patient,

¹Bernard J. Sage, <u>The Republic of Republics</u> (Boston, 1831), p. 431. ²<u>Ibid</u>., p. 17. ³<u>Ibid</u>., p. 13.

learned report based on a systematic study of the origins of the American nation. It appeared at a time, however, when objections such as it raised were but as chaff before the wind; and of course it gained back nothing for the states, but it did make plain why Davis and Lee could not be tried as traitors. Northern leaders, having consulted their own sources, arrived at a similar conclusion, and thus in a sense the two "traitors" were saved by the perdurance of the principles they had fought for.

Bledsoe, Pollard, Stephens, Davis, and Sage all wrote with the object of confuting what they believed to be a monstrous aspersion, a "war guilt lie," which, if allowed to stand, would leave the character of the Southern people permanently vilified. All wished to clear the record, but all with the single exception of Bledsoe realized the hopeleseness of trying to reverse the trend of history. A doctrinaire and perhaps the most perfect intransigent in American history, opposition and failure oaly inflamed Bledsoe's curious temper. He alone felt it profitable to throw up a new line of defenses from which continuous war might be waged, not only against the Republican party, but also against the vastly more harmful secular theory of government to which he believed the North committed.

In January, 1867, at the beginning of the year in

which the Northern policy of Reconstruction took a turn toward severity, Bledsce brought out in company with William Mand Browne, later to become a distinguished teacher at Johns Hopkins University, the first issue of The Southern Review. Both men signed an announcement which appeared on the back cover. The Southern Review, it declared, was being established to fill the need of an organ for Southern men of letters. "We desire the REVIEW to represent the South, not as a party, but as a people." Politics, however, was not to be excluded as topic matter for discussion. "The causes and consequences of the late war, and the various consequences to which it has given rise, will, from time to time, be temperately discussed; not with the view of awakening acrimonious and vindictive feeling, but of drawing profit from the experience of the past." It was a futile disclaimer. Bledsoe was too combative in nature to remain on the academic level of discussion, and however sincerely he may have felt this profession, he was soon back in the bitter accusatory tone of Is Davie a Traitor? One can, indeed, mark a steady rise in the acerbity of the <u>Review</u> as its objectives became more remote from accomplishment. The first issue contained several articles of polemic intent, among them "The Legal Status of the Southern States" and the "Imprisonment of Davis." The former stated the case for the restoration

of the South, based on the principle that "in civil war there is no treason" and that "in adhering to a <u>de facto</u> government there is no treason." The second was a strong appeal to Americans in general not to start the tradition of political persecution, filled with abuse of those responsible for having caused Davis needless suffering. The denial to a people of the right of self-determination was bad enough, Bledsoe argued, but the martyrdom of leaders captured after an abortive struggle for independence would mark the end of that spirit in which the American government was conceived.

> The children are yet clinging about our knees, who were born before "State prisoners" were imagined as a possibility upon our soil, and the generation who preceded them - scarce half-grown even now - were taught the stories of the Doge's Palace, the Tower and the Bastille, of Clautz and St. Helena and Ham, as a warning against the wickedness of kings and lords, and a lesson of thankfulness to the good God, who had made a republic their birth-place. 2

Although the primary purpose of the <u>Review</u> was to continue the old argument over state rights and secession, part of the herculean task the editors assigned themselves was the inspection of Northern histories of the war as they came from the press. These were appearing in ever-increasing

> ¹<u>The Southern Review</u>, I (January, 1867), p. 95. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 233.

number, and nearly all of them, partly because of patriotic seal and partly because of inattention to strict facts, carried inaccurate stories and questionable interpretations. Upon these they fell with a determined savagery. One can almost detect the note of glee as Bledsoe warms up for such exercise. He thus approaches John William Draper's <u>History of the American Civil War</u>:

> The author of course gives himself credit for perfect fairness and impartiality. ... The promise is fair, but what of the performance? We shall judge the tree not by its blossoms but by its fruits. If these happen to be misrepresentations, calumnies, and lies, what do we care for the author's good intentions? Or for any other hollow, hypocritical thing that bears such deadly fruit. 1

George Bancroft was saluted in similar fashion. "There have been bad men and bad teachers always," Bledsoe wrote, "but society was safe as long as it shut them up in its moral lazarhouses. When it makes them its high priests, and spreads its garments and palm branches for them to tread on, those who love it may begin to despair." School histories of the United States of Northern authorship excited him to the highest pitch of indignation, for they were spreading the very kind of poison the <u>Review</u> had been established to ecunteract. Characterizing them as "crude compilations of

> 1<u>Ibid.</u>, V (January, 1869), 3. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, IV (July, 1868), 149.

malice and mendacity," he said he could not afford to follow "their innumerable lies, great and small," but he warmed Southern parents to keep their children out of schools in which such books were used.

The Southern Review had a difficult time, for the people whom it championed would neither read it nor support it, and had it not been for the unflagging energy and determination of Bledsoe himself, who sometimes supplied half the content of an issue, it would have perished in the first year. The Southern people as a whole believed in the principles which it advocated, of course, but they did not constitute a reading public, and on those rare occasions when they did read, they preferred anecdotes of the camp or criticism of campaigns, neither of which the Review included. The discouragements were great, and in 1870 the founder, "deeply impressed with the vanity of all sarthly things." decided to dedicate the magazine thenceforward to the glory of God. Accordingly in January, 1871, it became an official organ of the Methodist Church, though this did not mean abandonment of the cause of the South. Strong political articles continued to appear, but it became

IEdwin Mins in The South in the Building of the Nation, VII, 464-465.
2The Southern Review, VIII (July, 1870), p. 443.

primarily a vehicle for the theology of Bledsoe, who seized the opportunity to revive a dispute of almost unpresedented bitterness with R. L. Fabney, another daughty Southern spokesman. After Bledsoe died in 1877, his daughter carried on the publication until 1879, when the Nethodist Church withdrew its support to resume its own <u>Quarterly Review</u>.

The <u>Southern Review</u> was in reality the old antebellum sectional journal fighting for life and recognition in the new era. In the political field its victories were victories in debate only, and its religious theory of the social order went unheard amid the crude materialisms of the gilded age, but it remains unquestionably the best repository of the views of the unreconciled Southerner.

2. The Attack Upon Secular Democracy

Another part of the Southern defense, which received less publicity than the legal case, but which in implications was more profound, was the opposition to all secular theories of the state. The French Revolution had established the principle that man is the measure of all things; his freedom, his welfare, his opportunity for the "pursuit of happiness" were acclaimed the objectives of all just governments - a kind of political humanism which had the effect of deifying an abstract concept of man. But for

hundreds of years before this there had prevailed a contrary notion, which formed part of the medieval world-picture. This taught that the state is the mortal god under the immortal god, that man owes allegiance to his state because it is divinely instituted, and that the carrying out of its commands is a divine appointment for which one must not expect rewards in the utilitarian sense. The fruit of its work, like the fruit of all human effort, was something tanding toward "the greater glory of God." After this cancept had been eliminated by eighteenth-century rationalism, the state came to be looked upon as a mere instrumentality in the hands of the majority, without relationship to revealed religion, and charged only with the ordering of temporal affairs.

Southern authoritatians felt that rationalism had accomplished as much harm in politics as in religion. In its first issue after the war, <u>De Bow's Review</u> was cautioning its readers against exclusive reliance on reason, which, unless "limited, balanced, and counterpoised, always leads to false, and often dangerous conclusions." The application of this truth was to be seen in the present state of the South.

> Every bloody revolution in Christendom, as well in Church as in State, for the last three hundred years, has been brought about by following the too often deceptive guide of reason. And reason now, except in the South, is everywhere at work busily undermining and upsetting all laws.

governmente, faiths and institutions, with no visible result except the shedding of blood, and the rapid and vast increase of pauperism. 1

A few Southern churchmen well grounded in history and philosophy and a few laymen who shrank before the picture of a godless world undertook the forlorn task of pleading for a restoration of religious sanctions. Among the divines was Robert Lewis Dabney, a Virginia Presbyterian. who had served in the war as chaplain on the staff of Stonewall Jackson. Dabney conceived an intense admiration for the eccentric character of this commander, and as early as 1863 memorialized him in The Life and Campaigns of Lieutenant-General Thomas J. Jackson. At the beginning of events Dabney had opposed secession but as time passed he came to see the war as a conflict between the Christian South and the anti-Christian North. Occurrences following the peace confirmed him in this view, and he decided that it was his duty as a teacher of religion and morals to make a statement of the righteousness of Southern civilization. Therefore he appeared in 1867 with <u>A Defence of Virginia</u> and Through Her of the South in Recent and Pending Contests Against the Sectional Party. It is at once the bitterest and the most eloquent of the major apologias.

¹De Bow's Review, After the War Series, II (November, 1866), 494.

The thesis is an argument for an hierarchic society, nede in terms of a combined religious fundamentalism and a searching political dialectic. No one can fail to be impressed with the wide and solid scholarship of the better Southern churchmen of this period, and Dabney was perhaps foremost of them all. Constitutional lawyers might argue the defects of the original contract made between the states, but he realized that the ideas inspiring the recent social revolution in America did not have a contemporary origin. They had commenced with Hobbes of Malmesbury and *pieus John Loske, a sort of baptized image of that atheistic philesopher," and their propagation was owing to the "infidel democrats" of the French Revolution. He attacked Locke's theory of the origin of society as false in fact and impossible in theory. All freedom rests upon a true perception of moral distinctions. A man's liberty, accordingly, is not the liberty to do whatever he wants to do, but only a right to do what he has a "moral right to do." Hence membership in civil society is not a matter of one's electing; rather, it is native with every human being, for Ged, knowing that man is a fallen creature, whose will is disordered, ordained that he live under authority. From

1R. L. Dabney, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 242.
2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 252.

these principles Dabney deduced the authority of magistrates and the responsibility of citizens.

Dabney's view was in substance that unless our first postulate be that God designed a moral universe. everything must discolve in meaningless contention. To set up man's secular advantage as the only ultimate good and then to invest every majority with irresponsible power is to instimate endless strife. "What fruit has radical democracy ever borne, except factious oppression, anarchy, and the stern necessity for despotism?" The thoughtful reader will not miss the resemblance between this and the doctrine of the divine right of kings. In its clearest form the doctrine of the divine right of kings invested the king with a de jure right which made it impossible that there should ever be a de facto king. The logic of the conception is that society must be authorized to be respected, and that the accidents of history such as wars and revolutions must not be allowed to become the criteria of right. In such a society the whole administrative organization is the instrumentality of God's will. "If asked whence the obligation to obey the civil magistrate, who, personally, is but our fellow, we answer, from God's will, which is the

1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 259.

source and measure of duty."¹ Society is not a product of the flux of history, but of design, and hence one's position in it must be determined by his virtue, that term being understood, of course, through the teachings of religion.

From this point there is but a short step to the vindication of slavery. Since persons in a society differ greatly in "power, knowledge and natural relations to each other," no mere assumed equality will serve, and therefore "the civic liberties of all classes of society ought not to be the same." The privileges accorded anyone, like the duties he must bear, should reflect his powers and moral qualifications. Those who fall below the established level of virtue and reason have to be restrained, and any degree of restraint is righteous that conduces to a righteous Inasmuch as all moral obligation proceeds from God, end. abolitionism, which is a repudiation of moral responsibility, is heresy. "Modern Abolitionism in America had, in fact, a Socialan birth, in the great apostasy of the Puritans of New England to that benumbing heresy, and in the pharisaism, shallow scholarship, affection, conceit, and infidelity of

> 1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 251. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 257.

the Unitarian clique in the self-styled American Athens, 1 Boston."

Dabmey was convinced that the whole Southern theory of society was grounded upon a sober study of divine teachings and human history, whereas that of the North was a reflection of ignorance and obsession. Thus the doctrines of the abolitionists were made up of a "set of miscrable and shallow sophisms, which Southern divines and statesmen have threshed into dust and driven away as the chaff before the whirlwind, so long ago, and so often, that any intelligent man among us is elmost ashamed to allude to them as requiring an answer." It was anomalous to find the literature of the victorious side "poor, beggarly, and false," while that of the losing was "manly, philosophic, and powerftl."

Babney felt so sure of the correctness of his principle that he promised his readers the North would one day be overtaken by the consequences of her own misdeeds, and that the wild notions brought down to disrupt Southern seciety would return to plague their inventors. For its revenge the South must look forward to that "anarchy and

> 1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 131. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 14. 3<u>Ibid</u>.

wos" which the "disorganizing heresies" would eventually l produce among her conquerors.

Albert Taylor Bledsce joined Dabney in preaching the necessity for a religious authoritarian government, and few issues of The Southern Review appeared without some attack upon the godless doctrines of the French Revolution. Blease was chiefly opposed to the chimerical notion that man is by nature good; he argued on the contrary, that no government can hope to survive which does not proceed on the assumption that man is a fallen being. The first article to appear in the Review, ambitiously entitled "The Education of the World," established a point of view which was steadfastly maintained during its twelve-year existence. It pointed out that in giving up the religious sanctions of his laws and institutions, man hands himself over to that flux of events which must always proceed from passion unchecked by higher discipline. To substitute a sentimental optimism and humanitarianism for the old and proved doctrine of man's natural depravity is simply to prepare the way for a new fall. The more there is left open to the whims and passions of men, the wider will be the field of folly. "With the absolute supremany of the French School, whose

1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 356.

destrines are so flattering to the pride and ignorance of man, there arese the self-idolatry of the men of 1789, and also "the dominant idea of the last century," that governments and institutions make the people."

He mocked the favorite notion that people can be regenerated by "an idea." Because the government of the United States had not been "adjusted to the great facts and lave of the moral world," it became "a gigantic and degrad-3 Ing typenny." Since the founders of the American Union had not taken into account the natural depravity of man. the system which they devised, however unexceptional from the secular point of view, was unable to stand the test of history. "The causes of the late war," he wrote, "had their roots in the passions of the human heart. Under the influence of those causes almost everything in the new system worked differently from what was anticipated." At the founding of the Union the North and the South struggled together like Jacob and Esau in the womb "with almost fatal desperation." After the government had been established, this struggle was continued through seven great crises, and

> 1<u>The Southern Review</u>, I (January, 1867), 15. 2<u>1bid.</u>, VI (October, 1868), 292-295. 3<u>1bid.</u>, I (April, 1867), 319. 4<u>1bid.</u>, I (January, 1867), 263. 5<u>1bid.</u>, p. 268.

following each the majority section grew bolder and more tyrannical as it grew stronger. The fundamental error of the designers of the Constitution proved to have been the clothing of man instead of law with supreme power. "*Man is free by nature," says Locke, 'but according to the infinitely more profound aphorism of Aristotle, 'man is a tyrant by nature.'" Hence when the majority found it could rule, it trampled the law into the dust, and so it will always be when man either singly or collectively is made the arbiter. "The legislators of 1787 did not know that man is a fallen being; or, if they did, they failed to comprehend the deep significance of this awful fact."

With this example of human failure before him, Miedsoe could return to the errors of the French radicals. The great mistake of those theorists, he contended, was the constructing of an imaginary man, who was not to be found when the actual task of making institutions work was semmenced. "The more shallow the theory on which our politics are based, the sconer will they be ground to powder and scattered before the angry winds." The theme of man's metural depravity challenged his resources as a theologian,

> ¹<u>Ib1d.</u> p. 270. ²<u>Ib1d.</u> p. 272. ³<u>Ib1d</u>.

and he would at times rise to the earnestness and intensity of an Old Testament prophet. "The new Republic of '87, being founded on a presumptuous confidence in man, was doomed to fall, or to undergo ead changes and transformal tions." And he moralized further in the same passage: "as often as the experiment may be made, it will be demonstrated in the grand theatre of history, that the purity, the equality, and the freedom of all men, is one of the most fatal delusions that ever issued from the brain of theorist, or convulsed the world with horrible disorder." His entire philosophy was summed up in the Apocalyptic cry: "Wee "Bottle all the proud polities of self-idolizing man."

Even before Bledsoe founded his militant Southern Review, General D. H. Hill had established in Charlotte, North Carolina, a journal devoted to "the vindication of Southern history." General Hill had experienced his share of the vicissitudes of war, and feeling now, like Lee, that

<u>51bid</u>, I (January, 1867), 273.

LIDIA.

²Ibid., VIII (July, 1870), 19. It was natural that Bledsoe should oppose with equal energy the extension of the franchise to women. He noted with satisfaction that in this program Northern women were "the chief mischief makers," Southern women having shown little spatite for "the forbidden fruit." He foresaw a collapse like that of the Homan Empire if "the spirit of infidel reform, which, in proud contempt of the world and the providence of God, has inaugurated the woman's rights movement" should triumph (The Mission of Woman," The Southern Review, IX [October, 1871], 941).

the sole hope of the Southern people lay in a reorientation through education, he commenced a publication with which he wished to diffuse a knowledge of the applied sciences among his chauvinistic countrymen as well as argue the justice of the South's cause. On most topics of sectional controversy The Land We Love was conspicuously moderate; it made a full confession of Southern sins and trusted that the "everlasting twaddle about politics" would soon be supplanted by discussions of how best to turn the furrow and prune the vine. The growing secular spirit of the North. however, was a feature of the new order which General **Hill could not accept.** Articles and editorials viewed with alarm the general decay of religious and moral sanctions. In an interesting historical parallel the South was compared to La Vendee, which had suffered destruction by epocsing the godless fury of the French Revolution. "The South was the La Vendee of the United States," it was declared.

> Her conservatism, her love of the Constitution; her attachment to the old usages of society, her devotion to principles, her faith in Bible truth all these involved her in a long and bloody war with that Radicalism which seeks to overthrow all that is venerable, respectable, and of good repute. 1

1The Land We Love, V (September, 1868), 446.

He saw the assault upon Southern religious faith taking two forms: One was the carnival of corruption in the entire postbellum country, which shook the faith of many persons in a moral order of the universe; and the other was the new secular spirit of education at the North, which showed signs of moving South. "Everything has been done to debauch the moral perceptions of our unfortunate section," 2 he explaimed in an editorial.

The spirit of pragmatism, too, was creeping over the mation, and R. L. Dabney was wise enough to realize that it was the most insidious of all the foes of religion. <u>The Land We Love</u> published his lectures on "The Duty of the Hour," in which he sought to convince the students of Davidson College that under God's inscrutable providence the right does not always meet temporal success. "It is only the atheist who adopts success as a <u>criterion</u> of right. It is not a new thing in the history of men that Ged appoints to the brave and the true the stern task of centending, and falling, in a righteous quarrel."

Writing in the Southern Magazine, Henry Eubank

IThe author of "Religion in the Public Schools of the North" asked (<u>lbid.</u>, January, 1869, p. 247): "Are we a Christian mation? A short ten years ago men daily thanked God that this was not a question."

^{2&}lt;u>Ibld.</u>, p. 444. 3<u>Ibld.</u>, VI (December, 1868), 188.

pleaded for a society based on distinctions in morality and culture. Northern "utilitarianism," he urged, must not be allowed to prescribe for the Southern ailment, for the North was "a civilization progressive only in its increasing i knowledge of evil." General Lee had been great because he had recognized his commission as "derived primarily from Heaven." The writer had the aristocrat's fear that the jealous masses were seeking to destroy all exclusiveness.

> There is a latent radicalism in the lower strate of society in a chronic condition of receptivity for any and every doctrine that asserts the perfect equality of men regardless of moral and intellectual culture, and aims directly or indirectly at the overthrow of all 'rights' claimed as distinctive on grounds of such culture. 3

The explanation was that such rights are difficult of attainment and are "incomprehensible to those who have acquired 4 no title to them."

Thus the religious part of the Southern polemic advanced three main points: that society is of divine ordination; that man is naturally wicked and must be protested against his own bad impulses; and that the test of right is not results, but a revealed ethic. The victory of the North was seen as threatening to extinguish each of

¹<u>The Southern Magazine</u>, XII (May, 1873), 606.
²<u>Ibid</u>.
³<u>Ibid</u>., p. 609.
⁴<u>Ibid</u>.

them. Lincoln's "government of the people, by the people, and for the people" is, of course, the classic statement of secular democracy, discarding the older notion of the civil magistrate as God's viceregent. Majority rule, which in the antebellum Union had been somewhat restricted by the neture of the compact, was now beyond challenge. And the practice of judging man's actions by a divine deliverance, or by any code superior to what he himself could frame, was fast vanishing. It was against these tendencies that the Southern "abstractionists" fought their losing battles.

3. The Particularism of Peoples

The issue of Southern separatism inevitably raised the question of differences between Southern and Northern people, and it was to be expected that champions of the lost cause would make the most of whatever invidious comparisons could be found or invented. If two peoples are so unlike that they can be happy only in separate political destinies, the yoking together of them becomes a <u>tour de</u> <u>force</u> which can be justified only by casuistry or in terms of some mystical belief in a joint mission. Southern spokesmen realized that in the right of self-determination of peoples they had a powerful argument - somewhat vitiated, it is true, by the awkward presence of the negro - and they were not slow to quote the Declaration of Independence upon

the necessity of dissevering political bonds.

While many Southern people were conscious that they did not like Yankees, there was no general agreement on what constituted their chief imperfection. Some attacked them as Puritans; some regarded them as a people in whom the commercial instinct, with all that connoted, had become dominant, and a few of the clerics saw them as anti-Christians, who had been seduced by the heresies of Arminianism and rationalism. Such charges are to be found both together and separate in many printed sources. A fair example of the kind of indictment which an embittered Southerner could compose comes from the pen of R. B. Rhett, Jr., of the Charleston Mercury, who upon the suspension of this journal addressed a letter to subscribers. In reviewing the calamities which had brought the South low, he said:

> The truth is, there is an incongruity between the two peoples from their very natures ... The one people is cautious and reticent; the other frank and open in communication. The one is penurious; the other free in the use of money. The one cannot even comprehend the meaning of the word honor: the other values it beyond life ... The one loves gregariousness and does everything by association; the other cultivates privacy and individuality, and acts with difficulty with others. The one is skeptical, prying, officious, harsh, dogmatic, aggressive, and fond of novelties, misnamed progress; the other is more genial and more tolerant, distrusts change and reverences the past. The one looks upon government as an instrument of aggrandizement, to make money or to rule others: the other regards it simply as an instrument of protection, for securing justice and leaving to all under its authority the privilege of seeking their

own happiness in their own way. Is it possible that two people of such different characteristics and antagonistic views can live voluntarily under the same Free Government? 1

Some writers, of whom the brilliant E. A. Pollard is an instance, attached great weight to the Cavalier tradition. "There could be no congeniality," he wrote in The Lost Cause, "between the Puritan exiles who established themselves upon the cold and rugged and cheerless soil of New England, and the Cavaliers who sought the brighter elimate of the South, and drank in their baronial halls in Virginia confusion to roundheads and regicides." As a result both of his heritage and of the conditions of his life, Pollard contended, the Southerner had developed a superiority of manner which inspired resentment in the Yankee commoner; and when the Yankee saw an opportunity to destroy this affront to his pride, he took it enthusiastically.

> The South had an element in its society, a landed gentry which the North envied, and for which its substitute was a coarse ostentatious aristocracy that smelt of the trade, and that, however it cleansed itself, and packed its houses with fine furniture, could never entirely subdue a sneaking sense of inferiority. There is a singularly bitter hate which is inseparable from

1R. B. Rhett, Jr., "A Farewell to the Subscribers of the Charleston Mercury," n.d., n.p. 2E. A. Pollard, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 49.

a sense of inferiority; and every close observer of Northern society has discovered how these lurked in every form of hostility to the South the conviction that the Northern man, however disguised with ostentation, was coarse and inferior in comparison with the aristocracy and shivalry of the South. 1

The Northern public, which had deliberately set out to destrey "the distinctive civilization of the South," with its "higher sentimentalism and "superior refinements of scholarchip and manners," he viewed as a mob, rude, fickle, gregarious, with a mind "volatile, superficial, and 2 theatrically inclined." To the charge of lack of refinement he added the severer one of over addiction to selfinterest, so contemptible by the Southern standard of 3 noblesse oblige.

In one sense Pollard was but echoing the journalistic abuse of the preceding half century, but in another, if allowance is made for his extreme terminology, he was outlining a difference in scale of values which has persisted

^{1&}lt;u>Ibid.</u>, p. 51.

^{2&}lt;u>Ibid.</u>, p. 186.

In support of this point Pollard quoted a letter written by Washington in 1775 relative to the appointment of officers in the Continental Army. The letter spoke of the unsoldierly conduct of some of his New England subalterns, elaiming that "these people seem to be too inattentive to everything but their interest." The letter appears in <u>The</u> Writings of George Washington from the Official Manuscript Sources (Washington, 1931-40), III, 451.

in the face of all changes until today. The North was fast becoming urban and industrialized, and at this very moment there was appearing that disturbing factor, the mass mind. Signs of modernism were everywhere. "Sensations, excitements on slight cause, fits of fickle admiration, manias in society and fashion, a regard for magnitude, display and exaggeration, all these indications of a superficial and restless civilization abound in the North and are peculiar to its people." In comparison the people of the South were slow in their reactions; they had a settled way of life; they maintained "a sober estimate of the value of men and things": and their favor was neither given nor withdrawn lightly. But hostile critics could, and usually did, interpret these features as proceeding from ignorance, insensibility, and indolence. How far his specific descriptions apply may be debated, but the very currency of such conceptions indicates why many Southerners viewed the Civil War as a war of peoples.

One may be disposed to take Pollard's indictment of Northern character more seriously after learning that he was prepared to look at the adverse side of Southern character. One of the recurrent themes of <u>The Lost Cause</u>

1 The Lost Cause, p. 52.

is that the South failed to gain its independence because of gross bismanagement, which proceeded largely from habitual Southern inefficiency. He went so far as to make the deeply resented charge of shiftlessness. "It has been remarked that the shiftlessness of the people of the South. their want of commercial tact or business knowledge, so to apeak, however it may have been doubted before, was fully proved in the war, and that this cause, as much as enything else, contributed to the ruin and prostration of the Confederacy." He repeatedly referred to the absurd **vanity of the Southern** people, to their over-confidence. and to their incapacity for analysis. He turned a disapproving eye upon Southern chivalry, and in a later work, The Life of Jefferson Davis, he attacked it pointedly, calling it mere excessive admiration for "low physical courage" or "animal combativeness." In the same pages he argued that the widely advertised generosity of Southern character should not be allowed to obscure the insularity of outlook from which most Southerners suffered.

> There are great defects in that character peculiar defects of accident; but there is also the sum of many virtues. The people of the South are brave to a fault; they are generous to credulity,

¹<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 488. ²<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 404. polite, hospitable, cherishing many noble virtues which the commercial spirit of the age has elsewhere outgrown; but they have all the peculiar faults of an <u>untraveled people</u> - a people who pass their lives in local neighborhoods, and who, having but little knowledge of how large and various the world is, easily take conceit of their own powers and virtues. 1

Yet at the close of The Lost Cause when Pollard looked back over his story of the war, he decided that it was the distinctive Southern character which must be salvaged from the ruine, regardless of its palpable liabilities: for it had permitted the people to emerge from the conflict feeling, even in defeat, that they were "THE BETTER MEN." Abandonment of that distinctive character would prove "immeasurably the worst consequence of defeat." That the people of the South should maintain their superiority "in all the standards of individual character over the people of the North" was but "the plain syllogism of common sense." Civilized habits and political scholarship were the two things in which the South had excelled the North, and he feared, not unreasonably as events have showed, that Southerners might be persuaded to give up what was good along with what had no right to survive.

p. 192. ¹<u>The Life of Jefferson Davis</u> (Philadelphia, 1869), ²<u>The Lost Cause</u>, p. 729. ³<u>Ibid</u>., pp. 751-752. ⁴<u>Ibid</u>. The shief merit of Pollard's approach is that it avoids entirely the eloying attitude of reverence which impedes so much Southern historical writing, even when it dees not lead to unconscious falsehood. His allusions to the complexion of the Confederate Congress, to the rows in its secret sessions, and to the general decay of patriotism indicated by the conscription laws and by desertions allow it to appear that the Confederate war effort was not altogether whole-souled and gallant. It is well to notice, consequently, that one who noted so much of the discreditable occurrences behind the lines could still argue that Southern character had proved its mettle in the ordeal.

Bledsoe's stormy Southern Review pressed the matter of difference in more violent language, as was to be expected. The editor remarked with approval that a collection of Southern war poetry reflected "an intense, unquenchable, personal hate of Northerners as a race and as individuals." Always eager to explore historic and philosophic backgrounds, he saw the Southerners as a representative agrarian people, pure, peaceful, and loyal, pitted against the depraved population of Northern cities. He began an extended contrast with the remark of a Spanish

1The Southern Review, I (January, 1867), 278.

author to the affect that Don Quixote was one of the most mischievous books ever written because it took the noblest characteristics of human nature and rendered them contemptible. The North had committed the same orime in its caricature of Southern civilization. Bledsoe assumed the agrarian position previously given expression by Jefferson and John Taylor of Caroline, that the cultivation of the earth is the most innocent vocation, and therefore the best training in virtue. "For such reasons as these." he wrote. by universal consent, an agricultural population has always been deemed the most virtuous, and their characteristics. whatever they may be, the most unchangeable." Consequently, during its seventy-year hegemony the South had raled the North not by weight of numbers, for it was always a minority, but by integrity. "Every element of purity, 3 stability and greatness is with the South." While Southerners had clung to the old values and had remained a religious people, he argued, the North had become progressively irreligious.

> The great defect of Northern civilization is in its materiality. It is of the earth earthy, and ignores the spirituality of our nature. Its

1<u>Ibid.</u>, VI (July, 1869), 102. 2<u>Ibid</u>., p. 103. 3<u>Ibid</u>.

grand motive and object is the accumulation of money, and its prime boast is of the things money can buy - 'the lust of the eye, the lust of the flesh, and the pride of life.' Mammon is its god, and nowhere has he more devout or abject worshippers, or has he set up a more polluted civilization than in the North.

The whole spirit of Christianity is opposed to this sort of civilization. 1

The Southern character, he said in development of the thesis, is peculiar for its fidelity as the Northern is peculiar for its faithleseness. Because the North could not assimilate a people so different from it in nature, it set out to change that nature by means of emancipating the negro and encouraging the immigration of foreigners. But Bledsoe's conclusion was that the North not only would fail in its attempt to change the characteristics of the South, but also that in the course of time it might decide to adopt them for itself. This belief that the civilization of the South represented a permanent, settled order, whereas that of the North represented a temporary, transitional one is frequently encountered in postbellum writing.

This was largely derived from the widely-held Southern opinion that Northerners were temperamentally

^{1&}lt;u>Ibid.</u> p. 109.

^{2#}From the inception of the government, the political ideas and principles of Southern statesmen were dominant in its control. That they will be so again is as certain as the triumph of truth over error" (Scott's Monthly, II [October, 1866], 866).

unstable, incapable of distinguishing between the superficial and the fundamental, and consequently always victimized by fads and notions. The reader will encounter numerous references to Yankee "flippancy" and "irresponsibility." The author of "A Soldier's History of the War" in <u>Our</u> <u>Living and Our Dead</u> felt that difference in mental and moral qualities would make it certain that "the vagaries of the Northern mind could never attain foothold or flourish among the people of the South." Perhaps this was part of the Southern distrust of the intellectual.

> Then, and at all times since, they and their descendants have evinced an irrepressibility of mind that tends to erratic extremes.... Hence all the wild vagaries and foolish "isms" of the age have had their origin in the Northern States, among the people calling themselves the descendants of the Puritans, a name apolied to the Pilgrim fathers of New England. 2

It has been remarked with some degree of truth that the border states joined the Confederacy after the Civil War, and there may be no doubt that in Maryland, Kentucky, and Missouri sympathy for the stricken areas of the lower South increased in the Reconstruction period, partly from a feeling that the objects of the war had not been honestly avowed and partly from a feeling that the North was acting

> 10ur Living and Our Dead, I (November, 1874), 296. 21bid., p. 295.

brutally toward a people with whom it had ties of blood and sentiment. The shift from a neutral state of feeling to one of bitter Southern partisanship may be traced in The Land We Love and in The Richmond Eclectic and its successors. The latter was founded in 1868 by William Hand Browne and the Reverend Moses Hoge as "A Monthly Nagazine of Foreign Literature, Religious and Secular." A year later Hoge took over complete ownership and transferred the magazine to Baltimore, where it re-commenced publication as The New Eclectic. A change of tone was soon apparent. Previously it had taken an innocuous line, praying for sectional reconciliation and avoiding allusion to political controversies, but now it became highly sensitive to all criticisms of the South, from whatever quarter they emanated. An example will illustrate the type of reaction. Heoworth Dixon had published an article in the London Atherseum in which Marylanders were treated with flippancy and ridicule. The New Eclectic replied with an editorial which is one of the most defiant pieces of frankness in the entire Southern polemie. It was a proud stand in favor of the South's famous, or, if one takes the point of view of its critics, infamous anti-intellectualism. Readers were reminded that Bixon had acquired his knowledge of the South in the North.

He was in search of <u>isma</u>, of which happily we have none. He was tracing the development of what in New England are called "ideas" - things which the healthy nature of our people loathes, and which we exorcise with bell, book, and candle, as we would the Devil from whom they come. Our faults, shortcomings, vices if you will, have at least this redeeming feature, that they are natural. Our moral distempers are those of a constitution naturally sound and vigorous. 1

In 1871 this journal underwent another metamorphesis: now becoming The Southern Magazine and dropping eclecticism altogether, it took the offensive, boldly assailing Northern character, "radical delusions," and the policies expressive of these. It is obvious that attacks upon character are a more serious sign of alienation than more disagreement and division over propositions. The latter are capable of compromise and settlement, but differences in character are permanent sources of friction, and the editor of The Southern Magazine was determined to keep in high relief the distinction between Southerners and Northerners. In the pushing and jostling new urban proletariat with its "aggressive ruffianism" he saw the ruling class of the North. Alcibiades Jones, writing in the issue of March, 1872, thus described it:

> Within a circle of fifty miles around New York, that is, a circle one hundred miles in diameter, with the City Hall for its center, there dwells a

The New Eclectic, II (July, 1868), 354.

population that is distinct and dissimilar from all other populations in Christendom. So far as this population has a social creed upon which it would build a social status, its cardinal virtue is the old delusion that God has created all men equal. 1

Its disagreeable character flowed from a Northern heresy which the South had never accepted. "And in the last analysis it will be found that the active principle of aggressive ruffianism is this pestiferous doctrine of equality carried 2 to its legitimate conclusion."

Concurrent with such criticisms were articles and editorials maintaining the superior gentility and elegance of Southern manners. The magazine ran a series of "letters from the South" by a "Northern hypochondriac." This sojourner had gone South filled with the usual fixed ideas and delusions, only to be disabused of them and to be won over to an admiration of Southern ways. The following neat contrast is found in a "letter" written from Mobile:

> The hurry and skurry and eager haste, the effects of which are so plainly depicted upon the countenances of the human tide in the engorged streets of the Northern cities, are never seen here. Merchants and others bred in this climate go about their transactions with deliberateness, the gentlemanly propriety and grace of men who "understand the situation" - of men who are masters and not slaves of their vocation, whatever that vocation may be. 3

¹<u>The Southern Magazine</u>, X (March, 1872), 257. ²<u>Ibid</u>., p. 259. ³<u>Ibid</u>., VIII (January, 1871), 432. In a later instalment the Yankes confessed that before coming South he had possessed no education at all in the real sense. "It was really worse than no education at all. It possessed neither historical coherency nor logical l sequence."

The Southern Magazine was bold enough to make lynching, or "irregular execution," as it was termed, a criterion of the difference between the two peoples under consideration. It declared that the desire to inflict prompt vengeance upon a criminal, especially if he is guilty of a monstrous crime, is "perfectly healthy and natural," and that any society content to leave such matters to the police and the courts is "an emasculated and 2 deliquescent society."

Thus Baltimore in the 1870's, with Bledsoe booming away in <u>The Southern Review</u> on the constitutional and religious issues, and with Brownein <u>The Southern Magazine</u> pursuing the general theme of Southern superiority, must have appeared more rebellious than in 1861, when her citizens mobbed the Massachusetts soldiery. Neither magazine, however, survived the decade.

Our Living and Our Dead, a strongly partisan

1<u>Ibid.</u>, IX (July, 1871), 69. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, XVII (July, 1875), 126.

magazine devoting itself principally to the interests of North Carolina, was proud that Northern scorn had done nothing to diminish the spirit of chivalry. "Yankee ridicule has tried its hand upon Southern chivalry, for three generations past," it declared, "but fortunately for the reputation and happiness of our people, it has signally failed to 'laugh' it 'away,' or to expel it from the hearts of our most ideal types of manhood." It went over the military record of Sherman, "a sort of mixture of the Vandal and Goth," and of Sheridan, and contrasted their practices with the "knightly courtesy, the generous forbearance, the merciful considerateness" of the heirs of chivalry, which was traced to the spirit of Christianity. These things, it added, "serve to 'point a moral' and to throw great light upon the two peoples who dwell in the two great sections of the Union." The South was "indeed poor," but it still had the inheritance of its character.

Southern poetry of the postbellum era is dreary and undistinguished, but one little-known poem deserving recognition by reason of its departure from the heroic, sentimental, lachrymose tradition recounts the sectional

> ¹<u>Our Living and Our Dead</u>, III (November, 1875), 663. ²<u>Ibid</u>., p. 664. ³<u>Ibid</u>., p. 674.

conflict. This is "The Loves of Jonathan and Virginia," by William B. Johnson, an allegorical work of 416 stanzas in seeming imitation of Byron's <u>Don Juan</u>. Described as a "tribute to the Muse of American history," it is divided into six books, the titles of which roughly convey the story: "Early Romance," "The Smitheid," "Virgina, Queen of the Old Dominion," "The Marriage," "The Divorce," and "Reconciliation or Reconstruction." Since it is an account of an incompatible union, the poet goes at length to distinguish the characters of husband and wife.

Virginia had been founded as a land of freedom, where there would be "no Furitan to preach and no old Pope 1 to pay," and under these conditions she enjoyed a long prosperity. But as she approached maturity, a marriage was suggested between her and "Cousin Jonathan, a tall, thin lad, religiously inclined." Virginia was reluctant, but she yielded when the venerable George Washington said that the union would mean safety for her people. The marriage contract contained certain reservations which she thought would be respected, but which Cousin Jonathan was even then plotting to evade:

lwilliam B. Johnson, "The Loves of Jonathan and Virginia," (Philadelphia, 1873), p. 11.

But Gousin Jonathan, a cunning fox, Said not a word; indeed, full well he knew, Could he get in his tail, no paper locks Could keep his body all from going through. 1 But for many years, while her destruction was being prepared, Virginia lived a life of innocent happiness: Meantime her sons in luxury and ease Were bred to medicine, divinity, and law; While Northern boys were making bread and cheese They frolic, fiddle, fox-hunt, paint and draw, And in the parlor pretty women please, About the future caring not a straw: Wild, graceful, chivalrous in their happy youth They yet, when men, become the statesmen of the South. To make, to mend, to black their boots they could not To cut a coat they did not understand; To make a wooden nutmeg they would not; Nor did they relish plowing their own land; They spent their father's money as they should not, But often gave it with a liberal hand; And if one e'er was taxed with what he spent Replied, he meant someday to be the President. 2 Finally Virginia decided to sue for divorce, and the case was taken to "old Judge Battle's Court." where it became so long drawn out that the petitioner at length withdrew her plea. The poem ends with some satirical

stanzas on Reconstruction, in which Cousin Jonathan is found

trying to convert the negro to political uses. Virginia

1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 70. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 72. warms him that his success will be short-lived because Guffie "knows the difference 'tween gentlemen and Yankees," and will fall back on the Southern whites as his true friends.

Part of the work of assailing Northern character consisted in holding up individual men as examples of what was to be reprobated. The Radical leaders in Congress drew nothing except invective, of course, but even President Lincoln, whose utterances had been largely although not altogether free of expressions hostile to the South, was pictured sometimes as the fit leader of a coarse mob, and semetimes merely as a man much too small for the job he held. A son of that class universally despised as "poor white trash." Lincoln would have been suspected by Southerners even under the best circumstances; rumors of his uncanonical birth, and reports that in youth he had written a book defending infidelity were used to make the case against him complete. Newspapers went all lengths to caricature his as "the Illinois ape," and some men with pretensions to intellect and scholarship who had known him personally left estimates which clash sharply with the later legend.

¹¹bid., p. 116.

²Another long but rather ordinary poem on the general theme of "The Loves of Jonathan and Virginia" was W. G. Kennedy's "Ichabod; or the Glory of the South has Departed," published in Sunter, S. C., in 1882.

Albert Taylor Bledsoe, tending to grow more shrill as the years passed, took Ward Lamon's Life of Lincoln as occasion to give his opinion of the martyred president, with whom, he said, he had held almost daily intercourse at the bar of Springfield. After conceding that Lincoln possessed a character in some ways remarkable, he attacked the notion, then becoming established apparently beyond all possibility of removal, that sympathy with the underdog had been the ruling passion of his life. "It is believed by the world at large that hatred of oppression. coupled with a love of freedom, was Mr. Lincoln's ruling passion. Nothing is farther from the truth." Rather, thirst for distinction was the "one, intense, all-absorbing passion of his life." He proceeded to repeat stories of Lincoln's infidelism, of his extralegal parentage, and concluded that in view of these he was the ideal man to lead the "Northern Demos" in its war to subjugate the South. "For if, as we believe, that was the cause of brute force, blind passion. fanatical hate, lust of power and greed of gain, against the cause of constitutional and human rights, then who was better fitted to represent it than the talented but the low,

> ¹<u>The Southern Review</u>, XII (April, 1873), 326. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 340. 3<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 349.

ignorant and Wulgar, railsplitter of Illinois?" Lincoln was the "low-bred infidel of Pigeon Creek," in whose eyes "the Holy Mother" was "as base as his own."

Bernard J. Sage, the shrewd Connecticut Yankee who became a Louisiana sugar planter, dismissed Lincoln's abilities with a candor which carries an odd note of finality. He wrote of him in <u>The Republic of Republics</u>:

> He was a person of fair intellect, slight education, limited knowledge, no research, kind heart, jocular disposition; a man, in short, of excellent nature - just the man with his inexperience in statesmanship, and with his vague and hazy notions of political ethics and constitutional history and law, to be misled by the sophists of his party, and to be the instrument of crafty and unscrupulous politicians.

This estimate corresponds rather closely with that left in <u>A Constitutional View</u> by Alexander Stephens, who in the prewar years had been <u>s</u> fairly intimate friend of Lincoln's. In January, 1865, two deputations, each with rather undefined powers, met at Fortress Monroe to discuss the possibility of concluding the war. Stephens, naturally an earnest soul, was appalled by what he considered Lincoln's flippancy, evasiveness, and cheerful ignorance. In reply to an analogy from English history offered by R. M. T.

> ¹<u>The Southern Review</u>, XII (April, 1873), 364. ²<u>Ibid</u>. ³<u>The Republic of Republics</u>, p. 215.

Hunter, the President blandly stated, "I do not profess to be posted in history. On all such matters I will turn you over to Seward." But on the same occasion Stephens was greatly impressed by Grant, whom he termed one of the most remarkable men he had ever met. He felt that Grant, if he became fully aware of his own powers, would exercise a controlling influence on the country, "either for good or 2 for evil."

It remained, however, for <u>The Southern Magazine</u> to reach heights of rhetoric in presenting the adverse view of Lincoln. To William Hande Browne he summed up all the unpardonable features of Yankee character. Writing also in connection with Wark Lamon's biography, Browne said:

> The whole story of that career, from beginning to end, is so dreary, so wretched, so shabby, such a pitiful tissue of dodging and chicanery, so unrelieved by anything pure, noble, or dignified, that even to follow it as far as we have, has well nigh surpassed our power of endurance; and when, putting all partisan feeling aside, we look back at the men who were once chosen by their countrymen to fill the place that this man has occupied - a Washington, a Jefferson, a Madison, an Adams, or later a Webster, a Clay, or a Calhoun - men of culture and refinement, of honor, of exalted patriotism, of broad views and wise statesmanship and measure the distance from them to Abraham Lincoln, we sicken with shame and disgust.

1A Constitutional View, II, 613.
2/1b1d., 598.
3/The Bouthern Magazine, XI (September, 1872), 372.

A representative attack upon the Radicals of the Reseastruction Congress is to be found in The Land We Love of July, 1868. We have seen that General Hill first intended his magazine to be an organ of sectional understanding and of conciliation, but the Reconstruction measures of 1867 convinced him that the war was still on, and he accordingly took up the gage for the South. An editorial compared the Radical Reconstructionists to the "monkeytiger" of the French Revolution, "alternately engaged in murder and monkey-tricks" and "as playful as a young ape until the time comes for decreeing the ruin of ten states. and the lingering death of four million negroes." Of course The Land We Love did not overlook the beautiful detail that New York, Pennsylvania and Ohio had repudiated negro suffrage by overwhelming majorities. "O, ye hypoerites," cried another editorial,

> protesting about equality even when there is none in your own loyal fingers.... How long will the land be polluted with your hypocrisy, your malignity, your knavery, and your stealing. ³

From every platform and pulpit came calls to the Southern people to cling fast to their character and to

¹<u>The Land We Love</u>, V (July, 1868), 279.
²<u>Ibid.</u>, IV (February, 1868), 263.
³<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 35.

ignore the new philosophy of success, which by axiom as well as by example was commencing to dominate the national life. The Southern Magazine sneered at the Yankee concept that "honesty is a policy," and contrasted the foulmouthed conspirators of Congress and the unabashed crooks of Wall Street with the type of men the South had always chosen as leadere. Dabney reminded his readers that self-respect is the beginning of all good things and told the Southern people that they could suffer no worse defeat than a loss of belief in the righteoueness of their own civilization.

There was one force in particular, however, which kept the defenders of antebellum ideals in a constant state of alarm. This was the new sensational journalism, which hed reserved a great impetus from the war, and for which the Southern people were displaying such an appetite that the voice of their own propagandists was virtually drowned out. Because it was looked upon by the apologists as an expression of the flippancy, vulgarity, and sensationalism for which Yankees were being castigated, it is of moment in this connection. Defenders of the lost cause were driven almost to despair to see the journals with which they were trying to bolster the courage of the South, and which they

1The Southern Magazine, X (January, 1872), 259.

kept going only at tramendous sacrifice, ignored in favor of illustrated weeklies from the North, whose stock-intrade was "rebel atrocities" and caricatures of emaciated Confederates. The Land Ne Love of May, 1868, carried an article on "Demoralized Weeklies." It examined the whole list, including The Police Gazette, and declared them to be "receptacles for every species of moral filth that cannot find severage through other channels." The Southern people, instead of devising means to keep out this poison, were showing an eagerness for 1t. and a farmer who sould not afford a local newspaper would somehow dig up enough cash to subscribe to Harpers. In examperation the author concluded that "actual cautery is the only remedy." But the protests were unavailing; the flood grew, and the editorial columns of succeeding issues were filled with expostulation. In November, 1868, it was being asserted that "the Southern people seem determined to patronize only the pictorials of the North." A following issue attacked them as belonging "invariably to three

¹Eliza Andrews (<u>The War-time Journal of a Georgia</u> <u>Girl [New York, 1908]</u>, p. 371) wrote that she got into a rage every time she looked at <u>Harpers Weekly</u> and <u>Frank</u> <u>Leslie's</u>. "Nothing is sacred from their disgusting love of the sensational." ²<u>The Land We Love</u>, V (May, 1868), 80. ³<u>Ibid</u>., p. 84. ⁴<u>Ibid</u>., VI (November, 1868), 88. classes, the trashy, the sensational, and the libelous." If the children of Confederates continued to be supplied with such reading matter, not only would their characters be debauched, but they would come to feel that "their 2 martyr-sires did fill 'dishonored graves.'* Dabney. with his usual unerring eye for historical factors, saw their source in the new commercialism rampant over the North. with its scorn for the honor, decency and self-respect of the past generation. "The whole sway of their commercial and political ascendancy," he said in a speech on the New South. "is exerted to fill the South with false literature. Its sheets come up, like the frogs of Egypt, into our houses, our bedchambers, our very kneading troughts." And George W. Bagby could say in a postscript to his satire, A Week in Hepsidam. "My fate is no better than and ne worse than that of other men of a literary turn who live in the South - that South which spends millions every year on books and papers that sneer at it and vilify it."

¹<u>Ibid.</u>, VI (December, 1868), 176. T. C. De Leon (<u>Four Years in Rebel Capitals</u> [Mobile, 1892], p. 288) considered the New York journals to be as "Catholic in their scope as unreliable in their principles." ²<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 176.

3"The New South" (pamphlet), (Raleigh, N. C., 1882), p. 15.

4A Week in Hepsidam (Richmond, 1879), p. 66.

The particularists were correct in recognizing the new journalism as their most dangerous foe. The South, which, as <u>The Southern Magazine</u> boasted, was poor soil for <u>isms</u>, and which refused to reward the efforts of even the indefatiguable Bledsoe, nevertheless succumbed to its fascination. Within a few years after the war, it was alleged, the Southern people were treating "home productions" as if they had no merit whatsoever.⁴¹ First in the press and later in other fields a glaring and irreverent realism was to take the place of the old literature of elegance, gentility, and reserve.

4. The Theory of Race

Nothing apalled the white people of the South more than the prospect of four million emancipated blacks endowed with the privileges and powers of freemen in a republic. Happy experience with plantation slaves in wartime had convinced most of them that the fear of a general insurrection was without ground, but this was at best a negative confort. The true problem lay in what the negro, who had been through none of the white man's long discipline

1 Our Living and Our Dead, I (October, 1874), 141.

in solf-restraint, would do with political authority. It aattered little to say that the negro was kind and docile by nature, and that he seldom cherished hatred against his fold marster." The urgent question was whether or not the negroes as a group had a moral aspiration which could be united with, or substituted for that with which the white people had maintained a civilization. For civilization is nothing more than a set of moral ambitions carried out by organization and self-discipline. The Northern theory was that the negro was another "naturally good" man, whose aspering impulses had been thwarted by the chains of slavery. But the Southern people had before them the lessons of Haiti and Jamaica, where the negro - under somewhat differing conditions, obviously - had shown that his tendency when he was released from all constraining forces was downward rather than upward.

Now with the old breed of statesmen gone, with the gentry fallen in battle, and with little sympathetic assistance to be expected from the victorious section, the South felt itself confronted with an impossible situation. Innumerable were the speeches, pamphlets, and articles trying to impress upon the North the necessity of proceeding cautiously in the matter of extending full rights and privileges to the freedmen. A feature of this writing was the continued clash over whether the degraded condition

of the blacks was owing to slavery or to their racial inheritance. Virtually without an exception. Southerners maintained that the negro was a primitive whom slavery had assisted forward by enforcing habits of discipline and industry. This naturally outraged Northern opinion, which started on the assumption that the negro was an "equal." and which wished to pin on the Southern slaveholder the guilt of having made him unlettered, dull, and shiftless. The Northern public has generally displayed a strange credulity about stories of abuses emanating from the South. and when these are multiplied tenfold, as they were in Reconstruction days, it is little wonder that many Northerners of good will, whom a trip to the South would have undeceived, went on believing that slaveholders had subjected their negroes to deliberate and systematic brutalizing. Somewhere between two opinions distorted by passion lay a commonsense truth: on the one hand, Southerners had done less than they might toward civilizing the blacks: and on the other hand, Northerners, accepting the dogma that the negro had the white man's nature and capacities, had conceived an imperfect notion of the problem. "The hopes and expectations of the emancipationists," The Land We Love asserted mildly, "are not in unison with the judgment and predictions of those who have a right to know, and better understand the negro character."

The Land We Love, IV (November, 1867), 22.

Meanwhile the problem was there, and more than any other journel of the time De Bow's Review took cognizence of the fact that the South's future depended closely upon how the negro conducted himself under the new incentives. A study of its pages is a good lesson in Southern opinion of the whole race question, which was discussed more or less on its merits. Among Southerners there were no progressives and no conservatives; there were saly those who hoped for much and those who hoped for little. but it would be highly inaccurate to imagine that a feeling of vindictiveness conditioned the general attitude toward the negro. That the presence of the African had been the chief source of Southern misfortunes was a common admission; yet his very childlikeness, his extraordinary exhibitions of loyalty. and his pathetic attempts to find his place in the complicated white man's civilization rather had the effect of endearing him to his former owners. The prevailing feeling was one of benevolence: but the white man could not forget that the negro had always depended on him for vision and defense, and that he could not become a new man in a day, even if the most sanguine prophecies of the Yankees should be realized.

There were varied surmises regarding his behavior in freedom. A writer in <u>De Bow's Review</u> in the first issue after the war gave a frankly pessimistic forecast.

We avow openly that we feel the deepest commiseration for the enfranchised slaves of the South; and we earnestly hope that everything practicable will be done to alleviate their condition and advance their interests. But we confess we are not sanguine as to their capability of advancement. The black race is proverbially indolent and improvident, and we cannot shut our eyes to the facts of history. 1

He proceeded further to add, "Accounts from all parts of the South represent the freedmen as idle and indisposed to labor persistently." He could not, therefore, overcome his "melancholy foreboding as to the capabilities of this class 2 in a state of freedom."

Two years later a writer on Negro Agrarianism" was developing the same thought. "We shall soon have in the South," he said,

> not negro rule (for they cannot rule anything net even their own household), but negro anarchy and agrarianism. We do not see how this state of things can be prevented by peaceable means, and we have had far too much war. We must submit to negro misrule, cruelty, and proscription until the Democratic party of the North gets into power. 3

The Southern Bivouac found in the negro "an absence of self-respect, an unconscious servility," which left him incapable of a white man's moral perceptions. "The charge

1266), 22. 21bid., p. 23. 31bid., V (February, 1868), 138.

is not to be laid at his door, for the negro is still a slave by inheritance, and the tendencies of many generations cannot be counteracted in one."

"The Abolitionists have been telling us, for half a sentury," said The Land Ne Love,

> of the degradation and bestiality of the negro through the baneful influence of the oppression of slavery. But no sconer had slavery been abolished than these same philanthropists contend that the degrading bestialized subject of it is fit to serve upon juries, to exercise the elective franchise, to take his seat in the State or National Legislature, and to discharge all the high and responsible duties of manhood. Now there is an inconsistency somewhere. 2

Discussions of the negro frequently took the form of excursions into anthropology and sociology, a fair example of which is the pamphlet "The Public School in Its Relation to the Negro," by "Civis," who has since been identified as B. Puryear, of Virginia. This work, which is a complete examination of the negro's role in society, was issued in Richmond after having been serialized in <u>The</u> <u>Southern Farmer and Planter</u>. Writing to prove that the negro was doomed by his nature to a subordinate position, the author gave as the first reason his "extreme docility, a most desirable quality in a menial: a most dangerous, a

> ¹The Southern Bivouac, I (October, 1885), 318. ²The Land We Love, IV (January, 1868), 262.

fatal one in a sovereign." The second was his "improvidence," and the third the fast that the negro is "eminently a sweating animal." This qualifies him for outdoor work in low latitudes, but renders him objectionable "in the cars, in the jury box, in the halls of legislation, in the crowds that assemble on the court green." By his actions the negro, "true to nature and true to truth, stoutly denies the heresy of equality." These assertions and many like them were offered in opposition to equal education for negroes.

This, of course, represents the adverse extreme; a more balanced study of the Hegro's character is Philip A. Bruce's <u>The Plantation Negro as a Freedman</u>. Yet Bruce, though more rational in his approach to the topic and more charitable in allowing the negro a special set of virtues, leaves him exactly where the others do, in the position of servant and menial. "To bring him to the greatest usefulness," the author declared, "it is necessary that he should be required to conform to certain fixed standards of conduct te which he will not rise of his own voluntary motion, or if he should do so, he will not adhere to them long." ³ He

l*Civis* [B. Puryear], "The Public School in Its
Relation to the Negro" (Richmond, 1877), p. 5.
2Ibid., p. 16.
3Philip A. Bruce, The Plantation Negro as a Freedman

⁽New York, 1889), p. 35.

emberved in the negro an "inability to be watchful, prudent, and self-controlled for any length of time without alteration," a trait which he "seems to be incapable of "either cradicating or repressing." And although under constant supervision the negro might attain a fairly high standard of neatness and efficiency, "he does not always show in the character of his own cabin that he has taken to heart the spirit of those admonitions to which he may listen attentively at the moment."

It was generally granted that the negro was "a inneal descendant of Adam," and not a few saw in him petentiality for development, but none conceded him a future in politics. It was often pointed out that political activity was the one arena in which his lack of a civilized tradition would tell most heavily against him. In 1868 James B. Campbell, Senator from South Carolina, issued a pamphlet in which, after warning that carpetbagger rule could not last, he argued that "...he is the best friend of the colored man... who entices him least into the field of politics, than which there is nothing more corrupting to persons like him, just emerging from a condition of

> ¹<u>Ibid</u>., p. 36. 2<u>Ibid</u>., p. 41. 3<u>The Land We Love</u>, III (August, 1867), 352.

pupilage." Over thirty years later the same thesis was being maintained by Frank G. Ruffin in "The Cost and Outcome of Negro Education in Virginia." A survey led him to the assertion that "an experience of nineteen years has shown all observant Virginians that so far from having been fitted by education for the discharge of civil or social duties, that [sig] they have absolutely deteriorated, and have given no promise of amendment in any direction." Their condition marked a lapse from "a high degree of efficiency as agricultural laborers, in slavery, to a state of utter worthlessness in freedom." The negroes were "political idiots," and the North in trying to put them in political authority had "sinned against all knowledge."

r²

On the topic of the negro's natural endowment one finds the beginning of a division of opinion, for though seme contended that he could never contend with the white man in the arts and sciences, others believed that he had potential ability to succeed in all of them save that of political management. It is a further commentary on the

LJames B. Campbell, "Public Affairs and Our Duties to the Colored Race" (Charleston, 1868), p. 4. ²Frank G. Ruffin, "The Cost and Outcome of Negro Education in Virginia" (Richmond, 1889), p. 7. ³Ibid., p. 18. ⁴Ibid., p. 14.

traditional Southern view of the arts that no embarrassment was felt over conceding the negro even superiority in music, peetry, and oratory so long as politics remained the white man's specialty. The Southern Bivouac declared that it would not be surprised to see the negroes "in another generation" producing posts, artists, and orators surpassing those of the white race. But it regarded for self-government as the peculiar gift of the Aryan. The negro betrayed his unfitness for rule through his "absolute, unqualified veneration for power in its every form and symbol." He could understand only external control. "Nature formed him for obedience, and even when he is riotous and apparently insubordinate it is most generally his expression of contempt for what he deems weakness, and an indirect tribute to that which he esteems the real representative of superior controlling force."

Southern writers frequently invoked traditional religion to account for the negro's destiny in coming to America. <u>The Land We Love</u> declared that "from his history we infer that God has given him a tendency to thrive and multiply in a condition of servitude," and that therefore "the servile condition of the negroes in the South was not

1 The Southern Bivouac, New Series, II (March, 1887),

contrary to the vill of God." Atticus Haygood, a member of the liberal group, referred to the blacks as "African children, in the school of Providence," and maintained that "Christianizing them was the grand providential design in their coming to this country." By further deduction he found it unthinkable that God had brought the negro to America and preserved him through several generations only to have him "suddenly relapse toward barbarism, lose sight of the cross of Christ, and, throughout the greater part of these wide territories, hasten to extinction."

These may have been comforting explanations, but they left open for speculation the question of the Negro's future. More than one writer took the view that it was impossible for the two races to dwell together in the South unless the blacks remained in a condition approximating slavery. If they lived free of white supervision and control, they would assert their natural bent, revert to a primitive status, and so create a country in which ho white man would care to remain. <u>De Bow's Review</u> suggested that within the forseeable future the negro would drive

1 The Land We Love, V (August, 1868), 300.

²Atticus Haygood, <u>Our Brother in Black</u> (Oxford, Ga., 1881), p. 30. 3<u>The Land We Love</u>, V (August, 1868), 304.

the white man from his domain and so achieve an all-negro South. This was accompanied with the realistic observation that no inferior race is ever practically and actually free when in contact with a superior, for the latter is certain to find means of exploiting the labor of the former. China, Japan, and Liberia had met this problem simply by excluding white competition. If the negro had shown any capacity for management, it was said, there would long since have been in the South a negro feudal tenantry, which would have displaced the white overseer. "But not a single negro in the 1 whole South, was ever found capable of managing a farm."

A reading of these speeches, editorials, and pamphlets indicates that the Southern people of the postbellum era, frightened and confused, were seeking a rational ground for their feeling. They failed to discover a consistent argument for racial discrimination, but the salient fact emerging from every discussion was that they had no more intention of crediting the negro with equality than had the generation of the 1830's. Even a courageous reformer like George W. Cable, who battled for the negro's civic rights, drew the line at social equality. By the standard of humanity which the South visualized - and this must be

1De Bow's Review, After the War Series, III (June, 1867), 52.

understood in terms of its heritage - the negro was an inferior. God had willed it; experience proved it; and except in trifling particulars the great majority felt no impulse to redress the balance.

One of the best summaries of the prevailing opinion is to be found in a speech delivered in Congress in 1874 by Semator Thomas M. Norwood of Georgia and later circulated as a pamphlet. Twitting the sponsors of the Fourteenth Amendment, he said that he looked forward to the time

> When the white man and the black, the mulatto and the quadroon, the coolie and the Digger Indian, shall be gathered together, a united family, in one unbroken circle, around one common soup bowl and using the same spoon, while shielded by the Stars and Stripes and regaled by the martial measure and inspiring strain of -John Brown's soul is marching on. 1

All differences and distinctions are now recognized as mistakes, he continued, and thus we must vote them out of existence, although not without a show of "decent respect for the opinion of the author of these errors." Despite the fact that

> the flowers of the field might vary in splendor; the lion might be made monarch of the beasts; one star might differ from another in glory; but absolute equality, moral, mental, physical, political,

1Thomas M. Norwood, "Civil Rights" (Washington, 1874), p. 3. 2<u>Ibid</u>., p. 4. social, in churches, theatres, graveyards, everywhere in the world and out of it, must be ordained among men, women, and children. 1

It has been argued that although Americans are by temperament empiricists, in their political thinking they have been pationalists, preferring to deduce truths from axions and first principles. There is perhaps no better illustration of this conflict of procedures than the handling of the vexed race problem. Northerners, remote from the scene of strife and bearing little if any of the responsibility involved, found the rational approach easy; from the Declaration of Independence and other canons of American liberty they could draw conclusions which Southerners found irrefutable. But the South, finding that the concrete situation made a mockery of the abstract statement, as is often the case, regarded itself as forced to the empirical approach and continued to treat the negro as a special case. Then the unwillingness of Americans to compromise an issue, frequently remarked by Europeans, led each side to reduce its views to a more or less rigid credo, in which form they stand today. The inability of Southerners to arrive at first principles which would support their position explains much awkward silence in the years that

lIbid.

followed, and although the darky could not be kept out of Southern fiction, he could be treated only helf seriously, as a devoted menial, or as a comedian.

The Southern apologia was a minority protest delivered over a period of thirty years. If one judges by practical results, it was almost wholly vain. The legal case was at best a hollow forensic victory. The attack upon secular democracy was so much wasted breath, later to be answered by the more terrible radicalisms emerging from Europe. The particularism of peoples, though it may have heightened the self-consciousness of Southerners and made them suspect when abroad, was soon in futile competition with an enthusiastic internationalism. Only the poorly clarified theory of white supremacy was destined to have immediate efficacy. Near the end of the century it came to overt expression in a widespread campaign to deprive the black man of the franchise, which was done by means sometimes more effective than honest.

CHAPTER III

THE TESTIMONY OF THE SOLDIER

For more than thirty years after the collapse of the Confederacy the military leaders of the South continued to be its spiritual captains, from whom advice was taken not only on political, but also on social, educational, and even religious topics. It was a natural consequence of the Southern past that this should be so, for the South was an agrarian, patriarchal civilization, all of whose traditions were of the forum and the camp. Its contributions to belles lettres had been modest; those to science comparatively insignificant; and commercial acumen it not only did not have, but professed to despise. On the other hand, the Southern contribution to the political life of the nation had been preponderant, and the hero of each of the Republic's three wars had been a Southern man. Washington, Jackson, and Taylor had led the South to believe that it possessed the fighting talent of the nation. Therefore, when is 1861 this section organized a provisional government and struck for independence, it was putting its faith in its statesmanship and its soldiership - all that it had into the wager. In a sense the South was in the position of a professional expecting easy defeat of an amateur, and we should not wonder at the shock and humiliation felt when

the anatour won.

Various conditions, most of which have been previously indicated, combined to limit Southern interest very largely to these institutions. A population coming in early and settling the wilderness learned to respect the power of the rifle; a vigorous outdoor life was the rule; and no cities appeared to exert the inevitably mollifying influence. The Southern people were emphatically a people of deeds, not of words, and such reflective thinking as went on ran in the narrow pound of polities.

Noreover, the South had a natural belief in leaders, which derived in part from its indigenous social herarchy, and in part, no doubt, from its strong infusion of Celtic blood. The Scotch-Irish immigrants who filled its uplands brought along their native clannishness and their hebit of passionate devotion to a chieftain. This was to express itself in the hero worship accorded such men as Lee, Stuart, Jackson, and Forrest, an intense personal loyalty, which took little account of reverses and crowned its subjects with something of the divinity that doth hedge a king.

Thus the Southern people entered the war feeling that they had every prerequisite of a great military people a tradition of victory on battlefields, political soldiers who had proved themselves capable of being first in war and first in peace, and a population accustomed to the horse and gan and disposed to follow tenaciously its chosen captains. At the beginning it had seemed impossible to all but the most thoughtful that they could lose, and after the war the people as a whole were left groping for an explanation of why their best had failed. In that loss the set of virtues which had made the South was it had been was denied and overthrown, and nothing appeared ahead but a wholly new course of life, to which most of them were neither disposed nor fit to adapt themselves. One must examine this state of mind to understand the extraordinary volume of Confederate military memoirs, which, beginning before the war was over, poured a torrent for more than forty years and even at the opening of the first decade of the next century had not entirely ceased.

Unquestionably the first motive was to compensate for so sharp a humiliation, to show that Southern qualities had not been found deficient when put to the test. It was naturally feared that silence on their part would be taken as an admission of guilt, or of inferiority. General Sherman once declared that the war of secession was begun

In its issue of January, 1910, <u>The Confederate</u> <u>Veteran</u> (XVIII, 8) was compelled to state that "the accumulation of manuscripts continues far in excess of the space practicable to use."

in error and continued in pride. Most of the soldiers were willing to let the politicians answer the question of error, but as for the other, if it was continued in pride, it was continued also with good results and with fair hope of ultimate success. It was hard for the ex-Confederate to understand why he, who had fought in almost every battle against odds and who had routed superior numbers on more than one field, should be demoted to the position of failure by the mere technicality of surrender. The facts were there, well enough, but the conclusion did not seem right. He had earned honors in the fight; why were not heners forthcoming? Perhaps one must go back to the Southern unwillingness to take a pragmatic view of anything for the answer. There was still in the back of his head the notion from chivalry that the contest should have been decided by knights in equal combat. And hence his frantic attempts to get resognition for his heroism and steadfastness from a world inclining more and more to judge only by results, attempts which varied from the pitiful to the ludicrous. In proportion as the world seemed conspired to scorn and ignore him, his self-assertiveness increased.

But before one accuses the ex-Confederate of too much remembrance of things past, one should consider what it means to lose the initiative as completely as the South did after 1865. Following this date, he had little choice

but to dwell with his memories. Before the Civil War the Southerner had figured large in the councils of the nation and exerted perhaps the decisive influence in the shaping of national policies. Defeat changed this to such an extent that the North was left with all the initiative, and the South was rendered impotent. With her economy ruined, her states kept out of the Union by political machination, her citizens disfranchised, and her representatives to Congress - when they got there - more or less under sur**veillance, it was obvious that nothing affecting the life** of the nation as a whole was going to be decided in the The section was for the time being emasculated. South. It is not unusual for an individual or a people to allow their imagination to dwell fondly on their period of prosperity. Colonel Alfred Roman had this situation in mind when he wrote in his life of Beauregard: "The Southern people, shackled by years of poverty and political helpleseness, and circumscribed as they are in their sphere of action, cannot forget the teachings which, to them and their posterity, esbody the true meaning of our institutions."

LBeaching Sayannah in 1866, Frances Butler Leigh (sp. cit., p. 13) found that the people "know and care less about what is going on in Washington than in London." ²Alfred Roman, <u>The Military Operations of General</u> <u>Beauregard</u> (New York, 1884), I, 1.

And Napier Bartlett remarked in his A Soldier's History of the War that "Secessia, smid her desolation, looks to the eld battlefields, as the Sphynx does to the ruined cities of Egypt." The North, flushed with victory, growing in population, and made even wealthier by the war, could afford to forget it except as an issue, live in the present, and build for the future. The South found in the present only hardship and humiliation, and in the future only vague promises of improvement - indeed, as late as 1879 Sidney Lanier was writing to his brother. "In my soberest moments I bon perceive no outlook for that land." So the imaginations of her sons who were disposed to reflect went back to her flourishing antebellum days, and to her heroic days during the war, when martial valor bade fair to get her a position among the nations of the earth. Such was the natural tendency to recreate the past in a land where "pretty much the whole of life has been merely not dying."

The peculiar quality of devotion in the Southern people showed itself in a determination to perpetuate the memory of its champions. The public of the South, like

INapier Bartlett, <u>A Soldier's History of the War</u> (New Orleans, 1874), p. 5. ²Edwin Mims, <u>Sidney Lanier</u> (Boston and New York, 1905), p. 265. ³Ibid., 67.

others, has sins to answer for, but it is not a fickle public. It regards faith in a leader as a kind of pledge of allegiance, which it would be dishonorable to withdraw in a season of adversity. As a policy, this may be productive of ill as well as good, but it does have associations with the fealty of chivalry and so exalts the imagination. Something of this extreme veneration appears in the saying attributed to a Confederate soldier: "The rest of us may have descended or accended from monkeys, but it took a God to make Marse Robert." Many Southern soldiers took it upon themselves to celebrate the career of their chief. particularly if he had sealed his efforts with his life, as was not infrequently the case. R. L. Dabney, John Esten Cooke, and Henry Kyd Douglas all early perpared matter on the life of the enigmatic Stonewall Jackson. Of Lee's personal staff, A. L. Long, Charles Marshall, and W. N. Taylor each produced a volume of memoirs, and A. S. Venable wrote his recollections for Battles and Leaders. H. B. McClellan, a nephew of the Federal General George B. McClellan, employed his leisure while serving as president of a girls' school in Lexington, Rentucky, to write The Campaigns of Major-General J. E. B. Stuart. Basil Duke

¹Robert Stiles, <u>op. cit.</u>, 20.

wrote his engaging <u>History of Morgan's Cavalry</u>. The daring reiders Turner Ashby and John S. Mosby both were made the subjects of admiring memoirs by their men. Alfred Roman produced <u>The Military Operations of General Beauregard</u>, and John W. Morten, the beardless youth who commanded the artillery of Forrest, paid tribute to that extraordinary leader in <u>The Artillery of Nathan Bedford Forrest</u>. Add to these the countless pen portraits appearing in <u>The Land We</u> Leve. The Southern Bivouse, and <u>The Confederate Veteran</u>, and one has a view of the influence of personal loyalty in the production of Southern war literature.

Another motive behind the outpouring of military memoirs, more melancholy to record, was that of selfvindication. Though they had lost a war, no commanders were ever more vain of their achievements than the Confederates, and any sense of cooling public favor, or any invidious comment by an associate was sure to bring them into print, and the further removed they were in time from their deeds, the more acrimonious the exchange was likely to prove. Crusty old Jubal Early was the first to appear, in 1867, with a defense of his disastrous Valley Campaign. In 1874 J. E. Johnston published his <u>Marrative of Military Operations</u>, with its severe reflections upon General Hood, who up to that time had exhibited a generous behavior, making no attempt to excuse his defeat. Feeling that he could not endure in silence a printed condemnation, Hood devoted mearly one half of his <u>Advance and Retreat</u> to a close rebuttal of General Johnston. In 1891 General Beauregard renewed his quarrel with General Johnston over the situation at First Manassas in <u>The Battle of Manassas</u>. As late as 1996 General Longstreet, who had in the meantime embarked on a spotty political career, replied to those who blamed him for the loss of Gettysburg and indulged in some criticism of Lee sharp to the point of bad taste. These works, to the extent that they are devoted to the narrow purposes of centroversy, are the least valuable as literature, but they de shed light on temperament. Temptation to enter the argument was doubtless great, and signs are not wanting that even the reserved Lee, had he lived longer, might have set down his opinion on some of the points at issue.

1. Why He Fought

A fair-sized library of such works makes it possible to look into the mind of the Confederate captain and see what manner of man it was to whom the Southern people were willing to entrust their destinies implicitly, in peace as in war. It was, first of all, a candid mind, not at all disposed to sophisticate questions, and as free as possible from that guile which the enemy professed to

see in this defection from the old government. It was also an unselfish mind, and those who suppose that the Confederate soldiers fought to preserve a property investment in slavery have not learned the first secret of Southern psychology. Perhaps it was natural for the North. with its strong commercial instincts, to imagine that only a tangible reward could inspire the enormous exertions which the South made to win its independence. But one will search the utterances, both public and private, in vain for statements that they fought for reward in the material sense. The emancipation crusade was occasionally alluded te as "practical robbery," but if one analyzes the attitudes of the actual combatants he finds that the primary concern was with the insult which such "meddling" carried. It is true that the average Southerner did not propose to have his domestic establishment disturbed, but the point was a point of honor, and he would throw the whole thing into the scales, with good prospect of losing it, rather than submit to the principle of encroachment. A shrewder and less idealistic people would have driven a bargain and come off prosperous. But this is not the way in which knighthood settles an issue.

Not all of them thought deeply about their choice; some, when they saw their people moving into war, joined them as if by instinct. Others were convinced nationalists,

and weighed long the issue between state and nation, but when the decision was made, it never occurred to them that anything other than a principle was involved. The writer of a pamphlet in the Reconstruction period likened the Civil Mar to the European wars of disputed succession. in which each side has a legitimate claim. The question of whether loyalty to state or nation took precedence has been so vexed that it becomes interesting to observe the reaction of soldiers, who are sometimes accused of taking a narrowly professional view of alternatives. Since these are the opinions of men without whose assistance disunion would have been only a political figment, they throw important light on the trend of separatism. General Lee's letter of April 20, 1861, to his sister must always be remembered as the best witness of an earnest desire to decide where the primary duty lay:

My dear sister:

I am grieved at my inability to see I have been waiting for a more "convenient YOU. season," which had brought to many before me deep and lasting regret. We are now in a state of war which will yield to nothing. The whole South is in a state of revolution, into which Virginia, after a long struggle, has been drawn; and though I recognize no necessity for this state of things, and would have forborne and pleaded to the end for the redress of grievances, real or supposed, yet in my own person I had to meet the question whether I should take part against my native State. With all my devotion to the Union, and the feeling of loyalty and duty of an American citizen, I have not been able to make up my mind to raise my hand against

my relatives, my children, and my home. I have, therefore, resigned my commission in the Army, and save in defense of my native State - with sincere hope that my poor services will never be needed -I hope I may never be called upon to draw my sword. I know that you will blame me, but you must think of me as kindly as you can, and believe that I have endeavored to do what I thought right. To show you the feeling and struggle it has cost me, I send you a copy of my letter of resignation. I have no time for more.

Nay God guard and protect you and yours, and shower upon you everlasting blossings, is the prayer of

> Your devoted brother R. E. Lee 1

An interesting companion piece to this exists in a letter of Matthew Fontaine Maury, "the founder of oceanography," to the Grand Admiral of Russia, who had invited him to continue his studies at St. Petersburg during the period of internecine strife. Maury, in addition to being a world traveler, was a man whose achievements in science had made him an international figure, so that he can hardly be accused of parochialism. He told the Admiral that he was a citizen of Virginia, in whose "green bosom are the graves of my fathers; the political whirlpool from which your kind forethought sought to rescue me has already plunged her into a fierce and bloody civil war." Then, after having outlined the doctrine of state rights, he

1J. William Jones, Life and Letters of Robert Edward Lee (New York, 1906), pp. 133-134.

explained his choice:

"Thus my sword has been tendered to her cause, and the tender has been accepted. Her soil has been invaded, the enemy is actually at her gates; and here I am contending, as the fathers of the Republic did, for the right of self-government, and those very principles for the maintenance of which Washington fought when this, his native state, was a colony of Great Britain. The path of duty and honer is therefore plain. 1

Nost of such testimonials, however, which vary greatly in tone and length, were written after the war. Some were satisfied to describe their choice in a brief paragraph, but the unreconciled went into lengthy and impessioned argument. Foremost in the latter group were Jubal Early and Raphael Semmes. The case of Early is worth close study, for he had been one of the staunchest of all Virginia Unioniste. In the state convention he had labored against secession, but viewing himself as irrevocably bound by the decision of that body, he became a doughty fighter in the Confederate cause and an implacable hater of the North. Rather than submit to the terms imposed upon his conquered country, he fled to Canada, and from there in 1867 he issued his A Memoir of the Last Year of the War for Independence, which he dedicated to "the Memory of the Heroic Dead, who fell fighting for Liberty, Right,

Library of Southern Literature, VIII, 3453-3454.

and Justice."

The preface to this volume is a bitter document. He reminded his readers that he had opposed secession with all his power in the hope that "a returning sense of duty and justice on the part of the masses of the Northern States, would induce them to respect the rights of the South." He recalled that "while some Northern politicians and editors, who subsequently took rank among the most unscrupulous and vindictive of our enemies, and now hold me to be a traitor and a rebel, were openly and sedulously justifying and encouraging secession. I was laboring honestly and earnestly to preserve the Union." He went on to say, however, that any doubts about the right of secession he originally held "were soon dispelled by the mad, wicked. and unconstitutional measures of the authorities at Washington," which compelled him to regard "Abraham Lincoln, his counsellors and supporters, as the real traitors who had overthrown the constitution, and established in lieu thereof an odious despotism." He saw no reason to regret his decision, and he had never known the moment "when I

LJubal Early, <u>A Memoir of the Last Year of the War</u> for Independence (New Orleans, 1867), p. v. ²Ibid. ³Ibid. would have been willing to consent to any compromise or settlement short of absolute independence of my country." After a review of Northern policies, particularly those concerning the negro, he closed by declaring that posterity "will be lost in wonder at the follies and crimes committed in this generation." Although Early lived until 1894, he never altered his position, but endured until the end the essential type of unreconstructed soldier of the Confederacy, as Davis, Bledsoe, and Dabney remained its political oracles.

Two years after the appearance of Early's work, Raphael Semmes, commander of the illustrious <u>Alabama</u>, published his <u>A Memoir of Service Afloat During the War Between</u> <u>the States</u>, one of the really fascinating narratives in the literature of adventure. But before embarking on his story, which furnishes more than seven hundred pages of colorful incident and description, he wrote a long justification of his entrance into the Confederate States Navy. Semmes had studied law, and the remarkable skill with which this case is presented gives evidence of the ability which enabled him to argue successfully with harbor officials

> 1<u>Ibid.</u>, vi. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, x.

reluctant to admit his craft and to accomplish almost as much by legal astuteness as by a rare knowledge of the ocean and the art of seamanship. The case is not original, for if we except the ingenious notion of Bernard Sage, previously noticed, there was only one case - that of the reserved rights of the states - but it is here offered with a polemic talent which puts it in a class with Bledsoe's <u>Is Davis a Traitor</u>? Semmes reviewed in succession the mature of the American compact, the early formative stages of the nation, and finally, for he was a realist, the question of slavery as it affected secession.

He regarded the action of the national government as usurpation. According to his reading of history, the prophecy of Patrick Henry had been fulfilled: the central government, now sensing unbridled power, was beginning to oppress the states.

> In the course of time the government is perwerted from its original design. Instead of remaining the faithful and impartial agent of all the States, a faction obtains control of it, in the interest af some of them, and turns it, as an engine of oppression, against the others. These latter, after long and patient suffering, after having exhausted all their means of defence within the Union, withdraw from the agent the powers they had conferred upon him, form a new Confederacy, and desire "to be let alone." And what is the consequence? They are denounced as rebels and

traitors, armies are equipped, and fleets provided, and a war of subjugation is waged against them. 1

The charge of treason was a fabrication. When the time came for the officers of the Navy to resign their commissions if they intended to east their lot with the South, there was no idea of disloyalty cannected with the act; that was something invented by the Washington government to add vigor to the war. "There were no such questions then," he wrote, "as rebellion and treason in the public mind. This was a Federal afterthought, when that Government began to get the better of us in the war. The Puritan, if he had been whipped, would have made a capital secessionist, and as meek and humble as we could have desired."

Semmes summed up the issue of slavery with the cynical observation:

The people of the North were, indeed, opposed to slavery, but merely because they thought it stood in the way of their struggle for empire. I think it safe to affirm, that if the question of slavery had stood upon moral, and religious grounds alone, the institution would never have been interfered with.

No other surviving Confederate, not even Jubal Early or Robert Toombs, matched Semmes in bitterness of

IRaphael Semmes, <u>A Memoir of Service Afloat During</u> the War Between the States (Baltimore, 1869), pp. 48-49. 2Ibid., p. 71. 3Ibid., p. 62. feeling sgainst Yankees. He believed unqualifiedly in the Puritan-Gavalier distinction; to him Yankees and Southerners were different genera who should never have entered a political partnership in the first place. Were it not for the intense partisan spirit which pervades it, <u>A Memoir of Service Afloat</u> would in all probability be one of the most widely read of all books of adventure. How his unceasing allusions to the theme of Yankee cupidity and hypocrisy get into the very texture of the work will be noticed in a later connection.

Joseph E. Johnston, a brigadier-general in the Army of the United States, was the highest ranking Federal officer to leave the old service to enter that of a seceded state. An analysis of the mind of this personality would in itself make an interesting study. Cold, precise, and business-like, Johnston was acknowledged by all competent to judge to be a master of logistics, and W. T. Sherman, usually uncharitable in his opinion of opponents, professed respect for his generalship in the field. Yet personal difficulties which developed early in the war appear to have clouded his mind so that he was never able to win a notable success by those plans which may have looked unexceptionable on paper, and he has gone down in Confederate military history as the hero of successful withdrawals. Where Lee and Jackson saw opportunities, he saw only

difficulties, and thus the man who was the ablest theorist in the Southern army seems to have been lacking in that other half of the equipment of a military genius - a case analogous to that of McClellan on the Federal side.

The brief apologia with which Johnston began his <u>Marrative of Military Operations</u>, characterized as it is by logical simplicity, bespeaks such a man. "I believed, like most others, that the division of the country would be permanent;" he wrote,

> and that, apart from any right of secession, the revolution begun was justified by the maxime so often repeated by Americans, that free government is founded on the consent of the governed, and that every community strong enough to establish and maintain its independence has a right to assert it. Having been educated in such opinions, I naturally determined to return to the State of which I was a native, join the people among whom I was born, and, if necessary, fight in their defense. 1

General Johnston had an equally simple solution of the question of treason involved in leaving the old army, though it may be thought a trifle narrow in its conception of duty. "The acceptance of an officer's resignation absolves him from the obligations of his military oath as completely as it relieves the government from that of giving him the pay 2 of the grade he held." This was all he felt needful to

1J. E. Johnston, <u>Narrative of Military Operations</u> (New York, 1874), p. 10. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 11. say by way of justification, and in strong contrast to the work of Semmes, the <u>Narrative</u> is entirely free of political animadversions.

A unique work among the military autogiographies eame from the pen of Richard Taylor, a son of Zachary Taylor, and a man who embodied all that was best in the Southern aristocracy. Fortunate in commencing life with a distinguished name, a European education, and a measure of wealth, Taylor built up on his plantation in St. Charles Parish, Louisians, a fine library, from which he drew an extensive learning in literature and military history. It was inevitable that one with this background should take a part in politics, and during the rapid passage of events he acted as an instrument of his state, loyal, conscientious, and discerning, but not without something of the scholar's dubiousness regarding the result of the political storm. Thus he wrote:

> At that time and since, I marveled at the joyous and careless temper in which men, much my superiors in sagacity and experience, consummated these acts. There appeared the same general <u>gaite</u> <u>de coeur</u> that M. Ollivier claimed for the Imperial <u>Ministry when war was declared against Prussia</u>. The attachment of northern and western people to the Union; their superiority in numbers, in wealth, and especially in mechanical resources; the command of the sea; the lust of rule and territory always felt by democracies, and nowhere to a greater degree than in the South - all these facts were laughed to

scorn, or their mention was ascribed to timidity and treachery. 1

The men who were scaling the fate of the South seemed "as 2 unconscious as scene-shifters in some awful tragedy."

Taylor left nothing to imply that it cost him an internal struggle to go with the Confederacy, and he rejected one Northern theory of the cause of the war. "Antielavery was agitated from an early period," he noted at the beginning of his work.

> but failed to attract public attention for many years. At length, by unwearied industry, by ingeniously attaching itself to exciting questions of the day with which it had no natural connection, it succeeded in making a lodgment in the public mind, which, like a subject exhausted by long effort, is exposed to the attack of some malignant fever, that in a normal condition of vigor would have been resisted. The common belief that slavery was the cause of civil war is incorrect, and Abolitionists are not justified in claiming the glory and spoils of the conflict and in pluming themselves as "Choosers of the slain."

Taylor was a Whig, highly distrustful of popular democracy, and although he went with his people and performed well what seemed the duty at hand, he preserved a skeptical mind, resisting passions, and forming sharp judgments of even the most exalted of his associates. The

Richard Taylor, <u>Destruction and Reconstruction</u> (New York, 1879), p. 13. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 14. <u>3Ibid.</u>, p. 10. Old South could wish for nothing better than to be judged by this scholar-gentleman type, a fine representative of its men of force, character and distinction.

General P. T. Beauregard, whose unusual gift for rhetoric has perhaps been allowed to obscure his solid merit as a commander, was also disinclined to enter the intricacies of the question of secession. In an ably written account of the first Battle of Manassas he noted merely that "The pelitical hostilities of a generation were now face to face "" As regards the morale of the Confederate army, he had a single terse comment:

> The fact that one army was fighting for union and the other for disunion is a political expression; the actual fact on the battlefield, in the face of cannon and musket, was that the Federal troops came as invaders, and the Southern troops stood as defenders of their homes, and further than this we need not go. 2

The abolition crusade he viewed as "practical robbery," 3 to be resisted as such.

The dull and unimaginative Longstreet showed equal indisposition to explore the issues of the long-impending conflict. In the spring of 1861 he was stationed at Albuquerque, New Mexico, where he waited anxiously for news,

1Battles and Leaders of the Civil Mar (New York, 1897), I, 203. ²Ibid., 218. ³Ibid.

though still hoping "that the statesman would yet show himself equal to the occasion, and restore confidence among the people." The fall of Fort Sumter, however, made up his mind for him, and he started for Virginia despite the efforts of fellow officers to persuade him to remain in the old army. These he silenced, he said, by asking them what they would do if their own states were to secede. Late in June he reported to the War Department in Richmond and asked to be assigned duty in the paymaster's office. because, as he wrote, "I had given up all aspirations of military honor, and thought to settle down into more peaceful pursuits." During the war he proved himself a splendid tactician, an inferior strategist, and an intractable subordinate. His readiness to ally himself with the Republicans in Reconstruction places him among the less devoted of the Confederate heroes.

A different kind of light is thrown upon the whole question of divided loyalties by General Basil Duke in the <u>History of Morgan's Cavalry</u>. General Duke was proud of his state and her people, and he desired to explain the curiously mixed role of Kentucky in the war, which appeared ¹James Longstreet. (Philadelphia, 1896), p. 2

¹James Longstreet, <u>From Manassas to Appomattox</u> (Philadelphia, 1896), p. 29. ²<u>Ibid</u>. ³<u>Ibid</u>., 32. to some simple vacillation and gained her a name for treachery, North and South. The politics of Kentucky have always been fantastic, but never more so than in this crisis. First the state declared neutrality; then she threw her weight to the North; and later, after the war was over, in the most quixotic of all gestures, she allied herself in sentiment with the beaten Southern states. But Kentucky had been exposed to many crosscurrents. There had been the strength of the pro-slavery element; the great influence of Henry Clay, the Whig and nationalist: the unwillingness of the advocates of union to accept the idea of esercion; and the conviction on the part of Southern sympathizers that neutrality, in the situation then prevailing, was Kentucky's best contribution to Confederate success. In response to all these impulses there was an honest division of opinion, but there was also an element attached to neither side and interested only in the profit it could make by picking the winner. It was not the excited partisan, but these mean calculators of advantage who would earn the contempt of history. Those who had fought each other over principles, General Duke felt, were afterwards able to respect each other: for the rest he had a soldier's scorn.

> But for the men who showed so plainly that they were attached to no cause and no principle, but who were ready to sell and barter each and

all, who manifested all through the struggle, that they were moved by the most groveling ambition, influenced by the meanest thirst for self-aggrandizement - for them there is no forgiveness.

All Kentucky has suffered from their duplicity, cowardice, and heartless avarice of gold and power now they have neither, and none regret it. 1

When he wrote this, Duke was anticipating the verdict, for Kentucky is now filled with monuments to the Confederate dead whom in life she disappointed. He hoped that his state had learned from her trying experience "that safety is never consulted by giving heed to the suggestions of timidity, that the manliest and most consistent course, is also the most truly expedient, and that the interest and henor of a people go hand-in-hand, and are inseparable."

Thus unlike Early and Semmes, who also wrote in the passion-ridden years immediately following the war, Duke recognized the possibility of honest difference. But one gathers from his <u>Reminiscences</u>, which came out many years later, that he was one in love with the <u>ancien regime</u> in Kentucky, and that it was to defend this agrarian paradise, and not to vindicate the Resolutions of '98 or any theory of government that he fought for four years under the Stars and Bars.

¹Basil Duke, <u>History of Morgan's Cavalry</u> (Cincinnati, 1867), pp. 55-66. 2<u>Ibid</u>.

For the best statement by a soldier of the grounds of strife, however, one must look to the popular and engaging John B. Gordon, who lived well beyond the turn of the century to personify the "reconstructed" Confederate soldier as Jubal Early had the "unreconstructed." It was not until 1903 that Gordon appeared with his Reminiscences of the Civil War, a work which stands alone in its frank and generous approach to all topics. Striving, as he said, toward "the broad, high, sunlit middle ground where fact meets fact, and truth is balanced against truth." he presented in his initial chapter the factors of the conflict as they appeared to one reconciled to the issue. He conceded slavery to have been only "the immediate fomenting cause." It was "the tallest pine in the political forest around whose top the fiercest lightnings were to blaze." but underlying all contention were the opposed theories of government. The South maintained that "the Union formed under the Constitution was a Union of consent and not of force: that the original States were not the creatures but the creators of the Union." The North, on the other hand. maintained, "with the utmost confidence in the correctness of her position" that the Union "was intended to be perpetual: the sovereignty was a unit and could not be divided,

¹ John B. Gordon, <u>Reminiscences</u> of the Civil Mar (New York, 1903), p. 19.

and that "the right of self-preservation was inherent in all governments." The North tried to combat the political movement in the South by denouncing it as treason, but this only added fuel to the fire.

> To the charge of the North that secession was rebellion and treason, the South replied that the epithets of rebel and traitor did not deter her from the assertion of her independence, since these same epithets had been familiar to the ears of Washington and Hancock and Adams and Light Horse Harry Lee.

As for the oft-repeated charge that the well-meaning masses of the South were dragooned into war by ambitious and guileful leaders, the reverse was true. "The literal truth is that the people were leading the leaders." The only explanation of the "unparalleled spontaneity that pervaded all classes of the Southern people" was "the impulse of 4 self-defense."

The issues are stated with admirable succinctness, for General Gordon professed unwillingness to labor this

^{1&}lt;u>Ibid.</u>, p. 21. 2<u>Ibid</u>. 3<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 16.

[&]quot;Ibid., p. 17. Longstreet (<u>op. cit.</u>, 32) on his journey from New Orleans to Richmond saw signs in station windows reading "Meals for those going to join Jeff Davis are paid." Describing the unparalleled display of enthusiasm with which New Orleans saluted its departing soldiers, Napier Bartlett (<u>op. cit.</u>, 17) said it had "a touch of the **meddenitie** of good feeling, and it was nearer like Utopia than one generation can ever live to see a second time."

pertion of the work. He paused long enough, however, to depresate the habit of teaching that either section was "whelly and eternally right." Both had fought and suffered for liberty, but each had seen liberty in a different light, and with this charitable suggestion he abandoned political theory to take up an absorbing narrative.

Robert Stiles thought that "The great conflict will never be properly comprehended by the man who looks upon it as a war for the preservation of slavery." Though never having the slightest doubt that the South and the best of the Constitutional argument, he believed Southerners really had fought to defend their hearthstones. The Southern velunteer hastened to the front with the feeling: "With 2 me is Right, before me is Duty, behind me is Home."

On the basis of these declarations it should be apparent that the Confederate captains believed they were defending a distinct people, who had lost the political safeguards of their welfare. Every minority question raises delicate problems of the relationship between the parts and the whole. The Constitutional Convention had not left a formula by which so aggravated a grievance could be removed. The Confederates were upholders of a particularism which had been present in 1787 and which had greatly increased by 1860. Lincolm, with his principle that the nation could

¹Robert Stiles, op. cit., p. 50. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 51.

not exist half slave and half free, was a universalist, denying toward separation and particularity. The question of whether one shall stand up for what is near and dear to him, which is the meaning of all particitism; or, putting all sentiment aside, align himself heroically with what is supposed to be the general drift of humanity, has produced divisions before and will produce them again. Thus the Civil War becomes only a version of the argument between universalists and the particularists, with Southern soldiers choosing to defend the part, made dear by nativity and associations.

There are some who maintain that the principle of history is a dynamic universalism, so that all true development is a sloughing off of particularities and individualities in an approach to the typical. There are others who believe that life consists in the richness of diversity, and that conformity to a universal pattern is a kind of death. The opinion that the world cannot be half one thing and half another is an extremely bold statement of the first view. On the level of everyday politics it takes the form of democratic resentment against exclusiveness, just as the second expresses itself in distaste for incorporation into something felt to be alien or inferior. The backwoods politicians of mid-nimeteenth-century America were unknowingly entangled in the great debate of the Schoolmen, with

Southern separatiets playing the part of Nominalists, and Morthern democrate and equalitarians that of Realists. This view of the conflict, though unfamiliar, is not farfetched, and if all questions resolve themselves ultimately into metaphysical problems, as is not impossible, it becomes the philosophical description of the event.

2. The Saga of Confederate Valor

It is necessary to turn now from these apologias, brief or long, embittered or philosophic, to the chronicle of Confederate valor as it proved itself on the field. The Southern people were a provincial people, inclined to regard their country as the world, and prone, because of lack of comparisons, to form exaggerated notions of their own 1 merits. The peculiar type of blindness which results

¹It was seldom that Southerners spoke the homely truth on this subject, but John Hampden Chamberlayne, addressing the Society of Alumni of the University of Virginia in 1680, used candid language. He said to his fellow Virginians (<u>Why Despair</u>? [Richmond, 1880], p. 4): "Virginia has always been provincial - provincial in her isolation, provincial in her self-will, provincial in her strength and unhappily provincial in the weakness which war discovers.

[&]quot;Provincial she was, too, in arrogant appreciation of herself and provincial sometimes in an abject depreciation and a false estimate of what was around her. For long periods of her life she found no excellencies outside of her own borders, sought for no lessons from any history but her own, grew ignorant of that history purposely as well as all else, and reckoned herself as needing no teacher." To the extent that this was true of the entire South, the Civil War constituted a rude collision with external realities, which brought it out of the habit of self-complecency.

from being thus out off is an affliction which will visit any people so circumstanced. Moreover they lived, for the most part, an easy, almost Arcadian existence, which was free from major excitements. It was inevitable, therefore, that the war should bring to many of them the one intense experience of their lives, beside which all that happened before and after seemed commonplace. Walter Hines Page. growing up in North Carolina in the days of Reconstruction, neted how surviving soldiers were accustomed to consider "the War" as an experience by which all other experiences sould be evaluated. It is clear that in this event an unschooled and innocent people were introduced to one of humanity's great dramas, the memory of which never faded. Hor should we wonder that some exaggeration occurred in the reporting of its details. But after we have made allowance for a natural measure of this, and for overcompensation in an effort to write "Southern heroism" large on the scroll of history, we must acknowledge, in view of the plain facts, that the American Civil War was one of the bloodiest and most stubbornly fought wars in the long history of military conflict. If comparisons mean anything, it may sober one to learn that whereas at Waterloo the army of Napoleon, after fighting for eight hours and losing 10 per cent of its strength left the field in a rout, at Gettyeburg the armies fought three

days, each suffering a loss of about 25 per cent of its strength with neither yielding ground or suffering visibly in morale. At Stone's River and at Chickamauga the proportion of slaughter was even greater. Single Confederate units are on record as having lost 85 per cent of their number without ceasing to exist as military organizations. It must be granted, therefore, that the saga of valor was worth telling, and General D. H. Hill, who said that the Southern soldier "united the <u>elan</u> of the Frenchman with the dogged obstinacy of the Englishman, the carelese gaiety of the Italian with the uncomplaining fortitude of the Russian" had grounds for his assertion.

Consequently it is understandable that the recital of heroic achievements should bulk large in the military memoirs, especially in those of men who went to the war young and filled with romantic preconceptions. We may begin with the extreme romantic approach by taking John Esten Cooke's Wearing of the Gray, one of the first volumes of reminiscence to be published, and unthinkable as coming from anyone except a member of the Southern chivalry. Gooke had grown up one of those many unhappy young men in the South in love with literature but compelled by custom and

1 Southern Historical Society Papers, I (January-June, 1876), 396.

221

Limited opportunity to apply themselves to law. The arrival of war brought a resolution of his difficulty, for in it he could both play the part of a man, as it was understood in the South, and at the same time enjoy a feast of the remantic imagination. From the first he was intoxicated by the pageantry of it. For him war was made up of the deeds of herees, and he frankly stated that his book was for "lovers of noble natures." The personal details going into his narrative he excused on the ground that such items "elucidate biography and history - which are the same."

The first part of the <u>Wearing of the Gray</u> is aceordingly composed of individual sketches of Stuart, Jackson, Ashby, Mosby, Beauregard, and others. The modern reader will be struck by the continuous vein of uncritical admiration with which these portraits are offered. The hero epic of the Anglo-Saxons is everywhere suggested. Stuart, who fired his admiration above all others, is "The Flower of Gavaliers." In moments of battle his voice is "Hoarse and strident"; his face is "stormy"; and his eyes "like a "devouring fire.'" And the enemy, one reads, has learned te dread his "flower-encircled weapon." When he met his

1John Esten Cooke, Wearing of the Gray (New York, 1967), p. x111. 2Ibid. 3Ibid.,p. 30. 4Ibid.,p. 28. 5Ibid.,p. 19.

death at Yellow Tavern, he "fell like some 'monarch of the woods.' which makes the whole forest resound as it arashes down." Little that would give Stuart and Jackson the stature of demigods is left unsaid. Of the latter one hears that "His poetry was the cannon's flash. the rattle of musketry, and the lurid cloud of battle." In a closing description of this figure, Cooke wrote: "In the man who helds aloft his hand in prayer while his veteran battalions move by steadily to the charge, it will not be difficult to famoy a reproduction of the stubborn Cromwell, sternest of Ironsides, going forth to conquer in the name of the Lord." Equally unrestrained is the description of "gallant Pelham" at Fredericksburg, when it is declared. "All know how stubbornly he stood on that day - what laurels encircled his young brow when night at last came."

Nor can one read far in these descriptions without becoming impressed with the conventionalized pattern of Cocke's herces. They are members of a chivalry, and they exhibit the traditional qualities of modesty, gentleness, good deportment, and above all, bravery - qualities which

> 1<u>Ibid</u>., p. 43. 2<u>Ibid</u>., p. 50. 3<u>Ibid</u>., p. 56. 4<u>Ibid</u>., p. 133.

recall Chaucer's "parfit, gentil knight," In his eagerness to leave Stuart sens peur et sans reproche Cooke stresses his devotion to his family and his abstemious habits to offset this gay blade's well-known reputation for frivolity. "Gallant Pelham," the boy major, is praised as "Modest to a fault almost - blushing like a girl at times and wholly unaesuming in his entire deportment." Wade Hampton of South Carolina, who like a baron of old, equipped at his own expense a regiment of six hundred men, is also the beau chevalier, with "the noble pride, the true courtesy, and the high-bred honour of one who amid all the jarring strife of an excited epoch, would not suffer his serene equanimity of gentleman to be disturbed." So runs the constant ascription of courtesy, honor, and unselfishness to the leaders in gray. The entire work confronts one with Cooke's belief that these men gained dignity by participating in the mighty straggle, just as one enobles himself by selfdedication to a cause. The reader continues in this aura of romance and idealism to Appomattox, where "A dreamy, memorial sadness seemed to descend through the April air and change the scene."

Henry Kyd Douglas was another young Virginian who

^{1&}lt;u>Ibid.</u>, 132. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, 63. 3<u>Ibid.</u>, 600.

rede off to the war with a notion that it would prove something between a picnic and a tournament. Nor was he ever entirely simillusioned, for he fell under the powerful spell of Stonewall Jackson, who had a way of infusing men with his fierce delight in the soldier's calling. <u>I Rode</u> <u>With Stonewall</u> is a diary written in the period 1862-66 and revised thirty years later, when the author resolved to soften its sectional feeling. It remained unpublished, however, until 1940, when the University of North Carolina Press brought it out as a notable contribution to our knowledge of the Cromwell of the Confederacy.

It is difficult for an age which has received many sermons of the horror and futility of war to credit the lightheartedness with which men of this type went into our great eivil broil. The modern spirit of calculation, which counts the cost of advance and speculates timidly about the consequences, was foreign to their mentality. For them it combined a great <u>devoir</u> with a rare opportunity for adventure, and those who declined the sacrifice entailed were regarded as simply not made of the proper stuff. With reference to his beptism of fire at First Manassas, Douglas could write: "Ever after I never felt so sorry for the man who was wounded as for the man who was too ill to go in."

Henry Kyd Douglas, I Rode With Stonewall (Chapel Hill, 1940), p. 9.

In this spirit of bravura the story proceeds to the very end.

John B. Gordon also belongs to the group which never outlived a disposition to see the war as a contest of chivalry. Engaged in the development of coal mines in the mountains of northern Georgia when secession came. he organized a company of "Raccoon Roughs," which he led to Montgomery for induction into the service. The scenes which met them in their passage along the route to the Confederate capital are offered in witness of the intense ardor of the Southern populace, as well as of the high-flown notions then current of what constituted war. "The line of our travel was one unbroken scene of enthusiasm. Bon fires blazed from the hills at night, and torch-light processions, with drums and fifes, paraded the streets of the towns. In the absence of real cannon, blacksmiths' anvils were made to thunder our welcome. Vast throngs gathered at the depots, filling the air with shoutings, and bearing benners with all conceivable devices, proclaiming Southern independence, and pledging the last dollar and man for the success of the cause." At one station a flag bearing "NO RETREAT" was presented to his company by a group of ladies.

1 John B. Gordon, op. cit., p. 10.

and in a speech of acceptance Gordon told them the story of l the drummer boy of Switzerland. Such feeling preceded the four years of schooling which led to "the brief little 2 diploms handed to us at Appomattox."

In Gordon as in Cooke, the reader finds himself back in the heroic age. Every leader is a knight, brave, true, magnanimous; every woman is a high-souled heroine, devoting herself to her lord and comforting him in his hardships. Expressions such as "the knightly man in grey" recur. In relating the death of his brother in the Battle of the Wilderness, Gordon wrote with characteristic effusiveness: "The fatal grapeshot plunged through his manly breast, and the noble youth slept his last sleep in the woful wilderness." Taking his soldiers into York, Pennsylvania, in the Gettysburg campaign, he fairly preened himself upon his chivalric code, as Edward Pollard remarked that Southern soldiers were wont to do, by promising a group of alarmed ladies "the head of any soldier under my ecumand who destroyed private property, distwrbed the

> ¹<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 11. ²<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 12. ³<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 30. ⁴<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 65.

repose of a single home, or insulted a woman." His account of the cavalry fight at Gettysburg is complete down to booted and spurred horsemen, the sound of bugles, "five thousand plumes" and "fluttering pennants on streaming 2 guidons."

James B. Avirett devoted the introduction of his <u>Hemoirs of General Turner Ashby and his Competers</u> to proofs that the Southern people had kept the spirit of chivalry from perishing. "In this materialistic age the idea is rapidly gaining ground that chivalry is effete," he wrote, but the Army of Northern Virginia had "failed to confound war with rapine and arson" and so afforded "irrefragable proof that the principle of chivalry is still alive." The forces hostile to chivalry he termed either "demoniacal or puritanical."

He went on to describe Ashby as "a living reality in all that constitutes the peerless cavalier, and the impersonation of those knightly qualities of mind and heart,

^{1&}lt;u>Ibid.</u>, p. 143. During this campaign Robert Stiles (op. cit., 199) was told repeatedly by the local inhabitants that they suffered less depredation from the "rebel" soldiers than from their own, and that if compelled to have either, they preferred having the Confederates camped on their fields. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 171.

³James B. Avirett, <u>Memoirs of General Turner Ashby</u> and his <u>Compeers</u> (Baltimore, 1867), p. v-vii.

which will go down to posterity as so aptly delineated in 1 the pages of 'Waverley.'" In the same way Colonel Alfred Roman praised Beauregard for his "high-toned chivalric 2 courtesy."

Although such surviving concepts from chivalry set the tone of the Confederate officers' corps, it must be pointed out in the interest of the whole truth that there were on the Southern side a few commanders who took a practical and cold-blooded view of war. Johnston's Narrative of Military Coerations is, as the business-like title indicates, the account of a professional military man, not exceeded in bluntness and directness even by Grant, whose unadorned style shocked Matthew Arnold. John B. Hood's Advance and Retreat, although rendered charming in spots by its simplicity and frankness, contains little beside a straightforward chronicle of events. John S. Mosby, the famous partisan raider, went so far as to say that he was temperamentally disinclined to see anything of romance in war. He wrote in Mosby's War Reminiscences and Stuart's Cavalry Campaigns:

I fought for success and not display. There was no man in the Confederate army who had less of

1(Avirett) <u>Ibid.</u>, p. 16. 2Alfred Roman, <u>op. cit.</u>, I, 11. the spirit of knight errantry in him, or took a more practical view of war than I did. The combat between Richard and Saladin by the Diamond of the Desert is a beautiful picture for the imagination to dwell on, but it isn't war, and was no model for me. 1

This may sound like something from Sherman; yet it is the declaration of one who, more than any other save possibly Norgan and Forrest, came to be associated with the intrepidity and the individual daring exploit which single out the hero. And Forrest himself, though he wrote nothing, left behind certain oracular sayings which betray the most pragmatic view of war possible.

For some the romance of war was bound up with the person of a vivid leader, and when he disappeared from the scene, fighting turned into a dreary business of killing. During the life of a gallant and fearless commander, who filled his men with a belief that they could accomplish anything, and who thus became a source of legend, war

¹John S. Mosby, <u>Mosby's War Reminiscences and</u> <u>Stuart's Cavalry Campaigns</u> (New York, 1887), p. 80. It was natural for one of Mosby's forthright temperament to become impatient with the shortcomings of the Richmond government, which were manifold, and the following consure (<u>ibid.</u>, 100) reveals his dissatisfaction with the view of war as a game: "The martinets who controlled it were a good deal like the hero in Moliere's comedy, who compleined that his antagonist had wounded him by thrusting in <u>carte</u>, when according to rule it should have been done by <u>tierce</u>. I cared nothing for the form of a thrust if it brought blood. I did mot play with folls."

seemed to remain an art. It became a trade after the cold science of logistics began to make headway against individual daring and imagination. Followers of both Stuart and Norgan testified that with the death of each something irreplaceable departed.

To those who were experiencing it for the first time, war was an education. These simple agrarian people who made up the rank and file of the Southern armies had not realized that anything could be so thrilling; but on the other hand they found that its horrors exceeded their anticipation. It is a matter of general observation that the human race has never been able to make peace as interesting as war. Conflict, the essence of all drama, holds first claim on the human attention; yet the suffering inseparable from this variety of it compels the taking of a moral view toward what would otherwise be but a great game. Lee, whose intellectual stature has been overlooked by those wishing to portray him only as patriarch and seldier, took cognizance of this in his famous remark at Fredericksburg: "It is well this is so terrible! we should grow too fond of it." This sentence expresses the paradexical nature of the whole institution. Its peculiar

Lee (New York, 1871), p. 184.

fascination exacts a terrible price, and although the soldiers of the South tried to confine it within the circumspect rules of chivalry and to see romance in its violance, most of them were forced to admit that it remained a business for brutish men.

It is highly important to note, however, that Southern soldiers came out of the war unrepentant, and as convinced as before that there are things worse than war. The avful cost which the South paid for defeat does not need detailing here - its manpower decimated; its productive facilities largely destroyed; Atlanta and Columbia reduced to shambles; many of its colleges pillaged and burned; and worst of all, its political power so broken that the very means of recuperation were withheld. But the Southern soldiers trudged home feeling that all had been lost save henor, and spent the next thirty years trying to imagine not how war might be banished from the earth, but how the South might have won this war. The Spartan does not readily change his character.

In connection with this topic it might be asked whether the Confederate ever achieved a sufficient detachment from the struggle to view it humorously. The question is important, because the kind of war waged will depend on the state of mind of the combatant. And the answer is a clear yes, although the earnestness and intensity which

pervade most of the written record might arouse a different expectation. Whether this was the incorrigible folk humor of the Southern yeoman, or whether it was a feature of the tradition of chivalry, which requires good cheer in adversity as well as good behavior in triumph may be disputed. But the number of jokes told at the expense of their own men and institutions reveals a humorous side to the gray knights and tends to discount the general belief that Southern pride is supersensitive. The amount of lashing which Southern character received from Northern journalism did, no doubt, make the average man touchy about criticism emanating from that quarter, but practically any latitude was allowed wit and satire originating "within the family." John B. Gordon suspends the impetuous movement of his narrative fairly often for an anecdote, and the following will be found a good illustration of the points made above.

A Virginia farmer living near Appomattox announced shortly after the surrender that he would give employment to any of Lee's soldiers who wished to work a few days for their food and a small wage. He then divided those who applied into groups according to their rank in the disbanded army.

> A neighbor inquired of him as to the different squads. "Who are those men working over there?" "Them is privates, sir, of Lee's army." "Well, how do they work?" "Very fine sir, first-rate workers."

"Who are those in the second group?" "Them is lieutenants and captains, and they work fairly well, but not as good workers as the privates." "I see you have a third squad: who are they?" "Them is colonels." "Well, what about the colonels? How do they work?" "New neighbor, you'll never hear me say one word ag'in' any man who fit in the Southern army; but I aia't a-gwine to hire no generals." 1

In the <u>Reminiscences</u> of General Basil Duke one encounters many ludicrous episodes. There is Colonel Roger Hanson, who, examperated by requests for sick leave, gave and enforced an order "that there should be only two sick men at one time in each company." One learns of Champe Ferguson, the Confederate bushwhacker, who upon being asked how many men he had killed, replied with true hill-billy understatement: "I ain't killed nigh as many as men say I have; folks has lied about me powerful. I ain't killed but thirty-two men since this war commenced." The chapter "Civil War in Shelbyville" is a group of incidents in the style of Toonerville comics. Even John 3. Mosby, who prided himself on a stern philosophy of war, could not resist an occasional indulgence in dry humor.

Scattered through The Land We Love, The Southern Biyouse, and The Confederate Veteran are countless anecdotes

Gordon, op. eit., p. 453.

²Basil Duke, The Reminiscences of General Basil W. Duke (Garden City, 1911), p. 142.

of the camp, many of which show that a sense of the jocose prevailed even when the Confederacy was <u>in articulo mortis</u>. Good humor, tolerance, and a certain easy-going acceptance of the irrationalities of life appear so characteristic of the Southern rank and file that one may be at loss to reconcile these with the heritage of bitterness which folleved Appomattox. War, however, is not a staged spectacle, and probably there is no formula of chivalry which dould remove for a whole people the sting of defeat and submission.

3. The Christian Warrior

The Confederate captains not only were conscious of being the standard bearers of chivalry; they also regarded themselves as distinctly a Christain soldiery. It is clear that they partook to a large extent of what has been termed "the older religiousness" of the South. The significance of this circumstance must not be overlooked, for whether or not a man believes that the universe reflects

According to the Reverend W. T. Hall of Mississippi (The Land We Love, IV [December, 1867], 128) the Christian soldiers of the South, who believed in revealed religion, had a religious reason for fighting. Since the Yankses, "when all their objections had been answered, were disposed to place their intuitions above revelation rather than yield the controversy," these believers felt that "the very authority of God's word was at issue."

a moral design means a great deal. Unfortunately, since many of the soldiers considered it unprofessional to digress in their memoirs with discussions of unmilitary subjects. our testimony on this point is less complete than it might be. No one, for example, could gather from the matter-offact pages of Johnston s Narrative that he was a genuinely religious man. Others, like Stonewall Jackson, were cut off before they could leave any kind of systematic interpretation of events. Nevertheless, we have enough to proceed on to insist that the Confederates were a religious soldiery, and that their religion provided them with an interpretation of the tragedy of defeat. But they were not theological casuists; their religious view of life was centered quite simply about a belief in Providence. God had foreseen all, and our suffering and our defeats in this world were part of a discipline whose final fruit it was not given mortaleminds to perceive. Character and virtue were things that had to be earned in the hurly-burly of life, and great calamities must be regarded as only what an inscrutable Providence has designed.

This spirit characterized Lee as much as any other man, in the Confederate armies. He appeared to move through life with a kind of objective allegiance to duty, believing that God had a hand in both his defeats and his victories. This awareness of an overruling power, controlling the

destinies of men for a final end which is good, gave him a screnity which was a source of much comment from his associates. A passage from his writings, quoted by Colonel Charles Narshall in 1887, is the testimonial of a deeply wellighous nature:

> My experience of men has neither disposed me to think worse of them, nor indisposed me to serve them; nor, in spite of failures, which I lament, of errors, which I now see and acknowledge, or, of the present state of affairs, do I despair of the future. The march of Providence is so slow, and our desires so impatient, the work of progress is so immense, and our means of aiding it so feeble, the life of humanity is so long, and that of the individual so brief, that we often see only the ebb of the advancing wave, and are thus discouraged. It is history that teaches us to hope. 1

This conviction that Providence has arranged the outcome takes much of the burden off human shoulders and prevents the kind of anxiety one must experience when a temporary defeat is felt to be a total repudiation. Such, apparently, was the secret of the sustaining power of religion with these men.

Some few soldiers, of whom John B. Gordon furnishes a good example, came out of the war with more religion than they took in. He entered the struggle a thoughtless young man of twenty-nine; he left it after four years of

245. 1<u>Southern Historical Society Papers</u>, XVII (1889),

looking death in the face with a mystical philosophy which appears on many pages of his Reminiscences. This expressed itself in a feeling that God fulfills himself in many ways. and that all of the expense and suffering of this mighty war were but the means by which Providence resolved a conflict which had been present from the founding of the Union. Providence accounts for all that human reason cannot explain. Thus it was by "God's mysterious Providence" that Lincoln and Davis were born in the same state, to take up residence in different sections and become the leaders of opposing civilizations. Providence does not always dispose according to human estimates of probability, and so Confederate troops in the Battle of the Wilderness, well aware of Grant's overwhelming numbers. "rejected as utterly unworthy of a Christian soldiery the doctrine that Providence was on the side of the heaviest guns and the most numerous battalions." In a further discussion of the same battle Gordon reiterates his "firm faith in God's Providence, and his control of the destinies of this Republic." He attributed Lee's power in part to an "unfaltering faith in the saving truths of the Bible."

> 1Gorden, op. cit., p. 236. 2<u>1bid.</u>, p. 260. 3<u>1bid.</u>, p. 232.

Human conflict is thus seen as a working out of God's policies, and the very violence of the strife may be a measure of the fruitfulness which will flow from it. General Gordon furnishes a vivid figure of this process in an experience drawn from his boyhood. He recalled a time when he witnessed a furious storm:

> Standing on a mountain top, I saw two storm clouds lowering in the opposite horizon. They were heavily charged with electric fires. As they rose and approached each other they extended their length and gathered additional blackness and fury. Higher and higher they rose, their puffing windcaps rolling like hostile banners above them; and when nearing each other the flashing lightning blazed along their front and their red bolts were hurled into each other the flashes and fearful shocks filled my boyish spirit with awe and terror. But God's hand was in that storm, and from the furious conflict copious showers were poured upon the parched and thirsty earth, which refreshed and enriched it. 1

It would be a mistake to see this as mere rhetoric. This was General Gordon's dialectic, an interpretation of inexorable events at least as profound as those offered by some of the more sophisticated theories that followed his day. And it is, of course, a religious interpretation, which insists that the road to salvation is lined with suffering, but that because "God's hand" is in the storm

1<u>Ibid.</u>, pp. 118-119.

of life, our trials are not more sound and fury, but preparation for a better state of existence. The destiny of manhind is worked out in terms of opposing forces, and after one has grasped this significant fact, he begins to view the whole process as a pageant, becomes reconciled to the l enemy, and so is purged of all bitterness. It is not improbable that Lincoln, whose mystical soul has defied all attempts to equate him with morely political sentiments, entertained this philosophy.

Even the phlegmatic Longstreet was stirred to say that "there is today, because of the war, a broader and deeper patriotism in all Americans" and to declare that what the nation needed for a perfect healing was "faith 2 in Jehevah."

Some unusual insights into the religious life of the Confederate soldier are provided by the <u>Personal</u> <u>Marrative</u> of Charles Todd Quintard, who went through the war as chaplain of the First Tennessee Regiment. A native

¹ John McKintosh Kell of the <u>Alabama</u> (<u>op. cit.</u>, p. 5) could discern in the fall of the South "God's frowning Previdence of disaster and defeat for his own wise plans and purposes." W. H. Tunnard (<u>The History of the Third</u> <u>Louisiana Regiment</u> [Baton Rouge, 1866], p. ix) declared that "The Southern people accept the issue of the struggle as the unalterable decree of a mysterious Providence."

²Longstreet, <u>op</u>. <u>cit.</u>, p. vi.

of Stamford, Connecticut, Quintard had come South to practice medicine, but he conceived an interest in religion and in The course of time was admitted to the Episcopal priesthood. Serving in the double capacity of surgeon and spiritual adviser, he delighted in the spectacle of hardened soldiers yielding themselves to a higher power, of which his short volume contains several vivid instances. Perhaps the most remarkable incident is his interview with General Bragg sometime after the bloody and indecisive battle of Hurfreesbore. This commander was known to be of unsociable disposition and sharp tongue, and it was only with difficulty that Dr. Quintard got admittance to his tent. After requesting the impatient general to dismiss his military secretaries, he talked to him about "our blessed Lord, and about the responsibilities of a man in the General's position." and at length asked him to be confirmed. At this point, according to the narrator, tears sprang into Bragg's eyes, and he declared, "I have been waiting for twenty years to have someone say this to me." Shortly thereafter he was baptized and confirmed. Dr. Quintard reports that one night during the same period he and Leonidas Polk remained up until two o'clock while the

¹Charles Todd Quintard, <u>Personal Narrative</u> (Sewance, Tenn., 1905), p. 79.

bishop-general, destined soon to be killed in the Atlanta eampaign, gave a detailed account of how his mind had turned to religious topics while he was at West Point. On another occasion he reports "a long and very delightful conversation with General Hardee about confirmation." June 1, 1863, "General Hardee had his brigade formed in a hollow square, and the Bishop addressed it briefly on the religious aspects of the struggle."

Robert Stiles gives an extended account of the religious enthusiasm which swept through the Army of Northern Virginia shortly after the battle of Fredericksburg. The church services were invariably backed, the singing fervent, there were "cries unto God," and many soldiers delivered testimonials, which were moving if simple samples of homiletics. A feature of the sermons preached to the men was that they contained "the gospel and the gospel 1 only."

The Southern soldier had the same religious impulse as the Southern church-goer of ante-bellum times. He was a seeker after faith and hope, and by maintaining the distinction between the rewards of God and the rewards of

^{1&}lt;u>Ibid.</u>, p. 71. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 76. 3<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 77. 4Robert Stiles, <u>op. cit.</u>, pp. 139-143.

the world, he survived his downfall without loss of conviction. His God was the old-fashioned God who wielded thunder and who would punish erring people and test the faith of those who prided themselves on being in his favor. As is true with other departments of his life, the spirit of utilitarianism had not made entrance, and the later destrine that a proper spiritual life is reflected in worldly prosperity had not by then taken hold of the South, though it was to make its appearance in the postbellum epoch.

4. The Character of the Enemy

The great majority of Confederate officers thus looked upon themselves as Christian gentlemen, and in the recognized calling of war, they sought to maintain their sharacter, sometimes even to the point of nicety. The style and spirit of their warfare was a source of great pride to them, but that of the enemy provoked criticism and condemnation, on what grounds we shall see. It is well to proceed cautiously here, for as an early English poem says, "In broyles the bag of lyes is ever open," and the enemy is apt to look barbarous in proportion as he proves stubborn and difficult to conquer. But after all precautions have been taken and all corrections have been made, there remains considerable foundation for the

assertion that the United States is the first government in medera times to commit itself to the policy of unlimited aggression. This was one of the many innovations which came out of the American Civil War. It is true, of course, that no war is wholly free from atrocities, but a distinction must be drawn between those excesses committed by soldiers who have broken discipline and those which are part of the determined policy of commanders. Generals Hunter, Sheridan, and Sherman put themselves on record, both by utterance and by practice, as believing in the war of unlimited aggression, in the prosecution of which they received at least the tacit endorsement of the Lincoln administration.

This is a matter of prime importance in the history of the American past, because the real significance of the war of unlimited aggression is that it strikes at one of the bases of civilization. As long as each side plays according to the rules of the "game," with no more infraction than is to be expected in any heated contest, the door is left open for reconciliation and the eventual restoration of amity. But when one side drops the restraints built up through a thousand years and commits itself to total destruction of the other by any means, no longer distinguishing between combatants and noncombatants, then demoralization is complete, and the difficulty of putting relationships back on a moral basis is perhaps too great to

be overcome. In war, as in peace, people remain civilized by acknowledging that there is a bound beyond which they must not go. Even in military combat there must be a supreme sanction, uniting those who in all else are in opposition, and if this is disregarded, then the long and painful business of laying the foundations of understanding must be recommenced from the very beginning. The expression "Christian civilization," when examined, denotes just this body of fundamental concepts and allegiances which one may not drop without becoming "un-Christian" and so, in the meaningful sense of the word, ex-communicated. It is the shared code of Christian ethics. When this is understood, the term "Christian soldier" ceases to be paradoxical. The Christian soldier must seek the verdict of battle always remembering that there is a higher law by which both he and his opponent will be judged, and which enjoins him against fighting as the barbarian. Thus even in war religion remains a determinative force, and those who use war as an excuse to throw aside all restraints become thereby irreligious.

It is by no means unusual to read in Southern accounts of the rejection of some procedure as "unworthy of a Christian soldiery." Indeed, by the standard of modern practice, which represents a revolt against all eivilized restraints, the matter of regard for rule was

carried far. Exceptions were found, naturally, among the disorderly elements which made up part of the Western arsies, but very few outreges can be truthfully ascribed to the arsies of Johnston, Lee, and Bragg, and none of them 2 were condoned.

Great indignation was felt when it became according that these observances were not going to be reciprocated by the North. Though few Confederate commanders attempted to reason the matter out in terms of philosophy and history, there was an instinctive feeling that those who were guilty of violating the code were guilty of an enormity whose

¹Carlisle Terry, M. D., related (<u>Century</u>, XXXIX, February, 1890, 638) that near the close of the war a Southern inventor carried before Jefferson Davis a hollow container fashioned to resemble exactly a large piece of coal. His plan was to fill these with a high explosive and get them placed in the fuel yards of Federal naval stations. The Confederate President rejected the proposal with a show of indignation as "an unjustifiable mode of warfare." One coldier was discharged from Mosby's Command

because "he was not sufficient of a gentleman to travel with Mosby's men." His offense had been wantonly overturning an old Quaker's milk can (Munson, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>., p. 147).

²A question may be raised here with reference to the treatment of negro enemies by the Confederates. At Ft. Pillow the troops of Nathan Bedford Forrest appear to have massacred the negro defenders, although this has been a matter of dispute. Yet we have the word of John S. Wise (<u>op. cit.</u>, p. 366) that negro soldiers captured in the Battle of the Crater were "brained and butchered... until the slaughter was sickening." Doubtless the spectacle of a servile race in arms against their former masters was too great a provocation.

1 consequences would not be limited to immediate acts. Most of them considered it unprofessional to display anger, and all we have from Lee are a few passing remarks about the erusity of all war. But the more impetuous members of the fraternity were not unwilling to declare themselves. Jubal Sarly was bitter against Sherman, and the pages of Semmes are crowled with citations of Yankee knavery. One reads of "the mad fanatics of the North." of "the coarse and rude Vandal." and of "the canting, hypocritical Yankee." Sennes explained to the captain of a foreign ship that "we are only defending ourselves against robbers, with knives at our throats." In almost every chapter the reader encounters "the Northern Demos" and "the barbarians of the North." Semmes shared the view of Pollard that the Northern government was the instrument of a coarse and unruly mob. and that the Northern armies were recruited largely from a riff-raff. whose outstanding penchant was thievery. "Unfortunately for the Great Republic," he wrote,

IThis cannot be a blanket indictment, for exceptions must be made. Hunter, Pope, Sheridan, and Sherman were the chief offenders in Southern eyes. McClellan, Grant, and Hancock appear to have adhered rather strictly to the older concept of war. 2Raphael Semmes, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>., p. 90. <u>3Ibid</u>., p. 98. 4Tbid., p. 187.

5Ibid., p. 186.

"political power has descended so low, that the public officer, however high his station must of necessity, be little better than the b'hoy from whom he receives his power of attorney. When mobs rule, gentleman must retire to 1 private life." This government was "with a barbarity unknown in civilized war, laying waste our plantations and 2 corn-fields."

President Lincoln had originally proposed to execute all captured Confederate raiders as pirates, but the promise of retaliation by President Davis compelled him to abandon the intention. Semmes used this incident to sharpen his moral. "This recantation of an attempted barbarism," he said.

> had not been honestly made. It was not the generous taking back of a wrong principle, by a high-minded people. The tiger, which had come out of the jungle, in quest of blood, had only been driven back by fear; his feline, and bloodthirsty disposition would, of course, crop out again, as soon as he ceased to dread the huntsman's rifle.

Semmes had destroyed Northern property on a scale far beyond anything other Confederate commanders had an opportunity to do, and it was natural that in the Northern press he should be singled out for special abuse. He

> ¹<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 233. ²<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 648. ³<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 182.

therefore took keen delight in announcing that he had observed the laws of war more faithfully than the enemy. It is the old pride in chivalry re-appearing. In connection with his capture of the <u>Golden Rocket</u> from the "Black Republican State of Maine" he wrote:

> We were making war upon the enemy's commerce, but not upon unarmed seamen. It gave me as much pleasure to treat these with humanity, as it did to destroy his ships, and one of the most cherished recollections which I have brought out of the war, which, in some sense may be said to have been a civil war, is, that the 'pirate,' whom the enemy denounced, with a pen dipped in gall, and with a vocabulary of which decent people should be ashamed, set that same enemy the example, which he failed to follow, of treating prisoners of war, according to the laws of war.

Semmes never abandoned his <u>seeva indignatio</u> against the North, and at the end of this long work he records his belief that the killing of Abraham Lincoln was

> just retribution for destruction and ruin brought on twelve millions of people. Without any warrant for his conduct he made a war of rapine and lust against eleven sovereign states, whose only provocation had been that they had made an effort to preserve the liberties which had been handed down to them by their fathers. These States had not sought war, but peace, and they had found, at the hands of Abraham Lincoln, destruction. As a Christian, it was my duty to say, "Lord have mercy upon his soul! but the d--1 will surely take care of his memory. 2

1<u>1bid.</u>, p. 131. 2<u>1bid.</u>, p. 821.

The most execrated name in Southern annals is. of course, that of W. T. Sherman. Joe Johnston, who opposed him in the campaign from Dalton to Atlanta, wrote nothing whatever in censure of his methods, and in 1891 served as pallbearer at his funeral. But Johnston was of cold temperament and took a professional view of warfare. J. B. Hood, on the other hand, who took over command with the Confederate Army backed up against Atlanta, considered the policies of his adversary barbarous and addressed to him several communications on that score. Hood found it impossible to suppress feeling, and his first message, relative to the forcible evacuation of civilians, declared heatedly: "And now, sir, permit me to say that the unprecedented measure you propose transcends, in studied and ingenious cruelty, all acts ever before brought to my attention in the dark history of war." He followed this with quotations from Halleck, Vattel, and Grotius to prove that Sherman's conduct violated the universally recognized rules and cited instances from the Peninsular War, in which both Wellington and Soult had taken strong measures to restrain their troops from acts of revenge. At first Sherman gave as good as he received, but, growing impatient

¹J. B. Hood, <u>Advance</u> and <u>Retreat</u> (New Orleans, 1880), p. 230.

with what he called "hypocritical appeals to God and l humanity," he advised Hood that it would be better for them to fight it out like men. Both men seem to have agreed that such discussion was "out of place and profitless" for soldiers, and the exchange was soon terminated.

Even E. Porter Alexander, Chief of Artillery of Longstreet's Corps, who became thoroughly reconciled to the issue of the war, and who produced one of the most impartial and judicious of the military histories, could not refrain from an observation on the methods of Sherman. In the closing pages of his valuable <u>Military Memoirs of a</u> <u>Confederate</u> he wrote with reference to the devastation of **Georgia:** "This was excused on the ground that 'War is Hell.' It depends somewhat upon the warrior." This may be taken as expressing the general opinion of the Confederate military fraternity.

Charles C. Jones, Jr., in his <u>Historical Sketch of</u> <u>the Chatham Artillery</u> expressed the bitter opinion that "A liberal and dignified consideration for the feelings, necessities and welfare of the vanquished and impoverished apparently belongs to a contemned period of Roman virtue,

1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 232.

2E. P. Alexander, The Military Memoirs of a Confederate (New York, 1907), p. 581.

the dead chivalry of a heroic age, now numbered with a neglected past, and the despised teaching of the New 1 Testament dispensation."

Griticism of Northern military methods naturally led to a criticism of the whole of Northern civilization, which to the South was personified by the Abolitionist, representing hypocrisy; the plundering soldier, representing, among other things, Yankee cupidity; and the carpetbagger, representing political unscrupulousness. The more partisan Southerners looked upon these as natural outgrowthe of the Yankee heritage and the Yankee way of life. Raphael Semmes was particularly severe in his estimate of the Northerners as people. A Catholic gentleman from Maryland, he had a deep-seated antipathy toward the ^Puritan, and f/w chapters of <u>A Memoir of Service Afloat</u> are free from aoid comments on this type. Virginia and Massachusetts he viewed as two incompatible yoke-fellows:

> Virginia and Massachusetts were the two original germs, from which the great majority of the American population has sprung; and no two peoples, speaking the same language and coming from the same country, could have been more dissimilar, in education, taste, and habits, and even in natural instincts, than the adventurers who settled these two colonies. Those who sought

Charles C. Jones, Jr., <u>Historical Sketch of the</u> Chetham <u>Artillery</u> (Albany, 1867), p. 228.

a new field of adventure for themselves, and affluence for their posterity, in the more congenial clime of the Chesapeake, were the gay, and dashing cavaliers, who, as a class, afterward adhered to the fortunes of the Charleses, whilst the first settlers of Massachusetts were composed of the same materials, that formed the 'Praise-God-Barebones' Parliament of Cromwell. These two peoples seemed to have an instinctive repugnance, the one to another. 1

Although Semmes excused them partially on the ground that their "niggard" environment made them what they were, he kept before the reader his belief that their character was "gloomy, saturnine, and fanatical," with a tendency to "repel all the more kindly, and generous im-2 pulses of our nature."

He was stirred to indignation whenever he entered a port and found the Yankee consul there practicing a trade to eke out the pittance allowed him as a diplomatic official. In Puerto Cabello, Venezuela, for example, he discovered that "The American Consul, who is also a merchant, represents not only 'those grand moral ideas' that characterize our Northern people, but Sand's sarsaparilla, and Smith's wooden clocks." At Maranham, Brazil, the situation was worse, for there he found the

¹Raphael Semmes, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>., p. 55. 2<u>Ibid</u>. <u>3Ibid</u>., p. 164.

consul "with commendable Yankee thrift" practising the trade of dentistry, "the 'old flag' flying over his files, false teeth and spittoons." It was not remarkable, he thought, that one "charged with the affairs of state of the Great Republic, and with the decayed teeth of the young ladies of Maranham, at one and the same time, should be a little confused, as to points of international law." Similar observations of an insulting nature make <u>A Memoir</u> of <u>Service Afleat</u> one of the most partisan books ever written.

The experience of the war confirmed Semmes in his belief in the natural depravity of men, and these sharp judgments of Yankees pass over into yet sharper judgments of all men. He had become completely disabused of the notions of the French optimists. War and politics, and contact with low types of sea-faring men left him convinced that man is only "an intellectual wild beast, whose rapacity never yet has been restrained by a sense of justice." The aura of idealism which surrounded the establishment of the Union seduced many Americans into believing that they had been somehow mysteriously regenerated, so that the tragic fates of other people could never befall them. But,

> 1<u>Ibiā</u>., 212-213. 2<u>Ibiā</u>.

he explained, "The events which I have recorded, and am about to record, have taught them, that they are not better and perhaps they are no worse - than other people." He recommended an abandonment of the unrealistic basis of government, and a return to self-interest," the great regulator." This would mean that Americans would never attempt to bind up in one sheaf, with a withe of straw, materials so discordant as were the people of the North 2 and the people of the South."

In broad outline, however, the victory of the Yankee was looked upon as a triumph of the forces of materialism, equalitarianism, and irreligion. Richard Taylor, who spent much of his time after the war in the North interceding for Confederates in distress, was apalled by the saturnalia he witnessed. It appeared to him that the masses had "lost all power of discrimination." The new men of influence were those who had just acquired fortunes, and who showed themselves "destitute of manners, taste, or principles." The great moral crusade had ended in a mockery:

> The vulgar insolence of wealth held complete possession of public places and carried by storm the citadels of society. Indeed, society disappeared.

¹<u>Ibid</u>., p. 69. ²<u>Ibid</u>., p. 70.

As in the middle ages, to escape pollution, honorable men and refined women (and there are many such in the North) fled to sanctuary and desert, or, like the early Christians in the Catacombs, met secretly and in fear. 1

Among the voices deploring the new Yankee civilization was that of the Southern Bivouac, which now and then indulged in mild political skirmishing. In a plaintive editorial it declared that Southern youths were then better acquainted with the exploits of Jay Gould and Jesse James then with those of their sires in the late war. It found the current feeling running strongly toward a "continental nationality," with an element in the North willing to bury the memory of the war entirely for the sake of getting trade with the South. This was interpreted as a further 2 sign that money had become the ultimate aim in life. If these are the days of money, those were the days of glory, it was constantly affirmed, and suspicion was strong that the new motivation was not going to produce all that its champions imagined. The issue of September, 1883, noted that the South was winning much praise for its business activity. This was pleasant, but reservations had to be made:

> 1Richard Taylor, <u>op</u>. <u>cit.</u>, pp. 256-257. 2<u>The Southern Bivouac</u>, II (February, 1884), 284.

It is well to be up and doing, but there are some things more essential to national health than full barns and stupendous factories.

Let us not forget the breed of noble blood. Many say, "We have turned our backs on the past. Opinions and sentiments are false friends. Nothing is real but property and money."

The war, indeed, was a curse, if it brought us to this. Time was when gold could not purchase rank in society, politics, and religion. It is no longer. 1

With reference to the airs which freedmen were giving themselves, it stated that if these constituted signs of progress, "we would like to see a little retrograde move-2 ment now and then, for the sake of variety."

Such remarks tell plainly enough that by 1860 the French Revolution had not come to the South. Southerners of the postbellum epoch were men of the eighteenth century, suddenly transported into a nineteenth-century world. The source of their bafflement is a familiar story to the cultural historian. The old formulations were gone, and the well-defined structure of society was giving away before the parvenu, whose title to place rested upon some special and not always praiseworthy - achievement. The old idea of rewards was vanishing, and instead of receiving a station dictated by a theory of the whole of society, men were

> ¹<u>Ibid.</u>, II (September, 1883), 191. ²Ibid. II (January, 1884), 239.

winning their stations through a competition in which human considerations were ruled out. It was the age of Carlyle's "cash-nexus." Everything betokened the breaking-up of the old synthesis in a general movement toward abstraction in human relationships. Man was becoming a unit in the formless democratic mass; economics was usurping the right to dictate both political and moral policies; and standards supposed to be unchangeable were being mocked by the new theories of relativism. Topping it all was the growing spirit of skepticism which was destroying the religious sanctions of conduct and leaving only the criterion of utility.

War is a destroyer of patterns, and those who have grown up in one order, familiar with its assumptions and customs, and feeling that the rules of its collective life somehow emanate from themselves, are likely to be seized with nostalgia when struggling with a new pattern. The alteration which came over the whole country after the triumph of the nationalist party was part of a world-wide tendency. It was modernism, with its urgency, impatience, truculence, and its determination to strip aside all concealing veils and see what is behind them. When the men of the new order stripped aside these veils and found that there was nothing behind them, but that the reality existed somehow in the willed belief, they marked the beginning of

modern frustration.

Ŷ To those who believe in the cyclical nature of cultures, growth and decay are real, and hence there is an absolute point of view from which one generation can assert that the next is a step nearer perdition, if by that we understand a failing sense of moral values, a loss of belief in self, and the chaos which flow from these. It is a problem to determine whether the Confederate captains were aware of the deeper implications of the conflict, which seldom got into the catchwords used to rally either side. It seems that they heard the warning voice, but lacked the insight, or perhaps the vocabulary to make a full demonstration of the danger. It was generally recognized that two opposed systems were struggling for the mastery. The passing of the code of chivalry, the refusal any longer to pretend that war is a game, the rampant spirit of commercialism, brought it home even to the least perceptive that there was something new in the world, that the "unbought grace of life" was being destroyed by forces beyond a soldier's power to combat. There was a stubborn notion that some necessary relationship existed between the old way of life, with its emphasis upon sentimental values and personal integrity, so that the modernism ushered in by Northern vistory looked to some like the knowledge of evil which ends man's state of innocence.

The Eden was the agrarian South which came to an end forever in 1861. The serpent had brought with him the twin temptations of science and relativism. Among the outcasts of the Garden who did not cease to sigh for their once happy condition was General Basil Duke. After the coming of peace General Duke settled in Louisville, where for two years he served as associate editor of The Southern Bivouse, a great compendium of Confederate lore. He contributed a large number of articles to periodicals, some of which were later collected in book form under the title Reminiscences of General Basil Duke. The work parallels the earlier History of Morgan's Cavalry in its main features, but it contains far more in the way of anecdote and personalis, and it shows that the author had matured some philosophic views. The mellowing influence of time is evident, but there has crept in a wistfulness, for Duke was aware that the world was undergoing a transition, the marks of which could be seen not only in human institutions, but also in the visible face of his enchanted Blue Grass. Though tolerant enough to see that both loss and gain were involved, he could not resist writing an apologia for the Old Kentucky. He had a tempting subject, for all contemporaries have testified that the people of central Kentucky, from the period of its settlement around 1800 to the tragedy of internecine war sixty years later, lived an idyllic existence.

Man and nature, it would seem, had there arrived at terms. The settlers had come into possession of one of the fairest regions of earth, and they had achieved a social organisation which, although it contained the seeds of its own destruction, was outwardly decorous and stable. Warming over these recollections, General Duke wrote:" In the immediate ante-bellum period, this region was in the acme of its loveliness. Then, so to speak, the charm of nature was blended in just degree with the grace of cultivation, making the picture perfect." A landscape which pleased in every prospect was not at this time marred by the viler work of man. He went on to describe the features of an agrarian idyll:

> The rural life of Central Kentucky, in the twenty or thirty years preceding the Civil War, was extremely pleasant, and while simple and unostentatious, had some social features peculiarly attractive. Blue Grass farmers were a robust and well-to-do generation; very much inclined to enjoy creature comforts, and well supplied with them; fond, also of good company and hail fellowship. Their farms yielded them abundant provisions for home consumption, and generally a handsome revenue in addition. As people so situated usually are, they were hospitable, and liberal in all matters, save perhaps a few cherished opinions. 2

The bucolic life of these Kentuckians was to be interrupted

¹Basil Duke, <u>Reminiscences of General Basil W. Duke</u> (New York, 1911), p. 20. ²Ibid., p. 24. by a revolution, the meaning of which could not be fully anticipated, but which would in the course of time practically obliberate their pattern of life. General Duke had a sense of the mystery involved in great social transformations. "The last decade of their ante-bellum history," he continued.

> must always be regarded by the people of the South and of Kentucky with peculiar interest. A revolution was impending which was to destory the old order, and to inaugurate another that to them would appear like a new world. Dimly discerning, but not entirely conscious of what was coming, they were thrilled with a feeling of mingled expectancy and apprehension. 1

When the sons of Kentucky who had gone forth to fight under the Stars and Bars returned to their native state, "They could no more recognize the old landmarks than could the sons of Noah have identified the old home farm after the subsidence of the deluge." Duke held that transitions are real, that the time spirit does change, and that the attempt to speak across the gulf of the generations is, except for limited purposes, fruitless. It was not an illusion that the Old Kentucky had gone:

> Whether the "Old" Kentucky was, or was not, better than the Kentucky of today - and it is just as well not to discuss that question - something of her former glory and prestige, as well as an interest

¹<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 30. ²Ibid., p. 401. and beauty, seems lacking. The land has undergone a metamorphosis, and "the tender grace of a day that is deed" can never return, 1

he wrote. Taught by experience that no amount of talking and writing can convince the growing generation of the reality of a vanished time, he concluded:

> Let age gracefully recognize its limitations and try to be happy. Content with the past and its recollections, and with no pretense that we can enlighten our juniors, we will admit as candidly, if as sadly, as did the Knight of La Mancha when cured of his illusions, that "the birds of this year are not found in last year's nests." 2

Richard Taylor, whose exceptional learning permitted an historical perspective not enjoyed by those who were soldiers merely, often pondered the contrast between such peaceful agrarian scenes and the desolation and corruption left by war. Campaigning with Jackson in the Shenandoah, he noted that "quaint old mills with turning wheels were busily grinding the year's harvest; and grove and eminence showed comfortable homesteads." The great Valley of Virginia, over which there lay a "languid grace," was soon to be raveged "with a cruelty surpassing that inflicted upon the Palatinate two hundred years ago." Transferred back to his native Louisiana, Taylor found similar scenes

> 1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 31. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 512. 3Richard Taylor, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 45. 4<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 46.

of rural innocence threatened by some malignant force from without. Here among the dwellers of the bayou country he recognized the French peasant of the era before the Great Revolution. "Tender and true were his traditions of la belle France, but of France before Voltaire and the encyclopaedists, the Convention and the Jacobins - ere she had lost faith in all things divine and human, save the And then he observed that "It bourgeoisie and avocate." was to this earthly paradise, and upon this simple race, that the war came, like the tree of the knowledge of evil to our early parents."² One gets the fulness of Taylor's reaction to what the new era brought in the chapter on Mashington under the Johnson administration, where he exclaims that "although of a tolerant disposition and with wide experience of earthly wickedness" he could not see why the cities of the plain were overthrown and this place suffered to exist.

James B. Avirett in his <u>Memoirs of Turner Ashby</u> gave a comparable picture of Virginia on the eve of the John Brown raid. It lay, he said, "a very Eden in its loveliness," filled with a people "primitive and simple in their tastes." Against its innocence and repose there

¹<u>Ibid</u>., p. 106.

²Ibid., p. 107.

³Ibid., p. 242.

was plotting the Satan of a "vindictive and jealous fanaticism." Not the least of Virginia's blessings had been her "happy freedom from the multitudinous phases of infidelity and German Neology."

The Southern people as a group were unspoiled in the sense that they were content with primitive habits and tastes. Like any people of this kind, they were distrustful of connercial and intellectual pursuits, regarding both as ultimately demoralizing. The North, on the other hand. had been caught up in the full tide of nineteenth-century progress: science and a money-economy were completely transforming her life, and she was impatient of the social conservatism of a country cousin like the South. Between the two there was a widening gulf; yet it seems as idle to reproach the North for hastening along the path as to reproach the South for delaying its forward march, if in any case the path is predestined and the end is the same. But if we abandon the delusive concept of the cycle and say rather that man lives by his myth, by a projection of ideals, sentiments and loyalties, which made the world of truth - not the world of nature - then the conservation of a pattern becomes obligatory. The underminers of the faith and the mockers of the vision then deserve the obloquy

1 James B. Avirett, op. cit., p. 26.

which has traditionally been theirs.

Whether the Confederate captains were fighting in response to conscious ideals, or out of the instinctive distrust with which the primitive views the seductive ways of a decedent civilization is a profound question. Probably they builded better than they knew. The common man could sense that a change was passing over the nation, that something in the soul of the people was dying, that a pristine state of simplicity, likened to that of our first parents, was being destroyed by the forces of an active evil.

The indictment of the Northern revolution drawn up by Southern politicians, clerics, and soldiers parallels in many interesting particulars Edmund Burke's indictment of the French Revolution, of which, in truth, it was the leontinuation. Behind each was the fear of what happens to a society which decides to cut itself off suddenly from all tradition. "The web of history is woven without a void," and the desire to cut that web and to start anew as if the past were no foundation is itself a sign of sickness and

Raphael Semmes made the comperison (op. cit., p. 548): "Radicalism seemed to be now just what it had been in the great French Revolution, a cort of mad-dog virue; everyone who was inoculated with it became rabid."

derangement. No society in which a majority of the people say, "Opinions and sentiments are false friends," is healthy, and disaster is miltiplied if faith in principles is part of the heritage to be abandoned. An ambition to reject traditional beliefs in favor of new "realities" conceals an impulse to escape from beliefs altogether.

The first part of the tragedy which brought the moral collapse of the twentieth century was acted on the American stage. It required the dislocations of the First World War to show how extensive the internal ravages had grown, and how thin the security of civilization had worn. When the Second World War brought the barbarians into open conflict with civilization, it could no longer be doubted that the systematic destruction of ancient ideals and sentiments leads to the revolution of nihilism.

CHAPTER IV

DIARIES AND REMINISCENCES OF THE SECOND AMERICAN REVOLUTION

The literature of military autobiography, extensive as it became, was matched in volume by the memoirs of eivilians who felt impelled to tell their story of a great social upheaval. Their phase of the general report is most important because it supplies what the soldiers either had no interest in or considered outside their province, and because it continues the story through Reconstruction, during which the old ideals were put to the test and new ideals were forged. Such works, moreover, by their very richness of detail helped to crystallize many legends of the South and so provided primary material for later imaginative treatments which tended to picture the section as a special region.

Although the soldiers occasionally paused long enough to moralize or to indulge in sniping at Yankee character, their accounts are chiefly of the organization of armies and the execution of battles. What went on behind the lines - on the plantations whose white males were at the front, in the cities where inflationary prices reduced the masses to want, in the hospitals where women volunteered to minister to that curious patient, the common Confederate soldier, in the conclaves of government, where the heritage

of pride and individualism proved so hurtful to cooperation these and myriad other matters are to be sought in the memoirs of civilians, some of which are not the less valuable for being brief and little-known. Indeed, in those writers who neither wanted nor expected a large audience, there are often a simplicity and directness which tell more than artful expression.

Diaries and memoirs were prepared by people of every station and with every kind of relation to the war, but in glancing over the bookshelf one is impressed by the extent to which the preserving of such records fell to the women. Only three men left works which may be considered of first-rate importance. The first of these was John Beauchamp Jones, who has been given the not wholly apt sobriquet of "the Confederate Pepys." Jones was a native of Karyland, who spent part of his early life in Kentucky and Missouri. Long before the war period he made a name for himself as a writer of fiction, and by 1859 he had written a novel Wild Bouthern Scenes which then and afterward was hailed as an accurate presage of sectional conflict. In the spring of 1861 he was editing The Southern Monitor in Philadelphia when word came that a fleet had sailed for the relief of Ft. Sumter; he fled South just

ahead of a mob bent on lynching him and found employment in the Confederate War Office. He wrote under the date April 29, 1861: "At fifty-one I can hardly follow the pursuit of arms; but I will write and preserve a DIARY of 2 the revolution." He adhered to this resolution during the four years, and although his <u>Rebel War Clerk's Diary</u> lacks the intimacy and spiciness of Pepys, it is by far the best extant record of official Richmond.

The second was Thomas Cooper De Leon, whose role in the Confederacy is difficult to fix, but whose Four Years in Rebel Capitals is not surpassed in its pictures of life behind the lines. When war came, De Leon was a clerk in the Bureau of Typographical Engineers and a gay young manabout-town in Washington, but he forsook his Bohemian comrades, who warned him that he would starve in the South, and proceeded to Montgomery. De Leon was a literary artist, and all that came under his view he saw with understanding and imagination, which qualified him to write one of the most readable and enlightening of the inside views. Many years later, after he had acquired distinction as playwright and novelist, he added to this <u>Belles</u>, <u>Beaux</u>, and

1J. B. Jones, <u>A Rebel War Clerk's Diary</u> (New York, 1866), I, 19. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, I, 29.

Brains of the Sixties, a garrulous volume filled with biographical and genealogical lore, but wholly lacking in the graphic power of the earlier work.

If to these we add the slight though excellent <u>Rebel's Recollections</u> of George Cary Eggleston, brother of the author of <u>A Hoosier Schoolmaster</u>, we shall have noticed the principal contributions by men, although there are many lesser works with special merits.

With the women it is a different story. Opinion is practically unanimous that they formed the backbone of Confederate morale; from the thoughtless belle in her teens who asked her lover to "kill me a Yankee" to the mature matron who knew all the arts of heartening the discouraged man of the family, the women of the Confederacy showed a united front of sentiment such as has been rarely manifested in any population. It is a fact noteworthy in the history of the Southern people, moreover, that their loyalty 2 did not wame in the years of defeat.² With exceptions too

¹Although both Eggleston and John S. Wise, author of <u>The End of an Era</u>, saw service as soldiers, it seems more appropriate to include their works here as social treatises.

²One of the chief complaints of Northern soldiers returned from the South was their failure to be received socially. See <u>passim The Report of the Joint Committee on</u> <u>Reconstruction</u> (Washington, 1866); also W. F. Fleming, <u>Civil War and Reconstruction in Alabama</u> (New York, 1905), pp. 318-321.

few to be notiged, the Southern women did not smile upon the winner; the pomp and circumstance of victory did not endear 1 the Yankee to them; and they busied themselves not only with rebuilding a broken homeland but also with encouraging Southern nationalism. Federal soldiers often declared that women made the fiercest secessionists, and President Davis, after having observed their devotion in Reconstruction as in war felt it proper to dedicate to them his <u>Rise</u> and Fall of the Confederate Government.

In sober truth the history of the women in Reconstruction makes better reading than that of the men. Dismayed by failure and left idle by want of opportunity, the men in some cases became poseurs or fribbles, a recognizable type of which is caricatures by 0. Henry as Najor Caswell in "A Municipal Report." But the women for the most part turned lionesses, took over the direction of their households, in many instances supported their men, and taught their children what they wanted believed about the Southern Confederacy. Their unremitting labor in seeing to it that dead herces were memorialized is evidenced

¹Myrta L. Avary, <u>Dixie After the War</u> (New York, 1906), pp. 115-117.

²Frances Butler Leigh (<u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>., p. 13) found the sen "trying, in a listless sort of way, to repair their ruined fortunes."

by monuments from the Potomac to the Rio Grande, and the organization of the United Daughters of the Confederacy is a witness to the vitality of their spirit. It is no small part of the truth to say that after the Civil War the South became a matriarchy, and the decisive hand of its women was seen not only in social life, but also in letters. They produced some of the best of the older type of writing, and they were the first with the new. Consequently, whoever wishes to know the tenor of the Southern mind from 1865 to 1900 and beyond must pay considerable attention to their testimonials.

The women, moreover, had been influenced by the section's intense preoccupation with politics. Many a Southern girl grew up in a home where political discussion was the staple of table conversation. Later she would be taken to Washington or to Richmond, to become acquainted with personalities and to hover in the background of historymaking councils. It was natural for such women to keep a keen ear for all that came by gossip, rumor, and report. Representative of this group was May Boykin Chesnut, daughter of a governor of South Carolina and wife of a United States senator from that state. Having become mistress of Mulberry Plantation while hardly more than a girl, she was for twenty years a notable member of the Charleston aristocracy. It was her husband who carried to

Major Robert Anderson the demand for the surrender of Ft. Sunter, and when the family later removed to Richmond, she was able to observe from a point of ventage the internal conflicts of the Confederacy. Such topics are faithfully and intelligently presented in A Diary from Dixie, Mrs. Virginia Clay, wife of a senator from Alabama and a typical society matron of the old regime, provides in A Belle of the Fifties an excellent picture of social Washington in the sultry decade preceding the outbreak of war. The story is continued through the period of strife and into Reconstruction, and the latter parts are an interesting shronicle of the hardships experienced by the planter class. Another diarist who possessed unusual political understanding was Eliza Frances Andrews, the strong-minded daughter of Judge Garnett Andrews of Georgia. Judge Andrews had opposed secession with might and main and held aloof from the Confederacy, but this did not prevent his family from joining enthusiastically the struggle for Southern independence. Her <u>War-time</u> Journal of a Georgia Girl is a little masterpiece of realistic reporting. In the closing days of 1864 she performed a journey across Sherman's track with a motley band of refugees, and her descriptions of ruined Georgia are unexcelled.

When one turns to the more specialized accounts, he finds an impressive variety. The earliest of these to reach print was the sensational <u>Belle Boyd in Camp and</u>

Prison, brought out in London in 1865 with an introduction by "A Friend of the South," who has since been identified as George Augustus Sala. Though none too reliable and without great merit as a narrative. it relates one of the dramatic careers of the war. An entirely different kind of record is contained in Phoebe Yates Pember's A Southern Youan's Story. Krs. Pember, believing that the women of the South had been the principal factor in inciting the men to rebellion, made the honorable decision to shoulder her part of the fight. Though reared to a life of ease and refinement, she took over a division of the great Chimborazo Hospital in Richmond, then suffering under the curse of inefficiency which blighted so much Southern enterprise behind the lines. Her administration of this unit makes a small epic of ingenuity, courage, and tenacity. A modest but highly revealing account of how the Southern people contrived to exist in their starved economy is given by Parthenia Antoinette Hague in A Blockaded Family. For the entire four years she lived on a plantation in southern Alabama, where she assisted in the thousand and one expedients which were devised to meet the exigencies growing out of the blackade. It is an amazing story of resourcefulness, in which the little plantation community manages to produce everything from shoes and clothing to such medicinal supplies as castor oil and oplum. No survey would

be indicative of the range of this writing without mention of Cornelia Phillips Spencer's brilliant <u>The Last Ninety</u> <u>Days of the War in North Carolina</u>. Mrs. Spencer was the New York-born daughter of a Presbyterian minister who became professor of mathematics in the University of North Carolina. A woman of uncommon mental powers, she wrote <u>The</u> <u>Last Minety Days</u> with the object of furnishing a careful, patient, and reasoned indictment of those excesses which made the American Civil War one of the most barbarous of modern wars. First serialized in the New York <u>Watchman</u> as a series of papers, the work was so well received that it was later brought out in book form. Northern birth and a superior education gave Mrs. Spencer a quality of detachment which lent weight to her case.

These are examples of the people who, early or late, left their impressions of the great social and political transformation. Naturally, methods of composition differed, and a few of the works here considered did not reach print until the second decade of the following century; but all stem from the period, and all reflect the mentality of war and Reconstruction. Some writers kept records from day to day and sought immediate publication; some laid their manuscripts away in trunks and forgot them until dispute over an event of the war or the interest of their friends demanded a resurrection; and not a few distated from memory

in their old age and left the final preparation to editors.

1. The Northern Aggressor

Although they left behind a rich mine for the historian of social attitudes, the writers of diaries and memoirs were not very voluble on the topic of the origin of the war. About the wast thirty-year debate which led up to the invoking of the principle of secession they had less to say than the soldiers and infinitely less than the politicians. It becomes apparent, however, that most of them viewed the North as the aggressor. Mrs. Clay and T. C. De Leon subscribed to the traditional Southern belief that the war was initiated by a North inspired with envy. The former, who knew Washington well at the period in which the storm was brewing, formed this estimate of the situation: "There was, on the part of the North, a palpable envy of the hold the South had maintained so long upon the Federal city, whether in politics or society, and the resolution to quell us, by physical force, was everywhere obvious." It was no accident that this hostility was strongest in the West,

Virginia Clay-Clopton, <u>A Belle of the Fifties</u> (New York, 1905), p. 143.

where democracy was most complete, and it is an interesting commentary that this section furnished the best soldiery and the most successful leaders to the Union. "Our physical prosperity, no less than the social security we enjoyed," she continued frankly, "had caused us to become objects of envy to the rough elements of the new settlements, especially of the North-west." In this point Mrs. Clay had the consurrence of Mrs. Roger Pryor, another society matron of the old regime, who recalled that during the administration of President Buchanan Southern women, with their "natural and acquired graces," made their sisters from the North 2 and West feel awkward and embarrassed.

De Leon saw two distinct peoples, each jealous of the mastery. Writing in <u>Belles</u>, <u>Beaux</u>, <u>and Brains of the</u> <u>Sixties</u>, a product of his old age, he declared that the <u>North and the South had been "two peoples as dissimilar in</u> thought and feeling, in habit and in need, as were the <u>Saxons and the knights of the descent of Rollo the Norman."</u> He thought that when the reasons for the war had been sifted, this would be found the decisive one. The North

¹¹bid., pp. 149-150.

²Mrs. Roger Pryor, <u>Reminiscences</u> of <u>Peace</u> and <u>War</u> (New York, 1905), pp. 81-82.

³T. C. De Leon, <u>Belles</u>, <u>Beaux</u>, <u>and Brains of the</u> <u>Sixties</u> (New York, 1909), p. 17.

and the South, living under a single government, had ceased to understand each other, and the only way to understanding was "by arbitrament of blood."

George Cary Eggleston, the Virginia-born Hoosier. tried to explain to his abolitionist friends why the Southerners had fought so stubbornly in their "wicked and causeless rebellion," as it was sometimes termed. His argument rested on the simple principle that patriotism may be construed in more than one way. More explicitly, this means that patriotism is loyalty to your own, but what constitutes your own is precisely the question that had been left open by the Founding Fathers. Southerners, acting in response to their needs and principles, had taught the doctrine of local sovereignty. Primary allegiance was owed to the state, and when the state severed relations with an outside authority. the citizens were without further choice in the matter. This may appear like a problem in casuistry but no more so than the one posed by the American Revolution, when the colonial patriots, in the language of Webster, "went to war against a preamble," and "fought seven years against a declaration." And so

¹<u>Ib1d.</u>, p. 18.

²George Cary Eggleston, <u>A Rebel's Recollections</u> (New York, 1905), p. 5.

the Virginians, without whose valor and military talent the struggle would have been short-lived,

> made war upon a catchword, and fought until they were hopelessly ruined for the sake of an abstraction. And certainly history will not find it to the discredit of those people that they freely offered themselves upon the altar of an abstract principle of right in a war which they knew must work hopeless ruin to themselves, whatever its other results might be. 1

From the time of the famous Resolutions of '98, in which unconditional submission to the central government was repudiated. Virginia had been a foremost champion of local severeignty. Now events placed her in a dilemma in which she must either oppose the armed strength of the central government or surrender what she believed to be a fundamental principle of the Union. On the one hand was policy, on the other principle, and Virginians, being "brave men and honorable drives," did not have to deliberate between the 2

2. Southern Leadership

The Civil War epoch marks the beginning of a decline in that pride which the South had always taken in its

> 1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 6. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 17.

political leaders. The soldiers believed implicity in themselves and seldom questioned the competence of their military superiors. But the diaries of civilians are conspicuously lacking in enthusiasm for government servants. high and low. It was not that corruption of the old ideal had set in: honor was there in full measure, and so were courage and fortitude, but in these were offset by an absurd insularity of outlook, a preoccupation with political unrealities, and a great deal of personal friction, which was and still is part of the price the South pays for producing strong personalities. The cause was great, but not all of the people were worthy of it. It is an oft-repeated statement that the thin gray line which held the Confederate fronts for four arduous years was not matched in quality by the men behind who were charged with the conduct of main policies of state. The natural strength of the Confederacy. which was at the beginning but a fraction of that of its adversary, was continually sapped by ineptitude and inefficiency. Most Southerners were willing to admit that they did not equal the Yankees in efficiency, but this

¹Thomas Jordan and J. P. Pryor wrote their <u>The</u> <u>Campaigns of Lieut.-Gen. N. B. Forrest</u> (New Orleans, 1868, p. viii) to show both "the splended martial qualities" of the Southern people and "the constant mismanagement of their defensive resources."

shortcoming had not been especially deplored. One must continually keep in mind that the Southern world had its own set of values, and that efficiency, like thrift, caution, and diligence, was not in the list of upper-class virtues. Only when it became plain, as it did in the course of the war, that inefficiency was a luxury which must be paid for in pains and in failure, was there serious impatience with it. Later, the more far-sighted Southerners were to hope that Reconstruction, with its discipline of poverty. would root out this expensive habit. But at the beginning of the war it was not fully appreciated that courage and determination could not accomplish everything. The result was that from the councils of state in Montgomery and Richmond to the field hospitals and the commisariats of the armies there prevailed a toleration of non-essentials and a lack of expedience which amaze the modern reader.

The Confederate Congress especially filled critical observers with dismay. Years in Washington had given T. C. De Leon a poor opinion of popular democracy, but when he reached Montgomery he found that new skies did not make new men, and the House of Representatives there looked to him like "the Washington Congress, viewed through a reversed opera-glass." Instead of devoting itself

1T. C. De Leon, Four Years in Rebel Capitals, p. 31.

immediately and unremittingly to the creation of an army which should guarantee Southern independence, it listened to "windy dissertations on the color of the flag," to debates over the establishment of a patent office, and to 1 speeches with no particular point at all. In the Confederate Cabinet it was the same old story of self-conceit and insularity of mind. De Leon observed in Robert Toombs, one of its more brilliant members, a "hyper-Southern 2 underjudging of the men opposed to him in the North."

On the progress down he had noted the complete lack of preparation, and the bewilderment of a people waiting to be told what to do. He remarked with some uncasiness that the intelligence of the average Southern rustic was not high, and that it seemed to drop lower as one moved straight south, whether because of the depressing effect of pine barrens, or to "recurring agues." It was his elear impression that the fate of these people in a contest which was to determine their welfare for generations was in the hands of old party hacks, who had no idea of the perils of the situation, much less of specific things needing to be done.

1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 32.

²De Leon, <u>Belles</u>, <u>Beaux</u>, <u>and Brains of the Sixties</u>, p. 83. ³De Leon, <u>Four Years in Rebel Capitals</u>, p. 22.

J. B. Jones, who spent four years close to the center of things in Richmond, errived at the same estimate of Southern political leaders. "The greatest statesmen in the South," he wrote, "have no conception of the real purpose of the men now in power in the United States." When on April 22, 1861, he called on Governor Wise of Virginia and told him that the 75,000 men Lincoln had summoned would be but the outpost of a host of 750,000, he was pooh-poohed. In the Rebel War Clerk's Diary under the date of November 14, 1862, he set down his impression in this striking figure: "Never before did such little men rule such a great people. Our rulers are like children or drunken men riding docile horses, that absolutely keep the riders from falling off by swaying to the right and left, and preserving an equilibrium." Mary Boykin Chesnut added her testimony to the overwhelming evidence that the people were far ahead of their leaders both in demanding secession and in readiness to organize for war. "The fire in the rear is hottest," she quotes someone as saying. "And yet people talk of the politicians leading! Every where that I have been the people have been complaining

> ¹J. B. Jones, <u>op. c1t.</u>, I, 26. ²<u>Ibid.</u>, I, 189.

bitterly of slow and lukewarm public leaders."

The feebleness of political leadership and the extraordinary incompetence at business management which so hampered the cause at home are open to several explanations, the most charitable of which was that all the brains and energy went into the army. Eggleston believed that Southerners of the better class esteemed service behind the lines dishonorable and so left it to those who would have it, with the result that not the smallest part of the Confederate's army's claim to distinction was its feat in keeping the field for four years with such a government and such a commissary. The Davis government did, he said.

> as nearly as possible, <u>all</u> the things which it ought not to have done, at the same time developing a really marvellous genius for leaving undone all those things which it ought to have done. The story of its incompetence and presumption, if it could be adequately told, would read like a romance. 2

The small men who were willing to remain behind to do routine work made the administrative organization "at once a wonder of complication and a marvel of inefficiency."

LMary Boykin Chesnut, <u>A Diary from Dixie</u> (New York,
1905), p. 2.
 2Eggleston, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>., p. 196.
 <u>3Ibid</u>., p. 199.

The general effect was that in Richmond "everything was done by rule except those things to which system of some sort would have been of advantage, and they were left at I loose ends."

Evidence that the same kind of gross mismanagement prevailed in the medical service is not lacking. Dr. A. Monteiro, who served as surgeon of Mosby's Command, could scarcely find words to express his indignation over "the thoroughly organized hell of the medical department of the Confederate States army." In this branch "no surgeon was ever promoted, or even respected, if he was not both stupid and despotic." He said in summary: "To the reader unacquainted with medico-military matters, it would be extremely difficult to convey in language the aggregate stupidity attending the cruel meanderings of the medical department of the army. From the cerebrum to the causal appendix of this department, individual egotism and general imbecility prevailed."

Persons acquainted with the transactions of the War Department frequently gave way to expressions of

²A. Monteiro, <u>War Reminiscences by the Surgeon of</u> <u>Moeby's Command</u> (^Richmond, 1890), p. 184. ³Ibid., p. 23. ⁴Ibid., p. 26.

¹Ibid., p. 209.

helpless examperation. There was a great deal of dissatisfaction over the conferring of commissions, and the Department seems to have been blind to the value of promoting men on the field for exhibitions of extraordinary valor. "Joe Davis, Jr., said, 'Would Heaven would send us a Napoleon!' Mrs. Chesnut reported. 'Not one bit of use. If Heaven did, Walker would not give him a commission.""

The men engaged in administration were not only lacking competence; they were also inclined to be very sensitive about the prerogatives attached to their positions. Time and energy which might have gone into the war effort were spent in observing forms, or worse still, in settling quarrels which arose out of offenses to foolish pride. For example, nothing could better illustrate the kind of friction that proceeds from an intense individualism than the following incident related in <u>A Rebel War Clerk's</u> <u>Diary</u>. Albert Taylor Bledsoe, who later became the most successful Confederate apologist, worked at a desk near the door of the Secretary of War. When one day General Walker of Georgia came in to see the Secretary, the following dialogue ensued:

Mary Boykin Cheenut, op. cit., p. 86.

- "Is the Secretary in?" (with a stare) "I don't know." Col.
- Gen. W. (returning the stare) "Could you ascertain for me? I have important business with him and am here by appointment."
- Col. B. "You can ascertain for yourself. I am not his doorkeeper. There is his door."
- Gen. W. (after a moment's reflection) "I asked you a civil question in a courteous manner. and have not deserved this harshness. and will not submit to it."
- Gel. B. "It is not courteous to presume I am acting in the capacity of messenger or door-keeper." 1

Only diplomatic intervention by the Secretary himself prevented this absurd exchange from growing into a duel.

The aristocratic Mary Boykin Chesnut saw enough of such self-pluming and petty dignity to become disgusted. She noted in her Diary from Dixie: "I have come to detest a man who says, 'My own personal dignity and self-respect require.' I long to say, 'No need to respect yourself until you can make others do it. ""

The same author was too sharp an observer to overlook the beginning of a process which was to become of great consequence in Southern history, and which even then

¹J. B. Jones, <u>op</u>. <u>c1t</u>., I, 61.

²Mary Boykin Chesnut, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 85. Robert Stiles (op. cit., p. 163) expressed his amazement that any officer should accept a command accompanied by such a letter as Lincoln addressed to General Hooker in January, 1863. Yet such willingness to suppress personal feeling is requisite to the highest degree of organization.

was making its harmful influence felt. This was the gradual loss of initiative and active-mindedness on the part of the old ruling class. "This race has brains enough," she wrote under the date of June 5, 1862.

> but they are not active-minded like those old Revolutionary characters, the Middletons, Lowndeses, Autledges, Marions, Sumters. They have come direct from active-minded forefathers, or they would not have been here, but, with two or three generations of gentleman planters, how changed has the blood become. Of late, all the active-minded men who have sprung to the front in our government were immediate descendants of Scotch, or Scotch-Irish -Galhoun, McDuffie, Cheves, and Pettigru, who Huguenotted his name, but could not tie up his Irish. Our planters are nice fellows, but slow to move; impulsive, but hard to keep moving. They are wonderful for a spurt, but with all their strength, they like to rest. 1

The Yankees, she admitted, have double their energy and enterprise, but "Wait a while. Let them alone until climate and mosquitoes and sand-flies and dealing with the negroes 2 takes it all out of them."

Further light on this influence is thrown by Constance Cary, who as a pupil at M. Lefevre's Boarding School in Richmond had enjoyed an opportunity of knowing daughters from the great plantations of the Deep South, where slavery was the basic institution of social and

> ¹<u>Ibid.</u> p. 175. 2<u>Ibid.</u> p. 181.

economic life. From what she saw she concluded that

the surrounding slave service was inspiring neither to the energy of the body or the independence of ideas which I had been taught to consider indispensable.... Many of these pretty languid creatures from the far Southern States had never put on a shoe or a stocking for themselves, and the point of view about owning and chastening fellow beings who might chance to offend them was abhorrent to me. 1

3. The Class System

Notwithstanding these failures at the top, the Southern class system held up with surprising stubbornness. Politicians might be blind to opportunity, and "general imbecility" might frustrate the action of departments, but the Southern aristocracy as a whole did not prove itself inferior in the ordeal of war, and herein lies a secret of Southern social organization after Appomattox. One of the objects of Northern propaganda had been to drive a wedge between the upper class and the plain people of the South by stigmatizing the war as a slave-holders' rebellion. But the social order; it produced a closer alliance of classes, but at the same time it vindicated that element

¹Constance Cary, <u>Recollections Grave and Gay</u> (New York, 1911), p. 42.

which had always regarded itself as the top stratum - a result precisely opposite that intended by the enemy. The upper-class Southerner, that is to say, the man who owned some property and perhaps a few slaves and who had at least a fair education, showed himself a leader in the field. He showed it by fighting better, by complaining less, and by staying alive longer than the others. Naturally this had the effect of guaranteeing his position at the head of society for a long time to come. Our best source of information on this point is T. C. De Leon; a social batterfly himself, he was eager to see how the gay blades who were his erstwhile companions would conduct themselves in the trials of war. He summed up his finding as follows:

> When I say that in every Confederate camp, the best soldiers of that winter were "crack companies" of the gay youths of cities, I only scho the verdict of old and tried officers.... A strange fact of these companies was often stated by surgeons of perfect reliability: their sick reports were smaller than those of the hardiest mountain organizations. This they attributed to two causes: greater attention to personal cleanliness and to all hygienic precautions; and the exercise of better trained minds and wills in keeping them free from the deadly "blue devils." 1

Gentleman companies, he reported, fought only as gentlemen can, and he came to the conclusion that "the man who tells us that blood has little effect must have read history to

17. C. De Leon, Four Years in Rebel Capitals, p. 142.

very little purpose; or have looked very carelessly into the glass that Nature hourly holds up to his view." Such soldiers as these, "scattered among the grosser material of the army," gave it the true mettle.

During her service in the great Chimborazo Hospital in Richmond, Phoebe Pember noted some facts about the Confederate common soldier which she interpreted in terms of class origin. A hospital ward was a point of vantage from which to study the basic material of the Confederate araies; the gray jacket was an inspiring figure when charging an open battery; but on closer inspection he was likely to prove long-haired, dirty, tobacco-stained, and ignorant. She found in many of the rank and file an invincible provincialism, which kept them from making even the simplest adaptations. Frequently a soldier would reject a bowl of tastefully prepared soup because "My mammy's soup was not like that," and could never be brought to see why hospital fare was not exactly what he had been accustomed to at home. The average common soldier was, according to Mrs. Pember, a strange ingrate, who accepted the service rendered him without a word of appreciation. She considered this a reflection on breeding, that all-important

1<u>Tb1d.</u>, p. 109.

quality in the South,

The mass of patients were uneducated men, who had lived by the sweat of their brow, and gratitude is an exotic plant, reared in a refined atmosphere, kept free from coarse contact and neurished by unselfishness. Common natures look only with surprise on great sacrifices and cunningly avail themselves of the benefits they bestow - but give nothing in return - not even allowing the giver to feel that the care bestowed has been beneficial. That might entail compensation of some kind, and in their ignorance they fear the nature of the equivalent which might be demanded. 1

A lady told Mary Boykin Chesnut that "the better born, that is, those born in the purple, the gentry" made the best hospital patients. "'They are hardier, stronger, tougher, less liable to break down than the sons of the seil.' Why is that? I asked, and she answered, 'Something 2 in man that is more than the body.'"

That the man who came out of the Southern backwoods to fight against Yankees was not laden with the virtues which make a polished society must be admitted without argument. He had lived close to the soil in a region by no means wholly rescued from the wilderness, and his tutelage had been confined to the rudimentary type which prepares one to struggle against the elements rather than

¹Phoebe Yates Pember, <u>A Southern Woman's Story</u> (New York, 1879), p. 36. ²Mary Boykin Chesnut, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 182.

to contend for place in a highly organized society. Hawthorne, viewing some Confederate prisoners during his visit to Washington in 1862 was struck by what he considered their brutishness, and to be sure there was no dearth of primitive humanity in the South. But it is an interesting historical phenomenon that the lowest types were willing to make a united front with the comparatively highly cultivated seaboard aristocrat in defense of what reduces itself to a sentiment. Stories illustrating how the diverse classes came to know and appreciate one another are to be encountered everywhere. Cornelia Phillips Spencer, who wrote of all these matters with a rare degree of objectivity, counted this one of the few benefits which North Carolina got out of the fiery trial. "It has brought all classes nearer to each other," she wrote. "The rich and the poor set together. A common cause became a common bond of sympathy and kind feeling. Charity was more freely dispensed, and pride of station was forgotten." As a matter of fact, there had never been a real breach between classes of white people in the South, and the war actually served to strengthen a mutual esteem. John S.

INathaniel Hawthorne, op. cit., XII, 331-332. ²Cornelia Phillips Spencer, The Last Ninety Days of the War in North Carolins (New York, 1866), p. 264. Wise observed the easy fusion of rich and poor in Virginia: "the two stood up together side by side, and fought and alept and died together, - never thinking which was rich and which was poor, until a time when such as survived were all poor together." This circumstance is the precursor of the Solid South.

There is room for surmise regarding the power of an articulated society, such as George Fitzhugh had defended in <u>Society for the South</u>, to withstand shock. A classless society tends to be invertebrate. The very structure of a class society, on the other hand, if it is not so rigid that it prevents the ever-essential recruiting from lower orders, constitutes an element of strength. This process had been continuous all over the South, and it had not entered the head of the Southern yeoman that he was a man of no consideration. General Hooker in his testimony before the Committee on Conduct of the War asserted that although he believed his army to be superior to Lee's in intelligence, in physique, and in equipment, it was never able to equal the enemy in discipline "for reasons not necessary to mention." Most studente have agreed that

1 John S. Wise, op. cit., p. 141.

²Report of the Joint Committee on the Conduct of the War (Washington, 1865), Part I, p. 113.

back of the Genfederate soldier's respect for his leaders lay the Southern social system. Unless this type of seciety is eaten internally by corruption, or weakened by a long history of class oppression, a superior loyalty may infuse and bind the whole. People feel kindly toward a community where each has a station in which he is respectl ed, and where the leaders are men of character and principle rather than popularity seekers and panderers. Deterioration sets in when distrust, selfishness, and the cult of envy destroy confidence in the value of a collective effort. A people who have come to believe that there are no rational grounds for superiority, that ideals are illusions and self-sacrifice only foolishness are morally sick. These conditions prepared France for her destruction in 1940.

It can scarcely be doubted, furthermore, that a fierce attachment to the ideal of a class society lay behind the enthusiasm which Southern women showed for the cause. Every Southern woman was a citadel of the Confederacy; she was the first to want independence of the North and the last to admit failure, and the chronicle of

¹Frances Butler Leigh (op. cit., pp. 61-62) told a Northerner who expressed surprise over the loyalty of her family's slaves: "Yes, this is a relationship you Northern people can't understand and will soon destroy."

her war effort comes out in many a small incident of hereism and many an appalling sacrifice. These women were born into the last society, with the possible exception of Imperial Germany, in which soldters and politicians were the true leaders, and perhaps they sensed by intuition the coming of the age of tired and unromantic businessmen. The Southern gentleman of the old school possessed every quality which women are supposed to admire in men: bravery. generosity, personal aplomb, and a gift for large talk. If we contrast these men with the Babbitts of a later era. whom Vachel Lindsay has caustically described," with their neat little safety vault boxes, with their faces like geese and like foxes," we need not wait to discover to which the feminine preference will fall, more especially if the women have been reared in a country where romance is breathed in with the air. Add to this the innate feminine belief in social distinctions, and one has the explanation of why the Southern woman felt that the society then being threatened by Northern industrialism and political equalitarianism was just the kind of society to satisfy her primary longings. It should be recognized as a truth that romance and efficiency are hostile to such a degree that they can never dwell together; one survives at the expense of the other, so that a choice between them has to be made. The French Revolution, with its elevation of the bourgeois,

Lactar A ... BS

was coming to fruition in the North, and the virtues traditionally associated with the Yankee are those of the unremantic middle class. They are thrift, sobriety. patience, and the kind of plodding industry which creates beurgeois security. The revolt of the Southern women. therefore, may be seen as an instinctive initial rebellion against the impending business civilization, which by starving romantic impulses sent two generations of American women raking over the ruins of Europe to make up the defisiency, and which, when the whole story is told, may be identified as one of the roots of the fascist movement.

In the American Civil War one finds Southern women from the very eve of conflict filled with the most ardent spirit. Sallie Putnam declared in her Richmond During the Mar that long before the secession convention of Virginia nearly every woman in the city had in her possession a Confederate flag. Mrs. Roger Pryor relates how Virginia girls refused to become engaged until their lovers had George Wary Eggleston, who was critical fought the Yankees. of many things in the Confederacy, devotes a chapter to unsparing praise of the way in which Southern women sustained

¹Sallie Putnam, Richmond During the War (Richmond, 1867), p. 22. 2Mrs. Roger Pryor, op. cit., p. 129.

their country. He tells how "with their woman-natures they gave themselves wholly to the cause, and having loved it heartily when it gave promise of sturdy life, they almost worship it now that they have strewn its bler with funeral There are numerous anecdotes in A Rebel's flowers.* Recollections to indicate the bitterness of their hostility. One young lady, finding herself the involuntary hostess of a Federal officer, severed the strings of her plano with a hatchet when he sat down to entertain himself with music. "That's my plano, and it shall not give you a minute's pleasure," was the angry explanation. Another destroyed her library because that was the only way she could prevent a general officer billeted near her home from enjoying the books each morning.

If women had been in charge of the direction of the war, perhaps Lee would have gone into the mountains in 1865, there to prolong resistance indefinitely, for they were more violent in sentiment than the men, and the more grievous their loss, the more stubbornly they identified themselves with the cause. The spirit of the women at the fall of New Orleans, for example, is described in the

> lGeorge Cary Eggleston, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 57. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 64. 3<u>Ibid</u>.

Journal of Julia Le Grand. Wild rumors, first of danger, then of depredation, flew about, but apparently only the female citizens remained undaunted. In the words of the Journal:

> Of course, the greatest confusion prevailed, and every hour, indeed almost every moment, brought its dreadful rumor. After it was known that the gunboats had actually passed, the whole city, both camp and street, was a scene of wild confusion. The women only did not seem afraid. They were all in favor of resistance, no matter how hopeless that resistance might be. 1

Kate Cummings was horrified by rumors that the ladies of Mobile had extended a warm welcome to the Yankees who entered upon the capitulation of that stronghold. But when she arrived she was relieved to find it looking like a city of the dead, with no sigh that the invader was being 2greeted. John S. Wise reports that some gay ladies in Richmond who were thoughtless enough to entertain Federal officers within a few days after the surrender were years in regaining their social standing.

On the whole the Southern social system proved itself a tough fabric. The success of its leaders in the field, the loyalty of the lower orders to their traditional

¹Julia Le Grand, <u>The Journal of Julia Le Grand</u> (Richmond, 1911), p. 40. ²Kate Cumming, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 198. ³John S. Wise, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 461. superiors, and the determination of the women that a society which took cognizance of rank and degree should not go down before the new impulse of popular control gave it strength during the war and left it vitality for the postbellum struggles.

4. The Scourge of the Invader

¹Phoebe Pember (<u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>., p. 105) felt compelled to remark upon the lack of vindictiveness shown by Confederate soldiers in discussing their opponents. Far from exploring the rights and wrongs of the conflict, the rank and file summed it up by saying, "They fit us, and we fit them." After the explosion of the Petersburg mine, however, she noticed a change of attitude, for this was condemned as "a mean trick" - a reappearance of the stubborn chivalric concept.

²Judith McGuire, Diary of a Southern Refugee (New York, 1867), p. 225.

but the majority of Southern women displayed a much more human reaction - first, astonishment that such procedures could occur in an enlightened age, and subsequently, moral indignation against the Yankee perpetrator.

A typical experience is that of Sara Morgan Dawson. a young girl who spent most of the war period in Baton Rouge. Miss Dawson began with a high estimate of Yankee kindness and restraint, only to have it shattered after action got under way in earnest. In August, 1862, General Breckinridge attacked the city and drove the Federal troops out of their camp to the protection of their gunboats, and at this juncture the era of good feeling ended. Miss Morgan had taken refuge across the river at "Westover," near enough to see the shells arch over the city and to hear the delayed report of cannon. Here she received what she believed to be a fantastic account of the sacking of her home, but a visit she was able to make a few days later revealed that nothing had been exaggerated. It was the familiar story of vandalism - swashed mirrors, split furniture, a ransacked library, with the more valuable household articles stolen. The following incident tells more about the plundering of the South than pages of generalized description:

> A young lady, passing by one of the billaged houses, expressed her surprise at seeing an armoir

full of women's and children's clothes being emptied, and the contents tied up in sheets. "What can you do with such things?" she asked a soldier who seemed more zealous than the rest. "Ain't I got a wife and four children in the North?" was the answer. 1

After the sack of Baton Rouge her descent to hardship was rapid. Having "never before lived in a house without a balcony," she found herself an exile from home, barefoot, sleeping on the floor, and eating, perforce, with her fingers.

The finest document of all those written among the ruine is Eliza Frances Andrews' <u>War-time Journal of a</u> <u>Georgia Girl</u>. Late in 1864 Miss Andrews, in company with her sister, performed a sixty-five-mile journey from Camaok Station to Macon, an odyssey which, in its trials and interruptions, parallels the flight of Scarlett O'Hara from Atlanta to Tara Plantation. Her fellow travellers were an unhappy crowd of refugees, Confederate officers on leave, wounded soldiers, and nondescripts. Miss Andrews was an exceptionally unsentimental young woman, and she kept her syse and ears open for the significant occurrences. A bit of dialogue as the procession moves past gaunt chimneys

¹Sara Morgan Dawson, <u>A Confederate Girl's Diary</u> (Boston and New York, 1913), p. 209. ²Ibid., p. 206. party

with awful tales about the things Sherman's robbers had done; it made my blood boil to hear them, and when the captain asked him if some of the rascals didn't get caught themselves sometimes - stragglers and the like - he answered with a wink that said more than words.

"Yes, our folks took lots of prisoners; more'n 'll ever be heard of agin."

"What became of them?" I asked the lieutenant. "Sent 'em to Macon, double quick," was the laconic reply. "Got 'em thar in less'n half an hour."

"How did they manage it?" continued the lieutenant in a tone which showed he understood Sam's metaphor.

"Just took 'em out in the woods and <u>lost</u> 'em," he replied in his laconic way. "Ever heerd o' losin' men, lady?" he added, turning to me, with an air of grim waggery that made my flesh orsep - for after all, even Yankees are human beings, though they don't always behave like it.

"Yes," I said, "I had heard of it but thought it a horrible thing."

"I don't believe in losin' 'em either, as a gener'l thing," he went on. "I don't think it's right principul, and I wouldn't <u>lose</u> one myself, but when I see what they have done to these people around here, I can't blame 'em for <u>losin</u>' every devil of 'em they can git their hands on." 1

Arrived in Macon, she witnessed the wild scenes

of the evacuation: "All of the intoxicating liquors which could be found in the stores, warehouses, and barrooms had been seized by the authorities and emptied on the ground. In some places the streets smelt like a distillery, and I

LEliza Frances Andrews, op. cit., pp. 30-31.

saw men, boys, and negroes down on their knees lapping it up from the gutter like dogs. Little children were staggering around in a state of beastly intoxication."

By late April she was at her father's home in Washington, Ga., and here begins the affecting part of the story. Washington, in the northeastern part of the state, was on the arterial route which disbanded Confederate soldiers took moving south and west, and through it passed one of the saddest processions in history. Moods were veried: some were reckless and devil-may-care; some sullen: some hopeless and even in tears; some buoyed up with false expectations of a renewed fight in the Trans-Mississippi Department: but all knew that their country was ruined and that they faced difficult and uncertain futures. Nor was it clear yet that there would not be some savage proseription with blood shed on the scaffold to make enmity permanent. For a whole month the Andrews family watched the throng file past, first Lee's men and then Johnston's, and fed them what they could from their meager larder of ham and cornfield peas.

Mary Boykin Chesnut, who had been bred to a 2 delightful life "a la Caroline du Sud," was in the path

> 1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 154. ²Mary Boykin Chesnut, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 26.

of Shenman's destructive march, and her spirit almost broke under the daily report of atrocities. In the summer of 1865 she could write only: "No words of mine can tell how 1 unhappy I am."

An entirely different approach to the problem of total war as it was posed by General Sherman for the South and for the world is found in Cornelia Phillips Spencer's The Last Minety Days of the War in North Carolins, a work which, in its firm and intelligent handling of the subject. is not exceeded by anything else of the period. Mrs. Spencer adopted the ingenious device of contrasting the procedures of General Sherman with those of Lord Cornwallis, who seventy years previously had been in the same region and on the identical mission of suppressing a "rebellion." What follows makes sad reading for those who might imagine that eight decades of freedom and of native American idealism would elevate the character of Americans and separate them from the bloody past of Europe. It is the English lord who bows politely to ladies, preserves discipline and protects property; it is the American general

<u>Ibid.</u>, 404. It was Thomas Dabney of Burleigh Plantation in Mississippi who heroically declared that Sherman, despite his boast "shall never bring my daughters to the wash-tub." Though seventy years of age, he did the family washing for two years (Susan Dabney Smedes, <u>Memorials</u> of a Southern Planter, (New York, 1900), p. 234.

who acts as the mailed fist of a European tyrant orushing a peasants' uprising. The order-book of Lord Cornwallis. which Mrs. Spencer had before her as she wrote, is filled with instructions to his officers for "preventing the oppressed people from suffering violence by the hands from whom they ought to look for protection." From his headquarters at Dobbin's House, February 17, 1781, the British commander had written: "Any officer who looks on with indifference, and does not do his utmost to prevent shameful marauding, will be considered in a more criminal light than the persons who commit these scandalous orimes, which will bring disgrace and ruin on his Majesty's service." To the drama of this contrast the author adds extracts from Kent's Commentaries on International Law, and from International Law and Laws of War, by Halleck, Sherman's erstwhile chief-of-staff, to prove that ravaging is not approved by either law of policy. It is an old story that blows are never dealt by measure, and there is something futile in the spectacle of the beaten party pleading for the respect of abstract rights; yet, as she pointed out, there was a valid moral lesson to be drawn by the North from the result of its own excesses. Conduct of this kind does

> lCornelia Phillips Spencer, <u>op</u>. <u>cit.</u>, p. 35. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 36-37.

met improve an army, but rather demoralizes it and lowers its efficiency. Mrs. Spencer pointed out that "When plunder is to be had, lawless and unrestrained men care little whether it belongs to friend or foe; and that lust, once aroused and let loose, cannot distinguish and is amenable 1 to no laws." In proof of this many contemporary witnesses have testified that once the policy of plunder had been instituted, the blacks suffered as much maltreatment as the whites, the soldiery being as ready to snatch the 2 silver watch of the slave as the gold one of his master, and, particularly if they were from the Western states, more likely to visit the former with physical violence.

This is a terrible chapter to record in the history of any nation, and the deep psychological wounds it left postponed reconciliation indefinitely. If the distribution of guilt had been clear, the justification of severity might have been allowed, but when the guilt was evenly apportioned, as it was between North and South, such

¹Ibid., pp. 211-212.

During the slege of Vicksburg, a party of Grant's soldiers, who looked "like the dregs of some city," stopped at "Burleigh," the plantation of Thomas Dabney. They took all the money from every negro on the plantation. "Uncle Isaac had buried eighty dollars in gold, - the savings of years. This he was made to unearth. He had lately bought a new silver watch, for which he had paid forty dollars. This was taken from him" (Susan Dabney Smedes, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 210).

sutrages seen only a regrettable extreme produced by the psychopathic mind of war.

5. The Negroes in Transition

While these great events were in progress, the alien race, which then numbered about four millions in the South, kept its accustomed place, excepting those who through contact with Yankee armies were won away from adherence to "massa" and "ol' mistis." They tilled the fields, did the household chores, and performed the special tasks demanded by the exigencies of the situation. The substantial fact is that the negroes went through the war and well into Reconstruction in a dense ignorance of what, beyond the scope of their actual observation was going on. They knew that things were in a turmoil, that their masters were coping with stringencies and hardships hitherto unknown, and that some people called Yankees were coming down as inveders and depredators. Some of them sensed in the air that one of the questions being decided was whether they should be bond or free, but even after this came to be pretty fully appreciated, the response to it was mixed Romance, and sentiment need not conceal the fact that once the land was filled with bluecoats, many a slave, and among them some bearing reputations for special loyalty, bolted the old homestead to taste the intoxicant of freedom; one whe considered his lot happy, who could see no profit in changing his status, and who stuck by his white folks in the time when disloyalty would have meant to them the 2 difference between starvation and survival. Mary A. Gay tells an amusing story of fidelity on the part of "King," an old family servant. "King" had made the novel request that his mistress sell him to a Mr. Johnson, and when asked why he wanted to change owners, he said:

> When this war is over, none of us are going to belong to you. We'll all be free, and I would a great deal rather Mr. Johnson would lose me than you. He is always bragging about what he will do; hear him talk, you would think he is a bigger man than Mr. Lincoln is, and had more to back him, but I think he's a mighty little man myeelf, and I want him to lose me. He says he'll give you his little old store on Peachtree street for me. It don't seem much, I know, but much or little, it's going to be more than me after the war.

¹See Frances Butler Leigh, <u>op. cit.</u>, pp. 21-22.

²After the burning of Columbia by Sherman, the family of Joseph Le Conte ('<u>Ware Sherman</u>, Berkley, 1937, p. xv), who later became a world-renowned geologist, was kept from starvation by the foraging of his negroes; and in northern Jeorgia in the spring of 1865 the family of Eliza Andrews (ep. cit., p. 286) subsisted partly off the earnings of "Uncle Osborne." A corponter by trade, he took his wage in provisions and turned them into the family larder.

Mrs. Irby Morgan (<u>How it Was</u> [Nashville, 1892], p. 90) could not recall a single act of negro lawlessness iuring the war; but on the other hand remembered many exhibitions of sincere devotion. "When news would come that in old or young master had been killed, they would weep with the family pure tears of affection." This affair reached a peak of quixotism when Mrs. Say declined by saying,

> when our people became convinced that the troubles between the South and the North had to be settled by the sword, that she, in common with all good citizens, staked her all upon the issues of the war, and that she would not now, like a coward, filee from them, or seek to avert them by selling a man, or men and women who had endeared themselves to her by service and fidelity. 1

Frances Butler Leigh records the fidelity of "Uncle John and Mum Peggy," who, having sold some chickens from the plantation to a Yankee captain near the beginning of the war, carefully hoarded the money for four years until 2 they could give it to the rightful owner.

The negro was an exceedingly pliable being, and his conduct, both in its virtuous aspects and in its vagaries, can nearly always be correlated with immediate influences. If the influence was good, he was likely to remain the ideal of a devoted subordinate; if temptations fell in his way, he usually had little with which to withstand them. When Joseph Le Conte reflected on the aid rendered him by his slaves in his hazardous flight before Sherman's reiders, he paused to express gratitude: "I must

¹Mary A. Gay, <u>Life in Dixle During the War</u> (Atlanta, 1894), pp. 90-92. ²Frances Butler Leigh, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 23. not miss the oppertunity of paying tribute to the blacks. Closest association doesn't destroy their sincere homage to the white gentleman, an homage only equalled by the oldl time homage to the nobility."

A reading of the diaries and memoirs of the period leaves one assured that the idea of enfranchising the negroes was exclusively a Northern notion. Not one white person in a thousand, not even those most generously disposed, who wanted to see the blacks begin life with advantages, were willing to grant that the freedmen were ready for participation in government. The Northern conception that the negro was merely a sunburned white man, "whose only erime was the color of his skin," found no converts at all among the people who had lived and worked with him. They viewed him as an African and a primitive, carrying with him a heavy weight of those impulses which it is the work of civilization to remove or subdue.

It was an almost universal belief, therefore, that if the negro were turned out on his own, he would soon relapse into savagery. Chief among the grounds for this was his addiction to heathen religious practices. One of

1 Joseph Le Conte, op. cit., p. 59.

The most frequently encountered Southern justifications of elavery was that it gave the negroes opportunity to become Christians. There can be no question of the earnestness of this argument, though an age which regards all religion with mild disdain may find it fantastical. But the Christian planters who undertook to bring the Gospel to their negroes found what many a missionary to the Dark Continent has found, that there was a stronger tendency for Christianity to become Africanized than for the African to become Christianized. Mrs. Virginia Clay, writing of Senator Hammond's Redcliffe Plantation in 1864 told something of the story:

> Senator Hammond's views for the civilizing of the negroes led him to forbit the oresence of exciting negro preachers, for the religion of the black man, left to himself, is generally a mixture of hysteria and superstition. The conversion of the negroes under their own spiritual guides was a blood-curdling process in those days, for they screamed to Heaven as if the Indians with their tomahawks were after them, or danced, twisting their bodies in a most remarkable manner. 1

Distrubing reports of negro voodooism now and then crept in. Myrta Avary states that "trance meetings" and "devil dances" became numerous in the first years of emancipation. "It was as if a force long repressed broke forth.

lvirginia Clay, op. cit., p. 219.

"Moans," 'shouts,' and 'trance meetings' could be heard for miles. It was weird. I have sat many a night in the window of our house on the big plantation and listened to the shouting, jumping, stamping, dancing, in a cabin over a mile distant; in the gray dawn, negroes would come creeping back, exhausted and unfit for duty." Frances Butler Leigh records that during the war negroes on a Georgia plantation tore down a church that had been built for them and set up as a goddess a negro woman whom they called "Jame Christ." Fairly early in the war Julia Le Grand was hearing reports of voodcoism in New Orleans. Tidings came that there was a secret society among the blacks called "vando." She wrote, "These people would be savages again if free."

By far the best account of what Reconstruction meant in terms of the planter's struggle to get on his feet again, to restore the plantation world once more to a harmonious community of agricultural laborers in spite of physical destruction, outside interference, and demoralization of the negroes is given in Frances Butler Leigh's Ten Years on a Georgia Plantation. In 1866 Miss

> 1Myrta Avary, <u>op</u>. <u>cit.</u>, p. 204. ²Frances Butler Leigh, <u>op</u>. <u>cit.</u>, p. 149. ³Julia Le Grand, <u>op</u>. <u>cit.</u>, p. 67.

Matter, who had spent the war period in the North, went with her father south to Butler's Island, off the coast of Georgia, to take charge of a rice plantation abandoned since the outbreak of hostilities. The state of affairs proved even worse than had been anticipated; the country hed undergone a "complete revolution," and "chaos" and "barbarism" were the terms she employed to describe what she found.

The physical condition of the plantation was discouraging, but the one great obstacle to transforming it again into a productive enterprise, an obstacle which was never really overcome in her ten years of diligent administration, was the unwillingness of the negroes to work regularly. The slaves on the Butler Island Plantation had always been treated well, and they showed great loyalty and affection, but such feeling did not translate itself into steady industry. One of her men asked Major D., a Nertherner, what was the use of being free if he had to 1 work harder than when he was a slave, and Miss Butler was forced to conclude that all the blacks really yearned for was plenty to eat and unlimited idleness. The Yankees who came into the region, declaring that, on the contrary,

IFrances Butler Leigh, op. cit., p. 55.

the negroes wanted only steady work and decent wages. msually lasted from two to six years and then gave up in despair - an example of failure caused by ignoring the Miss Butler and her father introperchological factor. duced the share-propping-system, which was really a response to the necessities of the situation. The practice of paying the ex-slaves wages at the end of a stated period was ruineus, for "the first five dollars they made would have seemed so large a sum to them, that they would have imagined their fortunes made and refused to work any more." On the other hand, the share-cropping system left something to be desired, for, with the understanding that they were to get half the crop, they felt that "if six days' work would raise a whole crop, three days work would raise a half one, with which as partners they were satisfied, and so it seemed as if we should have to be too." The real comedy occurred when she attempted to get them to sign contracts, some of their Northern friends having told them that this would put them back in a status of slavery. Each would come in with "long explenations, objections, and demonstrations," and even those who had made up their minds to sign would not

> ¹<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 54. ²<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 26. ³<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 27.

de so until they had spoken their "discourse." Many tried te insert some ridiculous stipulation, and a few declared that they would work for her "until they died" but that they had scruples about signing any paper. The problem of getting the negroes to show zeal for either work or self-improvement was never solved, and Miss Butler wrote: "I felt sure then, and still think, that the pure negro is incapable of advancement to any degree that would enable him to cope with the white race intellectually, morally, or 2 even physically."

Nor were the Southern whites able to take courage from the negro's behavior upon induction into the community of free citizens. This change of condition made no immediate difference in the lives of many of these unfortunates, as we have seen, but there were others who assumed that it would mean relief from all work and responsibility, and a few pathetic creatures, for so the story goes, thought it a precursor of physical transformation which would render them indistinguishable from their masters. The picture makes one think of children confronting some fascinating machine, expecting wonders of it, but unaware

> ¹<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 87. ²Tbid., p. 93.

ef its dangers and of the labor associated with its oper-

Nyrta Avary has given an unforgettable account of their demonstrations when Lincoln entered Richmond shortly after military occupation of that city by the Federals. The President found the path of his carriage blocked by "a rabble of eracy negroes, hailing him as 'Saviour' and 'Ny Jesus.'* Some knelt on the ground and kissed his hands. Others went into a "regular voodoo cestasy" and 1 denced and jerked. The sad-eyed Lincoln, who knew people, realized that the negroes' troubles were only commencing and tried to get them to accept their new status in a sober frame of mind. Evidence that this sort of exhibition was ewing to emotional irresponsibility was provided two years later when Jefferson Davis, after being discharged from Judge Underwood's court, was met by a similar demonstration 2 and eries of "God Bless Mars Davis."

The negro's first disillusionment come when he tried to grasp instangible form the benefits which the new dispensation was expected to confer. Stories are told of his coming to town with a sack to carry back the franchise

^{1&}lt;sub>Myrta Avary, op. cit., p. 30.</sub> 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 241.

which was to be given him. The same kind of pathetic ignorance is shown by his confusion of the "Freedmen's Bureau" with the well-known article of furniture. "'Whar's dat bureau,' was sure to be the first question," Virginia Clay wrote. "'Whar's all dem drawers what got de money and de sugar and de coffee? God knows I neber see no bureau 't all, and dat man at de book-cupboard talked i sighty short to me, at dat.'"

Hyrta Avary tells of a negro child who thought that freedom would bring with it a change of color. "'Olë Miss,' asked my mother's little handmaiden, 'now, I'se free, is I gwi tu'n white lak white folks?'

'You must not be ashamed of the skin God gave you, Patsy,' said her mistress kindly, 'your skin is all right.' 2

'But I druther be white, Ole Miss.'"

The second disillusionment came when he tried to fraternize or even do business with his presumptive benefactor, the Northern invader. He found out not only that the whites from the North had no notion of recognizing him socially - with the exception of a few who came in a missionary spirit, and a fair number who came with an axe te grind - but also that their efficient methods left no

> lvirginia Cley, <u>op</u>. <u>cit.</u>, p. 284. 2_{Myrta Avary, <u>op</u>. <u>cit.</u>, p. 193.}

room for the indulgence and the humoring to which he had been accustomed. This is an aspect of negro character not at once grasped by the inexperienced newcomer. The negro is one of the most sensitive creatures on earth, but he resents not so much his implied inferiority as the sharp word and the unsympathetic look which tell him that he is not wanted. In the antebellum South the whites and the negroes had established a modus vivendi in which the negroes mearly always called "servants" - were seldom reminded of their status, which was assumed as a thing understood on both sides. Behind the fence of a few dearly prized prerogatives he could cultivate a surprising amount of selfrespect, and if he was decorous and well-behaved, he was in little danger of having his feelings hurt. As a matter of fact a rather elaborate code of courtesy existed between whites and blacks in the days of slavery, and a master was more likely to greet a bondman with "cordial and respectful salutations" than was the Northern employer to notice his wage hands. Myrta Avary wrote that "in old sections where new ways have not corrupted ancient courtesy" such signs of consideration and affection were still to be seen in the Reconstruction era.

1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 31.

Many a negro discovered on first contact that the Yankee had no knowledge of the stiquette of race relations and no idea of treating him with deference. An amusing but pathetic incident is related by Myrta Avary, whose cook, Aunt Susan, had heard that it was now possible to dine with white folks. The new white folks evidently made fun of her. for she returned from her adventure with the remark, admirably expressive of the negro's social perseptions. "White folks dat 'll eat wid me ain't fitten fuh me to cat wid." An ex-slave of the Andrews family returned from his first taste of freedom with the complaint that the Yankees "didn't show no respec' for his feelin's." This sort of thing went on far into Reconstruction, with many negroes discovering, despite political shibboleths, that their best friends were to be found among the people who had always known them, and it is largely upon this that the Southerner based his contention that only he understands the negro. Constance Cary, who went to live in New York after the war, was impressed by the plight of Southern negroes who had come there seeking a black man's Utopia. "For years after the war," she wrote,

> 1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 192. 2Eliza Frances Andrews, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 183.

I kept coming upon wretched homesick specimens of their class in New York, praying aid and counsel of us Southerners of the old regime, in whom they instinctively trusted more than in their representative abolition friends. One of the best women I ever knew, a lecturer and a missionary to her race, said to me once, "Some of them call me 'Miss' and ask me to sit in their grand parlors in satin chairs while they tell me how well off my people are. Your kind says, 'You, Susan Jones! you're just wet through tramping the streets; go straight downstairs to my kitchen and get dry and have your diamer.'* 1

Twenty years after emancipation plantation negrose were complaining of the growing impersonality of human relationships. Frances Butler Leigh heard the following reproach from an old negro, the death of whose wife had gone unnoticed: "Ah, tings different now from de ble times; den if any of de people die, de oberseer hab to write Massa John or Massa Peirce, and tell 'em so-and so's dead, but now de people die and dey buried, and no-2 body knew noting about it."

Perhaps some of this may be discounted as the habit of patronage, inseparable from a feudal background, but the significant fact remains that the sentiment of loyalty and the sentiment of <u>noblesse</u> <u>oblige</u> did not vanish at once when the underpinning of the eld order was withdrawn,

> 1Constance Cary, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 142. 2Frances Butler Leigh, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 236.

and therein lies a lesson for students of the "science of society."

The welter of Reconstruction lowered rather than raised the white man's estimate of negro responsibility. though it must not be lost sight of that many of the black man's follies were recognized as traceable to white instigation. The system of slavery, like that of military discipline, enforces habits of health and regularity, and when it was suddenly removed, the hitherto unknown ills of syphilis, consumption, and insanity made immediate appear-But the chief obstacle to negro-white rapprochement ance. was the outbreak of crimes against women. a thing practically unheard-of in antebellum times. Myrta Avary, who has more to say than any other writer about the Reconstruction negro, thought that these outrages resulted directly from dressing his up in a blue uniform and talking to him about "social equality." Such acts drove the whites into a blind fury of determination, and the resconse was the lynching mob, which, regardless of its hastiness and brutality, seemed to her an immediate answer to the problem. "Within the circumscribed radius of its influence," she wrote, without

> ¹<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 240. ²Myrta Avary, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 196.

apology, "lynching seems to eradicate the evil for which 1 it is administered."

Julia Le Grand reacted so strongly against negro missonduct that she actually turned from an abolitionist into a believer in slavery. After observing the freed blacks in Federal-held New Orleans, she entered the following in her diary:

> I was once as great an abolitionist as any in the North - that was when my unthinking fancy placed black and white upon the same plane. My sympathies blinded me, and race and character were undisturbed mysteries to me. But my experience with negroes has altered my way of thinking and reasoning. As an earnest of sincerity given even to my own mind, it was when we owned them in number that I thought they ought to be free, and now that we have none, I think they are not fit for freedom. 2

She considered negroes the only race that labor does not degrade. The white man, freed from cares, strives toward a higher plane, but the negro lacks the "pride of character" which furnishes the incentive to do this. She wondered about the proper place in the scale of humanity for a creature who was "servile if mastered, and brutal if 3 licensed."

On the other hand Eliza Andrews, whom we must keep

¹<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 381. ²Julia Le Grand, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 100. ³<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 101. in sind as the realist of this group, marvelled that under the twin burdens of ignorance and temptation the negro did ast behave far worse. And she correctly foretold that the reseatment of this race, when they finally became undeceived, would be not against the Boutherner for having enslaved him, or against the Yankee for having made him false promises, but against the whites in general. At the same time she regarded negro suffrage as the greatest calamity which could befall the South. If the negro were forced into a position above his capacity, he would fall, she thought, and in falling drag down everything around him. No stability was conceivable until the country should return to "some system of apprenticeship embodying the best features of slavery." She concluded that "Nothing but experience, that 'dear teacher' of fools, will ever bring the North to its sense on this point, and the fanatics will be slow to admit the falsity of their cherished theories and confess themselves beaten."

Nothing could be more idle than speculation about which race is superior, Mrs. Awary wrote in a chapter on "Race Prejudice." The whole question of relative rank can be waived; for the decisive fact is that like eagles and

LEliza Frances Andrews, op. cit., p. 316.

sparrows they will not flock together. "They are different rather than unequal," and "to ignore a difference inherent in nature is a crime against nature and is punished according to nature." The only hope for the future lay in the willingness of each race to mark out its place and of each to help the other maintain that place.

6. The Tragedy of Defeat

Such citations indicate how the Southern people bore themselves under the hardships of war and the problems of Resonstruction, but in describing the complex Southern psychology which emerged from the fierce trial, one must notice especially the reaction to the total meaning of defeat. This is a difficult theme, which few of the diarists themselves attempted to handle at length, but incidental remarks enable one to piece out the underlying philosophy of the majority. The religious explanation was, as might be expected, popular. It rested on the assumption that there is a god of justice, and that sometimes people are punished for sins of which they are not conscious. Since only a minority of Southerners believed

Mary Boykin Chesnut, on. cit., on. 302-287.

that slave-holding is a sin, and since nearly all of them believed that they surpassed the Yankee in nobility of character - an impression deepened, unfortunately, by the conduct of Federal soldiers in the South - it was necessary te fall back on the theory of an inscrutable providence. Remarks typical of the religious mind lie scattered through the Journal of Hospital Life of Kate Cumming, a woman who saw as much as anyone else of the human waste of war. "Our sins must have been great to have deserved such punishment," she wrote after viewing a harrowing hospital In a reflective passage she declared, "Why the seene. enemy are permitted to work their fiendish purposes is still is oblivion." but she took comfort in the thought that "God is his own interpreter." It was in keeping with her character that the war should teach her not some exalted political truth, but rather the vanity of all earthly things. "O, may we learn the lesson that all this is designed to teach;" she wrote near the end of her story, "that all things sublunary are transient and fleeting."

Phoebe Yates Pember, who also served as a hospital nurse, was bitter over the condition of Southern prisoners

> Ikate Cumming, op. cit., p. 20. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 195. 3<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 198.

returned from Northern prison camps on exchange. Among the emaciated wretches whom she made a special but vain effort to nurse back to life was Richard Hammond Key, grandson of the author of "The Star Spangled Banner." Describing them as "pictures of famine and desolation," she declared they made one feel "that the Atonement had failed, and that Christ had died in vain." Yet she wrote is review that her experiences in the Richmond hospitals had exerted a purifying and ennobling effect on her character, is political consequences of the holocaust be what they sight.

Mrs. Judith McGuire was a noble Christian lady, sapable of praying for the enemy when the torch was at her door, but she confessed herself unable to understand why Ged had seen fit to destroy the South, "with the fairest land, the purest social circle, the noblest race of men, 4 and the happiest people on earth." She affirmed, however, that her faith was not shaken, and she prayed for a return 5 of "the healing balm of love" and "the spirit of Christ."

Parthenia Hague of <u>A Blockaded Family</u> interpreted

¹Phoebe Yates Pember, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>., p. 123.
²<u>Ibid</u>., p. 121.
³<u>Ibid</u>., p. 192.
⁴Judith McGuire, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>., p. 7.
⁵<u>Ibid</u>.

the war as proving that "a man's family is the nearest piece of his country and the dearest one." When she returned to her home in Georgia and saw the desolation in Sherman's track, the sight reduced her to tears but she recorded: "Yet after all our great and sore afflictions, I found only cheerfulness and Christian resignation at the end of these troublesome wartimes, and the hope that we 2 might yet rise above our misfortune."

Admittedly all these expressions proceed from an unsophisticated level; but they reflect the majority mind, and they explain the event as satisfactorily for the sincere believer in a God-created universe as the later Marmist interpretations did for the economic determinist.

For another variety of interpretation we must turn to Eliza Frances Andrews, whose <u>War-time Journal of a</u> <u>Georgia Girl</u> we have had occasion to mention favorably before. For Miss Andrews was that <u>rara avis in terris</u>, a Southern-reared economic determinist. By what route she arrived at her philosophy is not clear, but she saw only economic forces in the creation and the destruction of antebellum civilization. It was, she said, "a case of

¹Parthenia Antoinette Hague, <u>A Blockaded Family</u> (Boston and New York, 1888), p. 3. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 176.

belated survival," against which economic tendencies had long been marshallod. The children of the South should accordingly be taught that it fell "not because it was evil or vicious in itself, but because, like a good and useful man who has lived out his allotted time and gone the way of all earth, it too has served its turn and must now lie in the grave of the dead just." This change was signalized when "changed conditions transferred to another elass the economic advantage which is the basis of all 2 power." Blavery and feudal society, whatever their intrinsic merits, in the latter half of the nineteenth century stood in a hostile world. This was common opinion everywhere, but what makes Miss Andrews conspicuous is her frank espousal of the economic thesis, opposed as it was to the Southern religious and to the Northern moral interpretation.

The men diarists showed perhaps less inclination to wrestle with the topic of the significance of defeat. William L. Royall, whose practical temperament found it easy to bid farewell to the past, composed a very brief epilogue: "It may be thought," he wrote,

> lElize Frances Andrews, op. cit., p. ll. ²Ibid.

that after these bitter reflections I am still an "unreconstructed rebel." But I am not. I have come to believe that the thing turned out as it ought to have turned out. Slavery and the principle of secession had to be got rid of and the only way they ever could have been got rid of was to fight the war to a finish. 1

T. C. De Leon in <u>Belles</u>, <u>Beaux</u>, and <u>Brains of the Sixties</u> took the view that the war was a beptism of blood out of which a true nationalism was forged, and that it was therefore worth the cost - a theory which must have closely paralleled that of Lincoln.

As one looks at these civilians behind the lines, who in either active or passive roles watched their country ge down in ruin, the feeling must grow upon him that they were essentially tragic victims. Although the judgment of history went against them, it is difficult to establish a moral scheme by which they may be condemned. In both personal and public morality they were at least the equals of their foes, and as for the political crime of disunion $\{o_i > e^{i}\}$ which the North sought relentlessly to foster on them, it is plain that the letter of the law was on their side, even

William L. Royall, op. cit., p. 44.

²T. C. De Leon, <u>Belles, Beaux, and Brains of the</u> <u>Sixties</u>, p. 19. De Leon was, however, very cautious about predicting a New South. He knew that the phoenix which might arise from the ashes would not be wanting in signs of its heritage. Those who taught that the New South would be only another New England in lower latitudes were, he thought, raising false hopes.

if the spirit in which they faced the issue belonged to 1776 rather than to 1860. Somewhere there was a tragic fault - a fault compounded of pride, exclusiveness, and self-absorption, which made them blind to the deeper currents of the time, as Sidney Lanier was to say. In this instance one of the deeper currents was represented by the irresistible movement of nationalism which affected the principal countries of the world. For the victory of centralism in the American Civil War was one of those "unifications" in which the century was replete. But we are brought back to the tragic quality of the event when we realize that in every tragic resolution the good goes down with the bad, and it is the less of the good which stirs our compassion. And much was lest in the destruction of the Old South that men have not ceased to regret. All of the poetic virtues - honor, dignity, fealty, valor - were made to look outmoded and futile and have had since that time to sneak in by the back deor and apologize for themselves. There were other ideals, the Civil War will remain "the war" indefinitely to those who study the making of America. Until one has passed beyond the pragmatic view of history and has studied the tragedies of failure as well as listened to the raucous

lEdwin Mims, op. cit., p. 267.

claims of success, he has not seen into the life of things.

Yet, when one tries to discover whether the Civil War taught the Southern people a "lesson" in the conventional sense, he is likely to find himself dealing with superficial antitheses. Despite some seeming diversity of feeling, it appears that the traditional Southerner emerged from the war - a grand attempt to coerce him into the stream of "progress" - not only unreconstructed but relatively unreconstructible. His faith in politicians was, indeed, shaken, and he was to suffer three generations of rabblerousing public servants in place of the old political metaphysicians and declaimers who had once held his faith. But his soldiers had secured for him something that is more lasting than material victory - the conviction that man for man he belonged to a superior caste; and Southerners, being less mercurial in their convictions than their Northern brethren, tend to have longer memories and to cherish such conforting truths until a favorable time for their application presents itself. His belief in the class system - especially the class system defined by racial lines - was confirmed. His belief in the primitive way of life, too, endured, and with it the great anti-intellectual tradition permeating even his institutions of higher learning. Northerners who exclaimed in exasperation that the Southern people were like the Bourbons, having learned nothing and forgotten nothing,

were in a sense correct, but the force they were indicting was much more deepseated and pervasive than they realized. It was a force which was to assert itself several decades later in Europe in the form of "the revenge of instinct" and the revival of primitive ideologies.

CHAPTER V

FICTION ACROSS THE CHASM

A time of war is a good time to write about, but a poor time to write in, as Abraham Cowley has remarked. For four years the South had been engaged in one of the fiercest ware in history, a war which left no source of energy untapped and no department of its life untouched: and for thirty years preceding the actual outbreak of hostilities, it had been in a virtual state of siege. preparing itself for the assault which its prophetic soul knew must come. After the matters at issue had been settled by arbitrament of the sword, deep as were the vexation, the humiliation, and the despair, there prevailed in some quarters a sense of relief. There was a feeling that in slavery the South had been saddled by an incubus, and some wry satisfaction could be derived from the knowledge that it had been "blown hellward from the cannon's mouth." On grounds of prudence a less costly excision might have been preferred, but Southerners were never famous for prudence or for knowing their own interests, and many felt that it was better to see their country go down in red ruin than to submit tamely to outrageous interference. After the war more than one Southerner could be found asserting proudly

that he had fought well in a wrong cause. The important circumstance, however, was that the never-ending battle to defend slavery was at last over, and that Southerners who had pens to write could now present the story of their country without thought of immediate controversy, and in terms which the world would accept.

A heavy duty lay upon them. Wise counsellors were reminding the Southern people that since their sword was now broken, their tongue must be doubly eloquent. In the spirit of that King of Prussia who said of his country, "The bedy is dead; we must awaken the spirit," a host of Southern writers of varying degrees of talent and with various attitudes toward the history and traditions of their native section began to present in flotion the world's last feudal society. But if a sense of realism and a fresh orientation were what the South after Appomattox needed, the first works to appear gave little promise of amendment. This was not unnatural, however, for when passions are aroused, the response to total condemnation is likely to be unqualified endorsement, and the charge of Northern

¹Dr. A. Monteiro, Surgeon of Mosby's Command, declared of his countrymen (<u>op. cit.</u>, p. 180): "Though conquered we were like other men - vain even of our defects and proud even of our follies."

Journalism that the South was sunk in barbarism received an answer equally extreme, which was that the antebellum plantation was an idyll of comfort and harmony, and that the men who fought in gray constituted a blameless chivalry. John Esten Cooke, Thomas Nelson Page, and Thomas Dixon were the chief exponents of this view.

1. Advocates of the Old Regime

The literary apologists of the Old South were less tough-minded than her political and military defenders. and one must begin them prepared for a vast amount of conventionalized portraiture, syrupy romance, and nostalgia. Cooke. who was earliest, represents the ultra-romantic approach. It was said of him that he had been born to most of the good connections in Virginia and had married into the rest, and no one more instinctively identified hinself with the life of the old commonwealth, or better expressed the virtues of its ruling class. After four years of service with Jackson, Stuart, and Pendleton, through which he went unharmed, Cooke buried his silver sours in the earth at Appomattox in token of defiance, and cast about him for a mode of life in the new order. He had providentially remained single during the period of war, and when he married in 1867, at the age of thirty-seven, he acquired possession of a farm. Previously he had entertained notions of leaving the country, or of trying his luck in New York, that mecca of twenty thousand uprooted Southerners, but now he decided to practice subsistence farming and to seek a cash income by literary work.

Despite his intense Southern sympathies. Cooke knew he would have to write for a Northern audience, and his works may therefore be regarded as an early part of that bridging of the bloody chasm which commenced when Lee advised his countrymen to forget local animosities and to make their children citizens of the nation. His writings which draw upon contemporary subject matter may be conveniently divided as follows: "novels" which are only history written with some degree of color, detail, and animation; works of history with fictional subplots; and a single novel setting forth the problems of Reconstruction. Because Cooke wrote with great rapidity, and because he wrote only that for which he had an immediate market, one cannot draw conclusions from the chronological order of his books. The first was Surry of Eagles-Nest (1866), a story which takes its hero from the secession convention at Richmond through the death of Jackson at Chancellorsville, In the opening chapter the author addresses the reader with an explanation: "How Lee looked, and how Stuart spoke how Jackson lived that wondrous life of his, and Ashby charged upon his milk-white steed - of this the coming

generation will talk, and I think they will take more interest in such things than in the most brilliant arguments These figures are present throughout about secession." in semi-legendary form, but Cooke deemed it necessary for reader interest to complicate his story with mysterious strangers, a duel at dawn, an abduction, and various unexplained relationships in patent imitation of the older remance. Fact and fiction contend for the mastery: the reader is first absorbed by a faithful depiction of Jackson's quixotic appearance as he reviewed his troops at Harpers Ferry, and then plummeted into the unreality of disguised conspirators and pale ladies with auburn ringlets. It is, in short, a combination of romance, as the South had learned it from Sir Walter Scott, and the Civil War as it was recalled by one who worshipped the Army of Northern Virginia. The reality for Cooke at this time was the charging lines of gray beneath the Stars and Bars, beside which inventions based on his reading of fletion appear weak indeed. As he confesses in the last chapter of Surry:

> At all times - everywhere - the Past comes into the Present and possesses it. As I awake in the morning, the murmur of the river breeze is the

¹John Esten Cooke, <u>Surry of Eagles-Nest</u> (New York, 1894), p. 9.

low roll of drums from the forest yonder, where the camps of infantry are aroused by the reveille. In the moonlight nights, when all is still, a sound comes, borne upon the breeze, from some dim land -I seem to hear the bugles. In the thunder of some storm, I hear the roar of artillery. 1

In Mohun: The Last Days of Lee and His Paladins one finds again history with an embroidery of fiction. This work is a continuation of Surry of Eagles-Nest: the chain of events, here commencing after Chancellorsville, extends down to 1868, and there are new and even more incredible characters to provide an accompaniment of Gothic mystery. Yet, certain passages, such as the description of Gettysburg, must be noted as especially fine, and a few pages on Richmond, touching speculation and the defection of individuals, contain matter which ordinarily got only into the records of the diarists. Even more than Surry, however, Mohun reveals Ceck's constitutional faults, his habit of sterotyping characters and then employing them in wooden fashion, his florid and exaggerated style, his wearisome repetition of phrase, and his insistence on setting down what he had seen and heard at orucial points, sometimes irrespective of its connection with the narrative.

Cooke loved the Confederate cavalier with such

1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 483.

whole-souled devetion and sent him charging through his pages with such joyous abandon that one is amazed to find him telling George Cary Eggleston, "I never liked the business of war. There is nothing intellectual about fighting." He further declared in their reported conversation, "It is fit work for brutes and brutish men. And in modern war, where men are organized in masses and converted into insensate machines, there is really nothing heroic or romantic in any way calculated to appeal to the imagination."

Perhaps this was a natural reaction against the "business of war," which settled down on the Federal Army after the removal of McClellan and on the Confederate after the death of Jackson, when the struggle became a slugging contest with superior weight certain to prevail at last. But such a declaration does not comport with the tone and attitude of his war books. Everywhere in these glowing pages one feels that here is a man who would not love life so much loved he not honor more. The period succeeding Appomattox was for the average Virginian a pretty sad vacancy; the war was still the absorbing topic; and there was no sudden accession of riches to compensate for

341

Quoted in J. O. Beaty, John Esten Cooke, Virginian (New York, 1922), p. 109.

wounds or to dull the memories of the bivousc. In a prolegue to <u>Mohun</u> Cocke had tried to define his attitude toward this subject-matter:

> But is it wrong to remember the past? I think of it without bitterness. God decreed it -God the all-wise, the all-merciful - for his own purposes. I do not indulge in any repinings, or reflect with rancor upon the issue of the struggle. I prefer recalling the stirring adventure, the brave voices, the gallant faces: even in the tremendous drama of 1864-65, I can find something besides blood and tears: even here and there some sunshine. 1

By and large, Cooke was content to illustrate what was noble in the tragic contest which proved fatal to his idyllic Virginia, to call back his days spent with great soldiers, and to show the world that the South, not less than proud Beotland, provided subjects for enduring romance.

In <u>The Heir of Gaymount</u> (1870) Cooke undertook to tell the struggles of a Southern landowner during Reconstruction, but even here he could not abandon the vein of facile romance, nor could a closely autobiographical framework save it from the excesses of his former stories. Edmund Carteret, who must be taken to represent the author, returns from the war to find himself the possessor of an ancestral house and forty acres of land, the upkeep of

1<u>Mohun</u> (New York, 1869), p. 9.

which is beyond his means. After pondering the notion of emigrating to Mexico, he decides to remain on his land and try the experiment of truck farming. The roseate story of success which follows this resolve is incredible. Cabbages, tomatoes, celery, and melons sprout as if by magic; later come wine-producing grapes, and within three years Carteret has \$6,000 in the bank and is on the point of taking up a lean. One may read all this in perfect innocence of the existence of unfavorable weather, of marketing difficulties, and of ruinous price levels; Cooke's conception of agriculture, as one critic has expressed it, is that the grower puts a lead in the ground and gets a coin back.

This is only part of the story, however, for a simister figure lurks in the background. Israel Tugmuddle is an ex-overseer, who by hard work and cunning business practice has risen to wealth. It is his ambition to buy Gaymount and marry his son into the Virginia aristocracy, who despise his kind. Through a dishonest contrivance he has made Carteret sign a deed which will give him possession of the estate unless the aspiring young farmer can meet a note for \$3,000. A cashier's defalcation prevents Carteret from doing this with the proceeds of his farming, and

1Beaty, op. cit., p. 125.

villainy has almost triumphed when an accident brings about the discovery of an uncle's buried treasure and a recorded will, which provide him respectively with enough money to meet his obligations and title to a neighboring estate of 3,000 acres. Thus the story ends with Tugmuddle foiled and Carteret and his friends Hartrigger and Lance forming a Christmas tableau of happy couples.

From this charming if impossible story of rehabilitation Cooke intended that his Southern readers should draw two conforting thoughts. One was that the lands of the South, so long wastefully given over to cotton, corn, and tobacco, could be profitably turned to intensive cultivation, although, as has been indicated, his demonstration of the new system leaves something to be desired. The second was that the Southern people have true friends in the North. Here they are represented by Frank Lance, a cheery, expansive, happy-go-lucky New Yorker, who fought the Johnny Rebs, but who appears now to encourage his erstwhile enemy and to bring succour at critical moments. He is the Northern well wisher who comes south not only to see for himself but also to lend the hard cash which, in a land stripped of its capital, spells the difference between victory and defeat in any struggle to regain position.

The Heir of Gaymount is thus a highly romanticized picture of Cooke's own experience as a Virginia farmer in

the late sixties, interwoven with the same kind of melodramatic plots he placed in his war stories, and primarily designed, as one must suppose, to entertain an unopitical audience rather than to come to grips with the problem of the Southerner in the new order. Its hero is still wearing the gray and seeing his torn and broken world through the eyes of a Southern romantic. Cooke was temperamentally incapable of realism, regardless of his theme, but the nevel is interesting as showing how pervasive was the tendency to idealize and how eager Cooke was to have the Southerner succeed as Southerner. Despite these brave attempts, he was candid enough to admit that his type of fiction belonged to the literary order which was passing. When the new realism commenced with William Dean Howells, he acknowledged the essential rightness of its approach but realized that he was too old to acquire a new attitude and learn a new technique.

Cooke's successor in the work of idealizing the South was Thomas Nelson Page, another Virginian of similar background, and a writer who found a much wider audience for his romantic stories of Southern life. Page was chiefly a novelist of Reconstruction. He devoted the greater part

1_{M.} J. Moses, <u>The Literature of the South</u> (New York, 1910), p. 328.

of a fairly productive literary career to the portrayal of Virginians in the restored Union, and no other author was more sensible of the differences between the old and the new civilizations and of the far-reaching effects. extending even to trifling matters, which a re-orientation must enforce. Son of a soldier of the Army of Northern Virginia, Page was born too late to have had a part in the war, but his impressionable years were spent in that period when it seemed natural to Southerners to compare all things. including the beauty of the moon, with what had obtained in the fabulous times "befo' de wah'. He was told what his people had done in that war, and he could see how eruelly they were struggling to re-establish their fortunes. These two themes furnish the staple of a line of fiction which began in 1884 with "Marse Chan." An accident led to the writing of this story. Page was shown a letter which had been taken from the body of a Georgia private killed in one of the battles around Richmond. It was an ordinary love letter except for one item: the writer, after begging her soldier lover to return, added the following postscript: "Don't come without a furlough; for if you don't come honorable. I won't marry you." This accorded so well with all that had been said about Southern women's fierce dewotion to the cause that Page resolved to put it in a story, and so began a successful career of letters. "Marse Chan"

appeared as one of a collection of tales chiefly in the negro dialect issued in 1887 under the general title <u>In Ole</u> <u>Virginia</u>. In 1894 came another collection containing the highly characteristic "Burial of the Guns." This is the story of an artillery regiment which, upon receiving word of Lee's surrender, finds itself holding an impregnable position in the mountains. Rather than give up their guns, which they have served through four bloody years, they resolve to roll them over a cliff into a river. First, however, they prepare a statement of the unit's record, which is rammed into a muzzle. It read in part:

> We're all volunteers, every man; we joined the army at the beginning of the war, and we've stuck through to the end; sometimes we ain't had much to eat and sometimes we ain't had nothin', but we've fought the best we could 119 battles and skirmishes as near as we can make out in four years, and never lost a gun. Now we're agoin' home. We ain't surrendered; just disbanded; and we pledge ourselves to teach our children to love the South and General Lee; and to come when 1 we're called anywhere and anytime, so help us God.

Netwithstanding its sentimentality, this statement may be taken as the epilogue of every Confederate soldier who felt that he had won the fight but lost the war, and there were many such.

Confederate loyalty and valor are subjects Page

The Burial of the Guns (New York, 1894), p. 80.

never lost sight of, but his long novels deal with Reconstruction, and here the struggle is usually against the more insidious forces bred in the competitive business life of the gilded age. Red Rock is the earliest and the most typical, for it dramatizes the two most pressing necessities of the hour, the saving of old estates, and the defeat of those outside elements which tried to take charge of affairs while the old commonwealths were being "reconstructed." In this story Jacquelin Cary and Stevenson Allen win a long fight against the carpetbagger Jonadab Leech, who is backed up by corrupt supporters and the military arm of the United States Government. In Gordon Keith it is the son of old General Keith, master of Elphinstone, who besomes an engineer, helps develop the mineral resources of his state after outwitting the dishonest entrepreneurs, and wins the right girl in the meantime. Page had a fixed idea that the best way to bring about a reunion of the nation was to promote the marital union of Southern belles with Northern youths, or, as second best, of Northern belles with Southern youths. Such matings occur not only in Red Rock and Gordon Keith, but also in "Meh Lady: A Story of the War."

The <u>rapprochement</u> is always made by the younger people; the older people learn to respect one another's character but do not find it possible to change themselves.

The fiction of Page is filled with dignified generals. colonels, and majors who have nothing left but their pride and the manners of a gentleman; they are anxious to see their young people succeed but are a little suspicious of the new conception of success. The author remarks of General Keith. whose son proved himself capable of surviving in the rough-and-tuable world of expanding industrialism: "He knew the Past and lived in it; the Present he did not understand, and the Future he did not know." Of business and of natural science he was ignorant. "I know no more of science, sir, than an Indian," he declared. "The only selences I ever thought I knew were politics and war, and I have failed in both." In John Marvel, Assistant, the hero says of his sire, "My father was naturally adapted to the conditions that had created such a character, but as unsuited to the new conditions that succeeded the collapse of the old life as a shorn lamb would be to the untempered wind of winter." Sometimes, as in "The Christmas Peace," it is the energetic and practical daughter, a harbinger of Scarlett O'Hara, who salvages the estate, but always the old man lingers in the background, a picturesque

> 1<u>Gordon Keith</u> (New York, 1912), I, 2. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, I, 52. 3<u>John Marvel, Assistant</u> (New York, 1910), I, 5.

figure of unbanding rectitude, often a trifle absurd in view of his marrow circumstances and usually able to pass on as patrimony only a good name and some advice regarding human mature, the shrewdness of which his children one day discover.

A favorite device of Page was to bring a Northerner to the South and let him see for himself the condition of the country and the character of the people whom his press at home was representing as rebels and <u>banditti</u>. In <u>Red</u> <u>Reek</u>, Major Welch, a Union soldier, comes to Virginia to buy property, finds that the title to his purchase is faulty because of the machinations of carpetbaggers, turns against those whom he had regarded as missionaries of reform, and finally loses his daughter to the leader of the local conservatives.

In most of these plots there is an effort, frequently overdone and therefore pathetic, to exhibit the best qualities of the Southerner in an open bid for Northern esteem. The old family, the sense of honor, natural capacity, and a desire to settle matters through law rather than through violence - these the hero unfailingly illustrates, never missing an opportunity to point out inferior Northern standards and examples. Jacquelin Cary and Stevenson Allen show Major Welch what Southern probity means; young Gordon Keith gives Miss Alice Yorke a

is not exclusively a Yankee possession.

These constitute an ill-concealed note of special pleading, the object of which is to rehabilitate the South in Northern eyes. One encounters at intervals in Page's characters a note of exasperation aroused by the prejudices they must overcome when thrown in contact with Yankees. The Southern hero cannot understand why the Tankee will not perceive that he is of sterling character, that he intends to work within the restored union, and that. when exempt from outside interference, he prefers court presedure to gunplay. In an effort to combat such prejudice, Page tended to make his characters paragons. In "The Spectre in the Cast" a Virginia lawyer harangues a mob which is bent on lynching a negro and threatens individual prosecution of its members. The Ku Klux Klan appears in Red Rock, but it is an absurdly genteel klan, a parody on those organizations which with grim and terrible effectiveness secured white supremsey in the early period of Reconstruction. Obviously in these incidents Page is making the South put its best foot forward. He is trying to believe that its conquerors fought for principle, but he is insisting firmly that Confederates be credited with having done the same. More especially he is always pointing out that the salvation of the section can be worked out only by the well-disposed

local elements, whom the coercive measures of Reconstruction have rendered powerless.

It scarcely needs saying that the characters in Page's early novels and stories are stereotyped. The Southerners are Cooke's heroes in gray, now fighting the battle of Reconstruction. There is always the representative of the old order living on in the new, formal and courtly, and though disillusioned, indomitable. There is the son who inherits his father's ethical code, but who is enabled by youth, adaptability, and practical idealism to ashieve a success. On the other side there is the caroetbagger, a trickster who has avoided service in the war, but who when the war is over and the South's bones are to pick, comes down with an itching palm and a mouth full of pieus platitudes. Offsetting him is the Northerner of good character, who fought a good fight, but who joins the conservative party when he comes south and finds the people suffering real oppression. Not until 1909, when he reached John Marvel, Assistant, which is not a novel of Reconstruction. did Page consider those differences which do not proceed from political division and create a character with a convincing mixture of human traits.

His negroes fall entirely within the legend. They remain loyal after slavery; they take pride in their white folks' social position; they offer those shrewd, oblique observations on conduct which are peculiar to the race; and they display the amiable weaknesses which stamp them as the wards of the mation. No less than the whites they idealize antebellum times, and Page's one picture of the educated free megro is distinctly satirical.

The plots adhere to formula, and the situations are contrived in facile style of the period. Page was not. in view of these limitations, a literary craftsman; he was rather an exponent of a time and a place. He wrote out of the kind of knowledge which comes by acquaintance, and he wrote with sincerity, which means in the last analysis that he failed to see the whole picture. It is to his insistence upon the nobility of the Virginia gentleman, however, that auch of the crystallized Southern legend is due. One envisages him a late member of the patrician race, extending his hand to the new order with true but modest friendliness. but inflexible in personal standards, and convinced that whatever fads in morals and behavior may seize the public, a character founded on honesty and manners on consideration for others will not suffer permanent eclipse. Like certain others who loved the South best, he was most in favor of reconciliation and reunion. In an introduction to In Ole Virginia he could say with perfect candor that he had

^{1&}quot;Man Lyddy's Recognition," Bred in the Bone (New York, 1912), pp. 219-252.

"never written a line which he did not hope might tend to bring about better understanding between the North and the South, and finally lead to a more perfect Union." But the read to understanding would have to lie through an appreciation of the value of sentiment and of the manly virtues.

While the men were thus eulogizing the Southern eede of valor and honor, the women writers were working in a less direct but no less effective way to restore the Southern ideal of society. By far the most noted of them was Augusta Jane Evans, the first of a long line of Southern women novelists who, without so much as approaching the top grade of literary excellence, nevertheless have managed to capture the popular imagination and to create characters of universal appeal. Born in Columbus, Georgia, Miss Evans had by 1859 produced two novels of slight significance. During the Civil War she was passionate in the Southern cause, and in after years she remarked, "The sole enthusiasm of my life was born, lived, and perished in the eventful four years of the Confederacy." As an expression of this she published in 1864 <u>Macaria</u>:

1<u>In Ole Virginia</u> (New York, 1912), p. xi.

²Louise Manly in <u>Library of Southern Literature</u>, XIII, 5842.

or, Altars of Secrifice, a tragic romance filled with fierce denunciations of Northern political leaders and breathing intense loyalty to the South. It is said to have proved so damaging to the morale of Federal soldiers that officers ordered it confiscated and burned. A year after the war she appeared before her publishers in New York with the manuscript of St. Elme, a novel which was to have a tremendous vogue. It is difficult for a later generation to imagine the kind of taste that found satisfaction in this story, embroidered as it is with a strange medley of historical allusions, scientific digressions, and moral discourses. Its heroine is Edna Earle, a pure-minded, idealistic girl who by sheer nobility of character reforms and thereafter marries the wealthy and depraved St. Elmo Murray. Although it is nothing more than a florid and sentimental love story, the two serious convictions of Miss Evans' life nevertheless creep in, and these reveal her a champion of the old order. One was belief in adherence to a fixed code of morals, and the other was a feeling, which became more fervent as she grew older, that the emancipation of woman entailed her degradation and would lead to the dissolution of society.

1"Augusta Jane Evans," DAB, VI, 196.

In general, the battle to save the old way of life was a battle to preserve distinctions - the distinction between man and woman, between master and slave, between gentlemen and men without honor, between decorum and impropriety. The erasure of all these distinctions which make up the gradations of quality was seen as the chief menace of "Northern barbarism." Miss Evans beheld signs of peril in the moral collapse of the Reconstruction period, about which every person of conscience had something to say.

> Statesmen were almost extinct in America -," she wrote, "a mere corporal's guard remained, battling desperately to save the stabbed Constitution from howling demagogues and fanatics, who raved and ranted where Washington, Webster and Calhoun had once swayed a free and happy people. The old venerated barriers and well-guarded outposts, which decorum and true womanly modesty had erected on the frontiers of propriety, were swept away in the crevasse of <u>sans souci</u> manners that threatened to inundate the entire land; and latitudinarianism in dress and conversation was rapidly reducing the sexes to an equality, dangerous to morals and subversive of all chivalrous respect for woman. 1

Accordingly Edna Earle set herself to fight these forces of corruption, taking her stand on the principle that woman can be most influential as woman.

> Believing that the intelligent, refined, modest Christian women were the real custodians of national purity, and the sole agents who could errest the tide of demoralization breaking over the land,

1st. Elmo (Chicago, n.d.), p. 394.

she addressed herself to the wives, mothers, and daughters of America; calling upon them to emite their false gods, and purify the shrines at which they worshipped. Jealously she contended for every woman's right which God and nature had decreed the sex. The right to be learned, wise, noble, useful, in woman's divinely limited sphere. The right to influence and exalt the circle in which she moved. The right to mount the sanctified bema of her own quiet hearthstone; the right to modify and direct her husbend's opinion, if he considered her worthy and competent to guide him; the right to make her children ornaments to their nation. and a crown of glory to their race; the right to edvise, to plead, to pray; the right to make her desk a Delphi, if God so permitted; the right to be all that the phrase "noble Christian woman" means. But not the right to vote; to herangue from the hustings; to trail her heaven born purity through the dust and mire of political strife; to ascend the rosta [sic] of statesmen, whither she asy send a worthy husband, son, or brother, but whither she can never go, without disgracing all womanhood.

When Miss Evans published <u>A Speckled Bird</u> in 1902 she had become convinced that the decay of morals and the relaxing of standards were of Northern inspiration, and the sectional clash is here made quite sharp. Like <u>St</u>. <u>Sine</u> this is a love story, detailing in a style much the same the complicated romance between Eglah Allison, granddaughter of a Confederate general and daughter of a Beconstruction Federal Judge, and the fabulously wealthy Mr. Heel Hermott. Mr. Allison's membership in the United States Senate, with the frequent journeys between Washington and the Bouth which it requires, offers many opportunities for political asides. Miss Evans was now maintaining that the preservation of vomanhood was identical with the preservation of the South, and Eglah is a stout opponent of female emancipation. She holds the following spirited dialogue with Miss Higginbotham, a Westerner who advocates the new liberties for her sex. Miss Higginbotham is chiding Eglah about the narrowness of Southern views:

> "Oh, but your mother was Southern, and you represent not hereidity but sheredity, a sociological factor of immense potency, which must be reakoned with, let me tell you, in the near future, when women fully emancipated come to the full enjoyment of all rights so long withheld from them. The mothers, and not fathers will yield the destiny of this great country; and already female colleges are fast spreading the blessed gospel of free and equal rights. Last week someone asserted that you were a graduate of ______ college, but I contradicted it as flatly impossible and absurd.

"I am sorry I do my dear alma mater such lamentable discredit; but unfortunately, we were not taught to wear our diplomas on our hats as advertisements of scholarship.

"You certainly ameze me.

"Perhaps you will excuse me in assuring you that the sensation is at least mutual.

"With your educational advantages, to lock up your mind in a stockade of provincialism. Desectionalize yourself.

"May I ask whether you spell your last verb with an x or a ct? I should prefer to understand first which process is demanded of me.

"Your Southern bigotry is a mill-stone around your neck. The very word 'smancipation' is a red rag to old slaveholders and their progeny. You can never forgive us for breaking the shackles of groaning millions held in bondage."

Following this catty exchange, Eglah sums up her view of woman's proper sphere:

> *Indeed, I have the most affectionate and jealous regard for every right that inheres in my dower of American womanhood. I claim and enjoy the right to be as cultured, as learned, as useful, and - if you please - as ornamental in society and at home as my individual limitations will permit. I have no wrongs, no grievances, no crying need to usurp lines of work that will break down the barriers God has set between men and women. I am not in rebellion against legal statutes, nor the canons of well-established decency and refinement in feminine usage, and, finally, I am so inordinately proud of being a well-bern Southern woman, with a full complement of honorable great-grandfathers and blue-blooded, stainless great-grandmothers that I have neither pretext nor inclination to revolt against mankind." 1

Miss Evans was at this stage so violent a partisan that <u>A Speckled Bird</u> is not free from expressions of bitterness. "Nutwood is a mere shadow of older and happier days," says Mrs. Maurice in bidding farwell to Herriott. "Ichabod is printed all over the ruined South, and we live only to guard our graves." As time passed and the tendencies she decried grew in force and number, the old Southern homestead took on a symbolical meaning for Miss Evans. It stood

> ¹<u>A Speckled Bird</u> (New York, 1902), pp. 119 ff. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 34.

for permanence in a world of change, for fixed human relationships, just laws and proper customs against the welter of aberration and experiment then surging up. So Nutwood appeared.

> Outside conditions, social and domestic, had changed utterly; new canons prevailed; new canons of strange lexity rolled over the former dikes of purity, refinement, and decorum; but the turbid tide of up-to-date flippancy broke and ebbed from the tall iron gates of the house on the hill. Here decadence was excluded, and one coming into a long-closed mansion inhaled a vague haunting aroma, as if old furniture, glass, china, books, paintings and silver had been sprinkled with powdered sandalwood, lavender, and rose leaves blended with the subtle perwading atmosphere of hereditary social pride. 1

Standing over these relics of a higher civilization, she saw the Southern woman, a guardian vigilant and incapable of betrayal. Whatever the terms her men made with their conquerors, the Old South, with its personalities, its deference towards women, and its general social distinction was the world in which she cared to live, and she declined, as many of them actually did, even to go through the pretense of reconstruction. "To the truly typical Southern woman who survived the loss of family idols and her country's freedom, for which she had surrendered them, 'reconstruction,' political and social, was no more possible

1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 246.

then the physical resurrection and return of slain thousands in Confederate graves all over the trampled and ruined l South." The mistress of Nutwood shuts out agents of "union and reconstruction" as promptly as she would have excluded carriers of smallpox.

In further exposition of these views Miss Evans wrete her last novel, <u>Devota</u>, a work composed when she was at the advanced age of seventy-two. This develops in particular the thesis that it is treason for woman to desert her God-given sphere. Along with passionate arguments for a revival of family life, it contains tirades against socialism, humanitarianism, and all efforts to destroy the old standards. But the world-wide impulse to wipe out distinctions, both those made by nature and those provided by social systems, was answering it with new and more redical theories of equalitarianism.

While Augusta Jane Evans was thus defending the institution of womanhood and the old-fashioned morality, Mise Julia Magruder was producing a line of fiction the chief point of which was the superiority of Southern manners. A niece of the Confederate General "Prince John" Magruder, she grew up in Virginia, where her preference for

1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 14.

Southern habits and attitudes was established, and although later she saw much of the great world, she remained strongly conscious of her sectional point of view.

Her device was the simple one of bringing Southerners and Northerners together and exhibiting the difference in their reactions to situations. Her Southern protagonists are young ladies in a Northern environment, who surprise their supercilious Yankee hosts by solving problems which enly a Southern background could prepare one to cope with, or by showing that Southern courtesy rests upon consideration for feelings rather than upon calculation of profit. Her first ventures in this direction was significantly entitled Acress the Chasm (1885). Its heroine is Margaret Trevennon, a young lady from a tiny community in Virginia, who goes to Mashington to visit her fashionable married cousin, Mrs. Gaston Margault. Here she is shocked to discover that her cousin, who is in no sense a parvenu, thinks it necessary to consider her social engagements in terms of the prestige they will bring in the haute monde. This is disturbing to one to whom it is instinctive to associate with the right people, regardless of whether they are in a position to confer benefits.

> "I was only going to say that I thought a lady, born and reared, never had to think of anything like that," she remarked to Mrs. Margault one evening. "Like what? "Where she is seen and whether her association

will be considered correct. I thought it would all come of itself - that a lady would not be in danger of making mistakes of that sort, because what she did would be the natural outgrowth of what she was. "Those may be Southern ideas, but you'd not

find them to enswer here.

"I don't know whether they are Southern ideas or not. I never knew they were ideas at all. Certainly I have never heard them formulated before, and I don't quite know how to express myself. They simply seem to me instincts." 1

Other Southern visitors to Washington are made to appear equally ingenuous and to display an amazing indifference as to whether they are meeting the proper persons.

Niss Nagruder, no less than her sentimental countrymen Themas Nelson Page, found inevitable the notion of wedding North and South through the marriage of a Northern man and a Southern girl. And so Margaret, after having utilized several opportunities to show her hosts their deficiency in natural courtesy, succumbs to the charm of her cousin's brilliant brother, and the novel closes with her exclaiming, "Doesn't it seem funny, such a Yankee and such a Rebel as you and I. Let us set an example by letting by-gones by by-genes, and shake hands across the bloody chasm."

The author employed a similar method of contrasting

1<u>Across the Ghasm</u> (New York, 1885), p. 83. 2<u>1b1d.</u>, p. 309. North and South in "Miss Ayr of Virginia." a short story in which Miss Carter Ayr goes to visit her fashionable cousing in New York, only to find that Southerners are at a discount generally, and that her clothing, which had locked fine enough back home, moves her rich and stylish relatives to derision. She begins to win respect by displaying kinds of knowledge quite beyond the scope of townbred people. Her relatives find, moreover, that though they have the means, she has the airs, and she irritates them by a presumably typical Southern indifference to money. "Carter Ayr." said one of them, outraged by her decision to reject the affluent Jim Stafford, "I'd like to know what you are thinking of and what you expect. You Southern people act as if you owned the earth." But Carter, after delivering some prenouncements on the irrelevance of money to love, returns to marry her rustic fiance in Virginia.

Light as they are, however, Miss Magruder's stories are sprinkled with tart criticisms of Southern manhood for what she regarded as its serious failings - indolence, and an absurd self-conceit which arose from nothing but a paucity of contacts with the new world. Back in her little hamlet of Bassett, Margaret Trevennon could look about and

Hise Ayr of Virginia (Chicago, 1896), pl 54.

recall "a system of things of which the inertia and the irresponsibleness that jarred upon her so, in the people around her, seemed the logical outgrowth." She concludes that "Servitude itself seems to me a nobler kind of life 2 than absolute idleness," and she tells her lazy Southern suiter, Charley Somers, "It isn't the first time I've 3 advised you to take lessons from the Yankees."

She had no patience with Southerners who felt that "a Southern man had better take the wrong way in any issue than learn the right way from a Yankee," and wished above all to see a type who loved his own land best "because he had compared it with others, and not because he was ignorant of everything beyond it." In young Alan Decourcy Miss Trevennon recognized a Southern man who "had had sufficient contact with the world to get rid of that colossal belief in himself and his own methods and manners, as the only commendable ones which she felt to be one of the chief failings of her countrymen."

A few simple tales, in which Southern provincialism

1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 24. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 6. 3<u>Across the Chass</u>, p. 255. 4<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 144. 5<u>Ibid.</u> 6<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 143. is soored, and Southern chivalry and idealism are praised, form Miss Magruder's contribution to the growing legend.

The state of Georgia was as prolific in sectional peacemakers as was Virginia in impreconciliables. Joel Chandler Harris has always been grouped with the exponents of the New South, largely because of his association with Evan P. Howell and especially with Henry W. Grady, whose celebrated oration "The New South" has been taken as a general Southern recantation. But a full study of the writings of Harris reveals that his position is quite difficult to fix. Two things may be said of him with certainty; the first, that he was a strong opponent of sectionalism; the second, that he lived long enough into the new era to perceive that a civilization whose every value is predicated upon profit and loss is not an unmixed blessing.

Harris grew up in the Reconstruction period in middle Georgia, a region "which was then, and is now the l most democratic in the world," with nothing in his anteeedents or experience to give him a predilection for the old order. He could see little sense in the Civil War and less in the partisan spirit which sought to fan its fires

LJcel Chandler Harris, <u>Gabriel Tolliver</u>: <u>A Story</u> <u>ef Reconstruction</u> (New York, 1902), p. 95.

after 1865. As early as 1879 he was attacking sectionalism as a chief obstacle to the welfare of the nation:

We do not regard this question of sectionalism as at all political in the usual acceptance of the herm. We look upon it as a disaster of the most deadly aspect - a disease that slays the social instincts of the people and destroys commercial enterprise and national progress. We have protested against it, not as Georgians, or as Southerners, but as Americans. 1

At the same time he was unceasingly attacking the provincialism of Southern literature, which he thought productive of tendencies "preposterous in themselves" and "deadly in 2 their effects upon literary art." He was bold enough to attribute it to "the social and political isolation in which the South sought to preserve its peculiar property investment."

Yet Harris recognized the partisan spirit was fully as furious in the North as in the South, and he could not help contrasting Southern statesmen of the old regime with the type of men whom Republican ascendancy had placed in the saddle:

It seems like a dream to remember the giants who grappled in intellectual contests in the Senate

Quoted in Julia Collier Harris (ed.), <u>Joel Chandler</u> <u>Harris, Editor and Essavist</u> (Chapel Hill, 1931), p. 41. From the Atlanta <u>Constitution</u>, December 6, 1879.

²<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 47. From the Atlanta <u>Constitution</u>, June 29, 1881.

3<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 44. From the Atlanta <u>Constitution</u>, November 3, 1879.

Chamber in Washington during the decade previous to seession, and to think of their shadows now. These men had principles, and they upheld them: after all, the best that could be said of them the best that could be said of any man - is that they were honest. No Gredit Mobilier manipulations clung to their skirts, and the "Art of Addition, Division, and Silence" was to them unknown. 1

With such remarks in mind, one may turn to the one novel in which Harris presented the issues of the postbellum settlement. In 1902, many years after he had won the plaudits of the nation with the unforgettable Uncle Remus. he wrote Gabriel Tolliver: A Story of Reconstruction. Ϊt is a work of very poor literary quality; its blurred characters, loose plot, and stale incidents leave it far below the comparable productions of Cable, Page, and Dixon. But the historian of the Southern mind will find highly interesting certain passages which express a now fully grown distruct of the new business civilization. It may not be without significance, moreover, that these are not always placed in the mouths of characters, but sometimes proceed from the author ex cathedra. The first chapter. for example. begins with a sermon-like paragraph which might well raise the shades of the clerical apologists:

> In all ages of the world and in all places, there are men of restless but superficial minds,

^{1&}lt;u>Ibid.</u>, p. 12. From a contribution of undetermined date to the Savannah Morning News.

whe mistake repose and serenity for stagnation: no doubt then, as now, the most awful sentence to be passed on a community was to say that it was not progressive. But when you examine into the matter, what is called progress is nothing more or less than the multiplication of the resources of those who, by means of dicker and barter, are trying all the time to overreach the public and their fellows, in one way, and another. This sort of thing has now a double name; it is civilization, as well as progress, and those who take things as they find them in their morning newspaper, without going to the trouble to reflect for themselves, are no doubt duly impressed by terms large enough to fill both ear and mouth at one and the same time.

Southern writers in the antebellum period had praised the superior sentimental values of Southern vivilingtion, and there was widespread fear that these could not survive the advance of rationalism, science, and commerce. By the turn of the century, Harris had become convinced that the fear was justified. As he declared in another part of <u>Gabriel Tolliver</u>:

> It has been demonstrated recently on some very wide fields of action that the atmosphere of commercialism is unfavorable to the growth of sentiments of an ideal character. That is why wise men, who believe in the finer issues of life are inclined to be suspicious of what is loosely called civilization and progress, and doubtful of the theories of those who clothe themselves in the mantle of science. 2

Apparently it had been brought home to Harris, as

lGabriel Tolliver: A Story of Reconstruction
(New York, 1902), p. 18.
lGabriel Tolliver: A Story of Reconstruction
(New York, 1902), p. 18.

John Bonald Wade has said, that the irreconcilables were in their blundering way right. But not being given to self-deception, he could see nothing but an increase in this destructive spirit when he looked ahead. Near the close of the novel he makes Mrs. Claiborne dourly remark:

> You will have plenty of company in the moneygrabbing business before long. I can see it now, and every time I think of it I feel sorry for our young men, yes, and our young women, and the long generations that are to some after them. In the course of a very few years you will find your business to be more respectable than any of the professions. You remember how, before the war, we used to sneer at the Yankees for their money-making proclivities? Well, it won't be very long before we'll beat them at their own gene; and then our politicians will thrive. For each and all of them will have their principles dictated by a Shylock and his partners. 2

When Gabriel Tolliver, after his escape from Federal detention, addresses the crowd at Halcyondale, he takes for his thesis the narrow and somewhat pragmatic question, "Why should a parcel of politicians turn us against a Government under which we are compelled to live? " This was a statement in brief of the whole issue. That the idea of union, apart from its perversions and abuses, was telerable most Southerners would have agreed. But now it seemed clear to Harris, as to the disunionists of half a

1"Profits and Losses in the Life of Joel Chandler Herris," <u>American Review</u>, I (April, 1933), 33. 2<u>Gabriel Tolliver</u>, p. 404.

century before, that union was a device through which the assault upon a civilization of "higher sentimental values" was being carried to completion. And it was not clear that acceptable compensations were being made for the old chivalry, generosity, hospitality, and romantic idealism. Hewever much he might abjure the false ideals and the sectional preferences of Southern orthodoxy, he lived to regret that the old speciousness of life had flown, to be supplanted by the meaner, calculating spirit of commercialism.

The last member of the group with strong Southern bias was Thomas Dixon. Nearly forty years had elapsed since the close of civil strife, and the Spanish-American War - which saw the appointment of "Fighting Joe" Wheeler to a major-generalship in the United States Army - had found the two sections united against a foreign enemy, when Dixon undertook to tell the story of Reconstruction from the extreme Southern point of view. Page had attempted the task, but his desire to have the North recognize the worth of the Virginia gentleman, and his gingerly method of handling both personalities and incidents kept him from coming to grips with the subject. Both James Lane Allen and Grace King had in the same period touched on the many difficulties of adjustment, but it was reserved for Dixon to present the struggle as a stark conflict of good against

evil.

In view of Dixon's early life, one might have expected a different course. He was a native of North Carolina, that peculiar Southern state, so valiant to defend the old order while there seemed change of saving it and so enterprising to get on with the new once its inevitability became apparent. He was not unacquainted with the North, for as a minister he had held pastorates in both Boston and New York. Furthermore, his fletion was not the work of youthful impulse; at a fairly young age, it is reported, he had resolved not to publish until he had "come te forty year," and he was in fact thirty-seven when he began his Reconstruction trilogy with <u>The Leopard's Spots</u>: <u>A Romance of the White Nan's Burden</u>.

Teeming with characters who embody the conflicting forces of the time, this novel begins with the surrender of Lee's army and ends with the day years later when the white people of North Caroline, "the typical American democracy," wrest the government of their state from the negroes and their unscrupulous allies. Unquestionably the dominant figure is the Reverend John Durham, an admirably conceived representative of the conservative Southern elergy, for whom Dixon had the models of Dabney, Eledsoe, and Moses Hoge. The Reverend Durham is a traditional Southerner who believes that society is instituted by God; and he has no more notion of retreating before the dogmas of the new radicalism than he has of yielding to the devil.

> As a preacher he spoke with authority. He was narrow and dogmatic in his interpretation of the Bible, but his very narrowness and dogmaticm were his flesh and blood, elements of his power. He simply announced the truth. The wise received it. The fools rejected it and were damned. That was all there was to it. 1

The feeling of righteousness made him hard, and when a Boston lady reformer with time and money on her hands sought his aid toward establishing a school for the freedmen, she received a statement of his views which did not leave much room for customary Southern politeness:

> "Your mission is to teach crack-brained theories of social and political equality to four millions of ignorant negroes, some of whom are but fifty years removed from the savagery of the African jungles. Your work is to separate and alienate the negroes from their former masters who can be their only real friends and guardians. Your work is to sow the dragon's teeth of an impossible social order that will bring forth its harvest of blood for our children."

He paused for a moment, and suddenly facing her, continued, "I should like to help the cause you have at heart; and the most effective service I could render it now would be to box you up in a glass cage, such as are used for rattlesnakes, and ship you back to Boston. 2

1<u>The Leopard's Spots</u> (New York, 1902), p. 40. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, pp. 46-47. Throughout the viciesitudes of this indescribable era the Reverend John Durham remains the voice of the white South, opposing reason to ignorant famaticism and counselling his people against despair.

In the novel one encounters the negro, bewildered and pathetic, expecting that the new freedom will mean "eternal rest, not work." He is the tool of crafty scalawags, who say, "He thinks he's going to heaven, but we'll ride him all the way up to the gate and hitch him 2 on the outside." The Ku Klux Klan is brought in as a "Law and Order League" and credited with having ended chaos in the hapless South in a brief matter of weeks.

But in addition to these incidents, which reflect the political passions of the hour, one finds observations on the place of the South in the nation profounder than those ordinarily incorporated in fiction. For Dixon saw the South as the great fly-wheel of all American society, temporarily worsted in its contest with Northern radicalism, but destined to survive and to combat the possibly more insidious radicalism of the future. He makes the Reverend Durham say to a deacon from Boston, come down to entice him to a Northern pastorate:

> 1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 69. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 106.

I've studied your great cities. Believe me the South 1s worth saving. Against a possible day when a flood of foreign anarchy threatens the foundations of the Republic and men shall laugh at the faiths of your fathers, and undigested wealth beyond the dreams of avarice rots your society until it mocks at honor, love and God - against that day we will preserve the South. 1

But deeper and more meaningful than this is the doctrine of particularism which lies at the bottom of his choice. It teaches that internationalism and cosmopolitanism are but disguises for those who have no true character. Thus Charles Gaston, reviewing the program of his party before an excited political convention, declares:

> I am in a sense narrow and provincial. I love mine own people. Their past is mine, their present mine, their future is a divine trust. I hate the dishwater of modern world citizenship. A shallow cosmopolitanism is the mask of death for the individual. It is the froth of civilization, as crime is its dregs. The true citizen of the world loves his country. 2

He gees on to rejoice that the South "has sneered at papermade policies, and scorned public opinion," that she is "eld-fashiened, medieval, provincial, worshipping the dead, and raising children rather than making money," that she is never found "knowing her own interest, but living her own life in her own way." It is a defiant address, celebrating

> ¹<u>Ibid</u>., p. 334. ²<u>Ibid</u>., p. 441. ³<u>Ibid</u>.

every feature of Southern individualism and recalcitrance even more boldly than the political apologists had done, and in a sense focussing the meaning of a powerful propaganda novel.

The story of <u>The Leopard's Spots</u> is so strong in its implications that the author felt it necessary to provide an historical note in which he affirmed that the incidents marrated either were drawn from authentic records or had come within his personal knowledge, and that the only liberty he had taken was "to tone down the facts to make <u>1</u> them credible in fiction." Even so they brought him hundreds of letters of inquiry.

Each member of the trilogy, however, is weaker than its predecessor both as propaganda and as literature. The <u>Leopard's Space</u> concerns chiefly the negro in politics; <u>The Clansman</u>, which comes next, deals with the operations of the Invisible Empire. Among its characters are Lincoln, Grant, Johnson, Charles Summer, Ben Butler, and "The Hon. Austin Stoneman," a thin disguise for Thaddeus Stevens, the archemeny of Southern civilization. To preserve the basis conflict, Dixon brings Stoneman South for his health,

1 The Bookman, XX (February, 1905), 500.

where the old man, though responsive to Southern kindness, remains implaceble in his decision to force negro supremacy. But events make a mookery of his decision. His daughter falls in love with the leader of the Ku Klux Klan; his son marrowly misses execution for killing a negro, and in a final passage unsurpassable for melodrama the evil genius of Northern radicalism himself repents, blaming his course on a desire for personal vengeance and the blandishments of a mulatto mistress. In some scenes, nevertheless, the melodrama derives from the kind of truth which exceeds fistion: the suicide of Mrs. Lenoir and her daughter, who leep hand-in-hand from a precipice after the latter has been violated by a negro, was based on an actual occurrence in the vicinity.

The Clansman devotes less space than its forerunner to a citation of Southern virtues, but is careful to make the point that the Ku Klux Klan, instead of being a symbol of lawlessness, is on the contrary an expression of Southern determination to have law and order. The Southerner is described as "an ultraconservative, and the last man on 3 earth to become a revolutionist." That is why he battled

> 1<u>The Clansman</u> (New York, 1905), p. 371. 2<u>The Bookman, loc. c1t</u>. 3<u>The Clansman</u>, p. 277.

for the Constitution against the Yankees, who had denounced it as a covenant with hell.

The Traitor presents the dissolution of the original Klan, whose titular head was Nathan Bedford Forrest, and its re-organization by less responsible elements for irregular purposes. The political theme is here too light to support the novel, which turns into a story of the romance between John Craham, a member of the Klan loyal to its first purposes, and Stella Butler, the daughter of a scalawag judge. By this time Dixon had given ample statement to his thesis, and the reader misses the vigor and passion of The Leopard's Spots.

Although they will not stand the test of close inspection as literature, these three novels must be remembered for their influence in molding the mind of the time. Shrewd observers back in the sixties had known that there was in the North a large body of people who responded to the ideals for which the South declared it was fighting. The general reception accorded The Leopard's Spote was the first indication that the South might yet win the ideological battle. The fact that a work of such militant spirit could be widely read in the North was a sign that the old division into rebel and loyalist, or Bourbon and democrat was weakening under the tendency to look more honestly at the social complex.

2. The Beginnings of Critical Realism

While this battle was in progress, however, there was developing in other quarters a spirit of criticism, for the Reconstruction South produced also a group of writers of fiction whose loyalty to Southern ideals was less than complete. These were, for the most part, artists who leved the Old South, and the remnants of it still surviving. for its color, romance, charm, and unreasonableness, but they were continuously disturbed by an inner voice of secial justice. One finds in their work sympathetic pictures of the vanishing order and a general endorsement of those virtues on which the South prided itself, but he finds too many uneasy questions, either direct or implied. All were consciously Southern, and all seem to have felt that reality subsists in the particularities which their section furnished so abundantly. Yet an impulse to drop the inherited idealogy and to examine the claims of the South in terms of more modern conceptions deflected to some extent the work of each. All saw that Southern society was filled with tragedles, but they felt that Reconstruction, though painful and destructive of much good, was an inevitable adjustment to realities. George W. Cable and James Lane Allen were the chief representatives of this school, to which Grace King, Charles Egbert Craddock,

and John Fox in a measure belong. Of the five, Cable and Allen ended their lives in self-imposed exile in the North, and the other three, after touching on the seemingly unresolvable conflicts in their section, chose to immerse themselves in local color.

Gable was the first to discover what he believed to be basic inconsistencies in the conventional Southern attitude. Descended on the one side of German, and on the other of New England Puritan ancestry, he grew up in New Orleans a child of the place, absorbing the ideals and beliefs of a multi-racial community. At nineteen he joined the Fourth Mississippi Cavalry, fought skillfully, and suffered a severe wound. During his life in the army he found many hours for serious study and after his return to New Orleans some clever contributions to the <u>Pleayune</u> won him a position on the staff of that paper. He held this until conscientious objection to Sunday drama led him to refuse an assignment to write theatrical criticism.

This incident marks the first appearance of an intense moral earnestness, which is the focus of much of his fiction, and which drove him to grapple with the tremendous and complicated problem of the negro. It resulted eventually in his flight from the Deep South to Northhampton, Massachusetts, where strictures upon the Southern whitee would not inour ostracism. Other Southerners

had felt the stifling effect of creeds unanimously supported. Mark Twain, for example, after two weeks of inglorious service with the Confederate army, fled West, and in his enveer as a writer thereafter, never ceased to satirize anything that could be identified with the romantic Old South. But Cable made the experiment of staying home to cope with the problem in ease, not with the idea of achieving a working compromise, but with the object of demonstrating a theory of race relations which should endure because it was based on fixed principles.

It is indicative of Cable's Puritan conscience that he was first made thoughtful by the easy and evasive reasoning with which some Southern newspapers were accepting the result of the war. He had gone into what he considered a struggle for principle; if the principle was right last year, it could not be wrong this year merely because one side had made more cannon and mustered more men than the other. Such reflections led him to a critical study of the issues of the conflict, and from this he passed to a study of that highly surcharged subject, the general position of the negro in the South.

Cable's first stories, which appeared in book form under the title <u>Old Creole Days</u>, reveal him especially sensitive to the euricus human relationships produced by mixed blood in Louisiana, Certainly no student of human

Justice could everlook the problems posed by that New Orleans casts known as the quadroons. Here was a group of women possessing one quarter part of negro blood, yet in whom the African inheritance was so little perceptible that they often more than measured up to the Gaucasian standard of attractiveness. Cable noted

> their faultlessness of feature, their perfection of form, their varied styles of beauty, - for there were even pure Caucasian blondes among them, their fascinating manners, their sparkling vivacity, their chaste and pretty wit, their grace in the dance, their modest propriety, their taste and elegance in dress. In the gentlest and most poetic sense they were indeed the sirens of this land, where it seemed "always afternoon" - a momentary triumph of an Arcadian over a Christian civilization, so beautiful and so seductive that it became the subject of special chapters by writers of the day more original than correct as social philosophers.

In consequence they were much sought after by the pureblooded aristocrats of the community, but no alliance with them could receive the sanction of law or the approval of society. Although they were capable of every reciprocation of love and faithfulness, and often exhibited life-long loyalties to their white paramours, a race discrimination of castigon rigidity denied them scknowledgement and opportunity.

Cable first stirred the resentment of his fellow

Lold Creole Days (New York, 1927), p. 5.

townsmen by alluding to their sense of hopelessness, and to the quiet, long-enduring tragedies of which this was the cause. Madame Delphine dramatizes the situation with a flare-up of rebellion when she asks the priest why her daughter can not make a legal marriage.

> "Why did they make that law?" he replies, "Well, they made it to keep the two races separate." Madame Delphine startled the speaker with a loud, harsh, angry, laugh. Fire came into her eyes, and her lips curled with scorn.

"Then they made a lie, Pere Jerome! Separate! no. They do not want to keep us separated; no, no! But they do want to keep us despised." She laid her hand on her heart, and frowned upward with physical pain. "But very well! from which race do they want to keep my daughter separate? She is seven parts white. The law did not stop her from being that; and now, when she wants to be a white man's good and honest wife, shall the law stop her? Oh, no." She rose up, "No; I will tell you what that law is made for. It is made to -punish- mychild -for- not - choosing - her - father! Pere Jerome- my God, what a law." 1

In "Tite Paulette" the mother says to her daughter, who is known throughout the neighborhood as a rare beauty: "You will be lonely, lonely all your poor life long. There's no place in the world for us poor women. I wish we were 2 either white or black."

The strong reaction which met these overt expressions of sympathy drove Cable into a series of highly interesting

^{1&}lt;u>Ibid.</u>, p. 62. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 221.

social studies, which even today must rank among the solid contributions to the literature of the great race problem. The first of them, The Creoles of Louisiana (1884), was a fairly full examination of those people who have maintained a Latin civilization in their part of the continental United States. Writing in reply to a charge that he had misrepresented the Creoles, Cable concluded the work with a plea for the continuance of their language and culture. In the following year, however, he boldly attacked the more serious theme of the negro in The Bilent South. This is the most searching and the most outspoken analysis of the race question presented up to this time by a Southerner, if we except the sociologists of the antebellum period, who were equally frank with another point of view. On many of the issues raised by Reconstruction Cable agreed with his He felt that the carpetbaggers had richly countrymen. deserved their fate: and he moreover believed that the negro, in comparison with the cultivated white man, was a degraded being; but he stoutly maintained that the perpetual state of pupilage contemplated for the negro by the Southern whites would prove an injustice to him and an injury to themselves. It would mean for the South a frozen

17he Silent South (New York, 1885), p. 97.

solidity in politics, and for its people evasions, dishonesties, and all the corruptions which proceed from an attempt to conceal a moral enormity.

Gable laid down in this work a distinction which esuld be of immense help in handling those situations where law, ethical consideration, and personal preference appear in conflict. It is a simple distinction between civil rights and social privileges. "Social equality," he said persuptorily, "is a fool's dream." It is made impossible not only by such palpable differences as color and feature, but also by those infinite sources of gradations recognized as operative among men. "Social equality can never exist where a community, numerous enough to assert itself, is actuated, as every civilized community is, by an intellectual and moral ambition." Civil rights, on the other hand, are a different thing: they are every man's birthright, and the conferring of them upon the negro would not destroy the principle of segregation. The South could grant the negro simple justice, he thought, by according him these, and still retain the social divisions it had always preferred.

Five years later Cable returned to the subject in a

1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 54. 2<u>Ibid</u>. yet more positive frame of mind. <u>The Negro Question</u> (1890) is a re-expesition of the status of the Southern negro, and an affirmation that despite the revolution sought by the North, the South still preserved the slaveholder's attitude toward the emancipated race. He even recommended that unless the Democratic Party changed its negro policy the Federal Government once more intervene to secure for the negro his right of citizenship wherever it was withheld. It is again characteristic of Cable's conscience that a diminution of general furor over the place of the negro did mothing to weaken his insistence that justice be executed as first proclaimed.

Nor should it be surprising that in view of his experience as a Confederate soldier, his encounters with Southern sensibility in the matter of mixed blood, and if frank espousal of negro rights he should produce one of the few realistic novels about Reconstruction. In <u>John</u> <u>Hereh</u>, <u>Southerner</u> (1894), the reader finds the sharp clash of character, the divergence of interests, and the honest confusion of mind naturally to be expected of people who must make a great transition at the same hour in which they are endeavoring to rebuild a ruined country. The setting is Suez, a small county seat and shipping port on the fringe of the mountains, where the planter, the negro, the hillbilly, and the Northern emigre mingle in colorful contrast.

Never very successful at describing characters in the full. Cable here apparently designed them to represent provailing points of view; yet it may be said that what they lack in round human qualities is somewhat compensated for by the figreeness of the political passions they embody. Hejor Carnet is the unreconstructed Southerner, who cannot honestly regret the overthrow of slavery, but who feels that its passing confronts the white race with new and scarcely less serious problems in dealing with the black man. "In the depths of a soldier's sorrow for the cause he loved and lost, there had been the one consolation that the unasked for freedom so stupidly thrust upon these poor slaves was in certain respects an emancipation of their masters." He lies awake at night to ponder the destiny of "the whele Southern world, with its two distinct divisions the shining upper - the dark nether -," and at length concludes that "a man who, taking all the new risks, still taught these poor, base, dangerous creatures to keep the only place they could keep with safety to themselves or 2 their superiors, was to them the only truly merciful man." Another member of the unreconstructed element is "Professor"

> 1<u>John March, Southerner</u> (New York, 1918), p. 38. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 40.

Settigrew, an unhappy Virginian in exile, for whom "the **set and maxime of religion** were refuted by the out-

General Launcelot Halliday, the reconstructible Southerner, is the foil to Major Garnet. He comes home bringing a Yankee from the very command to which he surrendered and gives such signs of having "harmonized" and "ascepted the situation" that his oldest friends are outraged. The General is chided so much that he composes a sententious letter to the press about those "who, when their tree has been cut down even with the ground, will try to sit in the shade of the stump," and "who, now that slavery is gone, still cling to a civil order based on the eld plantation system." As if this were not enough, the General works with the freedmen, and brings into the local political struggles the same Southern stubbornness and pride with which he battled the Yankees.

There are numerous negro characters, together with a large amount of negro dialogue, most of which cannot be taken as flattering the intelligence or promoting the political ambitions of the race. It is genial, humorous,

^{1&}lt;u>Ibid.</u>, p. 195. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 51.

and sprinkled with those malapropisms into which the negro is likely to fall when striving to be impressive. Clearly Cable did not continue his argument for the negro by making him a political or social hero, but he did find means to point out the irrationality of the whites' position in regarding the negroes as so many indistinguishable work animals.

> On the way back, while Garnet explained to Mr. Gamble, the heavier guest, why negawes had to be treated not as individuals but as a class, John had been telling Mr. Fair why it was wise to treat chickens not as a class, but as individuals, and had mentioned the names and personal idiosyncrasies of the favorites of the flock. 1

Throughout the novel the general souffling of Reconstruction is in progress, as "a rain of pitch and ashes" showers down upon the unhappy South. The freedmen are first confused and then misled; communities live in dread of concerted negro action; bluecoats clatter through the streets on their errand of keeping the South peaceful and "loyal"; and white men of social station ride hooded through the night, whipping and killing. Despite its lack of consecutive narrative John March gives a better picture of the years of chaos than other novels written with neater plots and a more obvious profession of sympathy.

1<u>Ib1d.</u>, p. 83.

Cable continued his theme of North-South polarity by taking some inhabitants of Suez north of the Mason-Bixon line, but his carelessness about settings and the dimensected nature of the story prevent a fruitful result. The Southerners search their hearts to discover why they are "different" and conclude that it is a spiritual rather than a material South which makes them a distinct people. John March and Barbara Garret muse together as the train sppeds along.

> "It's not," returned Naroh, "a South of elimate, like the Yankee's Florida. It's a certain ungeographical South-within-the-South-cas pertable and as intangible as- as-." "As our souls in our bodies," interposed Barbara. "You've said it exactly. It's a sort of something- social, civil, political, economic-." "Remantic?" "Yes, romantic! Something that makes -." "No land like Dixie the wide world over." I

Gable was unique in possessing a New Englander's conscience and a Southerner's complexity of attitude. The South which he depicted, and which afterwards he had to contend against, was a real South, but the broad ethical generalizations on which he based his argumentative writings were typical of the outsider and explain his eventual exile. From another point of view, it might be said that Cable was

¹Ibid., p. 327.

the South's better self speaking, but a self too inexperienced, Anteressed, and uncertain to prevail generally against passion and exigency, more especially at a time when the public mind, both North and South, was not prepared to look at the truth.

James Lane Allen was the most interesting example of this group, for he illustrates in the progress of his thought a transition from the genteel, romantic view of the world to which his generation was born to an acceptance of rationalism and mineteenth-century science. A mative of the Blue Grass region of Kentucky, he grew up in one of the natural garden spots of the globe, the beauty and fortility of which are said to have made the first settlers remark that Heaven could only be another Kentucky. Here he attended Transylvania University, whose corridors had already schoed to the footsteps of Jefferson Davis, Stephen Austin. Thomas Holley Chivers, and Albert Taylor Bledsoe. After a short career as a teacher, he apolied himself seriously to writing, caught by the romantic possibilities of Lexington, gracious "Athens of the West," and the surrounding Blue Grass countryside. He performed a filial service by lovingly describing this section in The Blue Grass Region of Kentucky, and he used it as an idyllic backdrop for most of his stories. But this preocoupation with the charm and softness of Kentucky's agrarian paradise

was only the first step of a course which led him finally to accept the bleak necessities of naturalism.

In 1891, before he had become absorbed in the problems presented by the new science, before indeed he had peered beneath the mask of conventional human relationabips, he published Plute and Violin, a series of sketches treating of Kentucky in sentimental, romantic vein. Among them "Two Gentlemen of Kentucky" unfolds in the story of undramatic lives central Kentucky's change from a virtual garden of Eden supported by slavery, into the new state of confused and uncertain trends, a change so great that servivors of the old order were left baffled and lonely. and members of the new were projected beyond any sympathy with the beliefs of their forbears. The representative of the former is Colonel Romulus Fields, a character drawn to typify those landed gentlemen of Kentucky, the political and social leaders of their day, whose position was so such altered by the war that they left their native acres and moved to town, there to lead "idle, useless lives." He is attended by Peter Cotton, an ex-slave and the very non-parell of a devoted darkey.

Colonel Fields had no part in the conflict, but its cruel hatreds, nowhere more fierce than in the border states, had come to blight a life hitherto innocent of the hereher human passions. His younger brother had early

Whilen in battle; his saintly mother had perished from grief over the loss; political differences estranged him from the family of his sister; and all of his servants except the faithful Perer decamped: thus did the war break and scatter to the winds old fixed human relationships, dividing the pepulace "as the false mother would have severed the child."

Destiny had formed Colonel Fields to be "an ormament in the barbaric temple of human bondage," and when that temple was destroyed, he was left without a vocation. Feeling that the world was slipping from him, he made pathetic attempts to renew his contact with reality. He hung about Lexington's Cheapside and conversed with the farmers; he opened a hardware store in order that he might enjoy the society of his customers; he even tried politics, only to be convinced by his failure that "he had no part in the present." Peter Cotton, too, is unable to make the adaptations required by the age. When Colonel Fields informs him that under the new dispensation he is obligated to pay him a salary, the negro replies that he doesn't have "me use fur no salary." His congregation asks him to resign because he "wore Colonel Fields' second-hand clothes,

1Flute and Violin (New York, 1925), p. 188.

which were too big for him," and he "preached in the old-1 fachioned way." In Allen's elegant image: "The sun of their day had indeed long since set, but like twin clouds lifted high and motionless into some gray quarter of the twilight ekies, they were still radiant with the glow of 2 the invisible orb." When Colonel Fields inquires of Peter whether he thought they could pass as representatives of the New South, the philosophic negro summed up the situation 3 by saying, "We got to pass for what we wuz."

The gulf between the generations appears again in "Sister Deloress," another story from this collection. The here, Gordon Helm, is a young man of good extraction, coming into his inheritance just at a time when his society is deing forced "to the discovery of new ideals." More explicitly, this meant "putting into his relationship with his fellow-creatures an added sense of helpfulness, a broader sense of justice, and a certain energy of leadership in all things that make for a purer, higher, human 5 life."

In many passages such as these one sees Allen drifting

¹<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 117. ²<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 119. ³<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 127. ⁴<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 204. ⁵<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 203.

slowly into sympathy with the new age, speaking a good word for what was moble in the past, but displaying an increasing intolerance for its narrowness and pretentiousness. With <u>The Reign of Law</u>, published in 1900, he definitely allied himself against traditionalism, and with such forthrightness that he aroused widespread enmity in the local community.

The Reign of Law is one of the unique books of Southern fiction, a wholly unexpected novel to come from a purveyor of the old romance, bringing in nineteenth-century biological science to shatter the earlier complacent views of man and his position in the universe. It recounts the histories of two creatures of the great transition, but to the background of social ferment present in all Reconstruction nevels it adds an intellectual ferment. One of them is David, son of a small Kentucky hemp farmer, who upon hearing that an institution of higher learning is to be established in Lexington - an institution which shall stand in the borderland, knowing neither North nor South, a symbol that "the animosities were over, the humanities re-begun -" determines to acquire an education. With the proceeds of two years' labor in the hemp fields, David goes up to Horrison College, filled with that supreme reverence for learning found only in the ingenuous. All goes well until he begins to visit the various churches of the city and

discovers sach opposed to each, and each maintaining its position on grounds adduced from Apostolic Christianity. In a torment of doubt, David seeks out his pastor, only te be met with coldness, incomprehension, and scorn. This is the beginning of a course which can have but one end. Doubt leads to inquiry, and soon he is reading the works of the exponents of the new theory of evolution, from whom he learns that the world is designed to run according to lav. and not according to the whime of a capricious deity. When he furtively opened his copy of The Origin of Species, he felt that "It was the first time in his life that he had ever encountered outside of the Bible a mind of the highest order." Summoned before a committee to explain his irregular conduct, the best he could say was, "Lord, I believe, help thou mine unbelief." Allen goes somewhat out of his way to connect this inquisitorial body with the religious persecutors of all ages, including those who made use of the fagot, rope, and rack.

David returned home, confessed to his outraged and hearybroken parents, for whom his apostary was a sign of failure, and sank back into the inarticulate and meaningless life of a rural laborer.

^{1&}lt;u>The Reign of Law</u> (New York, 1900), p. 119. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 143.

Later in the novel he meets Gabriella, who has some from the other half of Kentucky life. She was one of those "penniless and unrecognized wards of the Federal government," whose portion in life had been swept away by war and emancipation. Born in a big white house in Lexington, brought up to expect a life made easy by income from vested property, theined in the ways of a brilliant and gracious society, she, like numberless other Southern girls, found herself made necessitous by a vast social upheaval.

> All that could be most luxurious and splendid in Kentucky during the last deep, rich years of the old social order, was Gabriella's : the extravagance, the gayety, the pride, the lovely manners, the selfishness and cruelty in its terrible, unconscious, and narrow way, the false ideals, the aristocratic wirtues. Then it was that, overspreading land and people, lay the full autumn of that sowing, which had moved silently on its way toward its fateful fruits for over fifty years. Everything was ripe, sweet, mellow, dropping, turning rotten. 2

Instill into one group of people the idea of the right of self-government and the idea that a man may do what he will with his own until these become passions; and instill into another the idea of nationalism and the idea that all slave-holding societies are morally abominable until these two become passions; bring these two groups into collision

> 1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 259. 2<u>Ibid</u>., p. 255.

so that a resolution of opposites is hammered out by war and one has a detatched summary of what happened to the two parts of the American Union in 1861-65. Gabriella. "one little girl living in Lexington, Kentucky," had her own task of coping with this awful settlement. Too proud to adopt the recourses familiar to an aristocracy after its economic support is withdrawn, she procured a teacher's gertificate and went into the country to take charge of a small school, feeling that the farther she travelled from the Lexington she had known in happier days, the easier would be her spirit. Like Dandridge Mountjoy in Miss Glasgow's The Battle-ground, only after she had been discarded by the society into which she was born did she discover how cruel it could be to those it excluded. In the country she found David, and after some meetings and discussions which give him an opportunity to unfold his newly-acquired scientific view of the world, they decide on a life together. In a final bitter touch Allen makes David conclude that he must leave Kentucky and go North: "I must be where people think as I do." But there is consolation in the thought that "The whole world will believe in evolution before I am an old man." And with this, the

^{1&}lt;u>Ibid.</u>, p. 379. 2<u>Ibid</u>.

son of a poor farmer, defeated by traditional theology, and the daughter of a proud aristocracy, who shared in the defeat brought on by its arrogance and presumption, depart to find a new life in a new atmosphere.

Allen himself, after having offended his local public even more deeply by exploring the psychology of marital incompatibility, spent the latter part of his life in New York City, away from the scenes which had first allured his imagination.

Perhaps the best historian of the break-up of the eld class system was Grace King, who had been born into the antebellum New Orleans aristocracy. At the Institut St. Houls she received a fashionable education of the French mode, but her family was impoverished by the war, and the New Orleans she knew was a city of <u>noveaux pauvres</u>, in which, as she was to remark in <u>Balcony Stories</u>, typevriting girls were as numerous as heiresses had formerly been. All about her she saw pitiful attempts to keep up the forms and grandeurs of the old regime, and many of her stories are built about incidents which tell of the transition from patrician splendor to the plebeian level of life. There

Balcony Stories (New York, 1892), p. 23.

is the pathetic story of Marie Motte, whose father had been killed in the war and whose mother shortly thereafter died. For thirteen years she had been kept at the fashionable Institut St. Denis supposedly by the obarity of an uncle. But upon her graduation it is revealed that her true benefactor had been Marcelite, an ex-slave of the family, who had earned sufficient money as a hairdresser to give her mistress the kind if education a young lady of station should have.

The lords of the old society are everywhere cast low. In "The Old Lady's Restoration" an aged grande dame is shown tottering down Royal Street, where she sells to an antique shop the remaining valuables of a disappearing estate. In "La Grande Demoiselle" Idalie Sainte Foy Mortemant des Islets, who had grown up on a sugar plantation in something approaching: oriental luxury, becomes after the war a teacher in a colored public school, hiding her face from the world by a weil, until she is picked up and married by "old Champigny," who himself dwelled in "the 1 wretched little cabin that replaced his former home." Host poignant of all is the story of "Bonne Maman." Struck to the heart by the defeat of her country, and unable to

1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 32.

Learn the methods of business, the heroine loses every acre of her possessions. "They came in a royal grant; they want in a royal cause. There were law quibbles; but was she one to lose a creed to grovel for coppers?" Accordingly she decides, "As the men fought, left the women suffer 2 against everwhelming odds." She therefore seeks out an ald slave cabin in the quadroon section of New Orleans and lives a reeluse until her death, foregoing all ameliorations of her lot. She was convinced that this was the life to which she was committed "by fate and by principle."

Throughout her stories, as an omen of the South's distraught future, there is the rise of the hitherto unrecognized classes to power and leadership. The aristocrats are outraged by the thought that the Institut St. Denis will be taken over by Madame Joubert, who, it was complained, "had not a single qualification, nothing, except 4 an education." Morris Frank, the son of a despised German overseer, rescues the abandoned St. Marie Plantation, becomes the respected part owner, and leads the state in yield of sugar cane. "That is the way with those

85. ¹Tales of a Time and Place (New York, 1892), p. ²Ibid., p. 87. ³Ibid. ⁴Honsieur Motte (New York, 1888), p. 168.

revolutionnaires," says the indignant Tante Pauline in "The Brame of an Evening." "They come from the depths; not from the bourgeoisie, my dear, but from the people,- the 1 people."

Niss King has a pervasive sense of irony, and the misfortunes which fell upon her class in the South are made to appear but specimens of those reversals which capricious destiny deals out to everyone. Life has a way of mocking expectations. Says a speaker in "Monsieur Motte":

> Look at our schoolmates: not one turned out as she expected. Those who had a vocation to lead religious lives, who would be nothing but nuns, they were the first ones married and having children christened. Those who were ready to fall in love with every new tenor at the opera, they became <u>devotes</u>. Those who cared only for money fell in love with poor men; and those who made their lives a poem with love for the hero, - they married for money.2

Such disposition to see social transformations in a larger pattern gave her a status somewhat above that of the mere local colorist. Though lacking in intensity and profundity, Niss King composed sympathetic, thoughtful, and sometimes witty stories of the transition, and her tales are told with an objectivity which divides her from the apologists.

> ¹<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 222. 2<u>Monsieur Motte</u>, p. 158.

A mixed attitude toward Southern institutions, ecomparable with that of Cable and Allen, is, found also in Gharles Egbert Graddock, whose criticism tends on the whele to be more inclsive than theirs. Although interested mainly in the local color afforded by the Tennessee mountains, she took a Reconstruction theme for her novel <u>Where the Battle Was Fought</u>. The hero of his tale is Captain Estvicke, a Southern Unionist, and the chief Confederate actor, General Vayne, narrowly misses being a somic figure. There is little sign of sympathy in her description of General Vayne, who covers up a lask of business capacity with the Southern habit of lofty speech. Ne holds everything up to a "moral magnifying glass." Thus

> In the rickety court-house in the village of Chattalla, five miles out there to the south, General Vayne beheld a temple of justice. He translated an office-holder as the sworn servant of the people. The state was this great commonwealth, and its seal was a proud escutcheon. A fall in cotton struck him as a blow to the commerce of the world. From an adverse political fortune he augured the swift ruin of the country. 2

Even when he is in private conversation, "His method of enunciation might suggest to the literary mind the profuse

> 1where the Battle Was Fought (Boston, 1892), p. 4. 21016.

use of capital letters." A guest in his home is likely to be addressed with rotund periods such as "Conservatism, air, is the moral centripetal force that curbs the flighty world." It is a cruel but probably just remark which Maurice Breanett makes of the General as he observes him holding an audience spellbound in the town square: "If that man had even a modicum of common sense, he could do anything - anything."

Nor was she disposed to grow sentimental over the South's adherence to departed glories. She describes the leafers congregated about the square in the morning, rehearsing incidents of the war until even the language of their narrative has become cliche. Overhearing them, one could realize "that all their interest lay in the past, and that they looked upon the future as only capable of furnishing a series of meagre and supplemental episodes." A feminine impatience with the lack of initiative on the part of Southern men, visible too in Julia Magruder, shows in many passages of this work, and stamps Miss Murfree as at least a potential reformer.

> ¹<u>Ibid</u>., p. 6. ²<u>Ibid</u>. ³<u>Ibid</u>., p. 284. ⁴<u>Ibid</u>., p. 174.

John Fox, Jr., presents an ambiguous case, for he apparently felt that the North deserved the victory and the South the glory. The Little Shepherd of Kingdom Come, his one novel dealing with the Civil War, describes without a trace of partisan bias the struggle as it was fought out in Kentucky. Chadwick Buford, the central character, like mountaincers from the entire Appalachian area. sides with the Union. But the ground of his decision is not made very specific, and he moves through the story a colorless embodiment of duty while his friends and benefactors, having taken the Confederate side, monopolize the glamor. Indepd. John Hunt Morgan becomes the hero of this novel in the same perverse way that Batan does of Paradise Lost. and it is the rebel soldiers who win the encomiums. "They were born fighters," Fox wrote in his chapter on "Morgan's Nen;*

> a spirit of emulation induced them to learn the drill; pride and patriotism kept them true and patient to the last, but they could not be made by punishment, or the fear of it, into machines. They read their chance of success, not in opposing numbers, but in the character and reputation of their commanders, who in turn, believed, as a rule, that "the unthinking automaton, formed by routine and punishment, could no more stand before the high-strung young soldier of brains and good blood, and some practice and knowledge of warfare, than a tree could resist a stroke of lightning." 1

^{1909),} p. 276.

With this kind of introduction, the blue-blooded Kentuckians dash from state to state and perform all the spectacular actions.

At a dinner given in Lexington in 1860 Chad had heard a brilliant lawyer say: "The struggle was written in the Constitution. The framers evaded it. Logic leads one way as well as another and no man can logically blame another for the way he goes." When Chad determines to leave his friends and don the blue, he is not conscious of sharing the prevalent sectional animosities, least of all that generated by slavery. He had the typical mountaineer's attitude toward the negro. "To him slaves were hewers of wood and drawers of water. The Lord made them so, and 2 the Bible said it was right."

He went into the war in response to an older loyalty, which is identified with the spirit of '76, and having fought gallantly and successfully, he emerges not only without animus, but with something of a yearning for the affection of his old friends. The solution "every man, on both sides, was right, who did his duty," satisfies him perfectly. Although one may think this is surrendering to the problem rather than disposing of it, he should recall

> 1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 210. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 239. 3<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 400.

that Basil Duke and a large number of others could find no different epilogue.

3. Humorous Satire

As the century neared its close, a third motive appeared in Southern fiction, alien alike to the sentimental romance of Cooke and Page and to the moral earnestness of Gable, Allen, and other nascent realists. This was a vein of sympathetic satire, which took over the Southern legend, now grown to wast proportions, and poked some sly fun at its creatures. The two sons of the South who led in this delightful type of comedy were Opic Read and F. Hopkinson Smith, the one a wagabond journalist and <u>bon vivant</u>; the other a professional engineer and builder of lighthouses when he was not engaged in art and letters.

It would be improper to call these writers critics of the South, for they were rather exploiters of local celor, who saw great opportunity for humor in contrasting the legend with the reality. Neither was sufficiently immersed in the Southern tradition to have felt in danger of being damned with it, and, on the other hand, neither felt the duty of remonstrance. There is nothing prescriptive in their pages and no hint that they would have had the Southern colonel anything other than what he had always been. They were literary satirists who perceived that much could be made of Southern quixotism, although neither appears to have considered the sobering thought that reason may conclimes lie in madness and that the wisdom of the world may be foolishness with God.

Opic Read was one of those Southerners who by reason of temperament and fortune found it easy to adapt themselves to the postbellum world. The greater part of his life he spent in the North, but it was the South which held his interest and peopled his imagination. The bulk of Read's fiction appeared in cheap, paper-bound volumes, which were frequently peddled on trains, yet no one can read this gifted story-teller without feeling that in him a great talent was made to serve inferior ends. Few authors of the period could match him in perception of human motives, in contrivance of situations, and in delineation of character. Endowed with the artist's eye for the significant detail and a fine sense of the sardonic. he went through life serving up the picturesque, eccentric, and shiftless aspects of the South for no other end than that of simple entertainment.

A representative specimen of Read's work is <u>A</u> <u>Kentucky Colonel</u>. In this amusing tale Philip Burwood, a newspaper reporter out of work, becomes amanuensis for Colonel Remington Osbury, who has decided to win literary

time by writing a history of Shellout County. The plot, which leads through various complications to the young man's marriage with the daughter of his employer, is unimportant. The substance of the work is the fine description of life on a Kentucky manor, with its naturalness, laginess, and come-day-go-day indifference to the passage of time. The Colonel himself is the traditional compound of generosity, iraseibility, vanity, absurdity, and indolence. Like F. Hopkinson Smith's Colonel Carter, he is proudly innocent of the ways of the commercial world, and upon being warned that his <u>History</u> may meet with adverse criticism from the reviewers, he settles the matter by declaring that "The books were sent out as presents, and that no gentleman would criticise a present."

The chief characteristic of Read's Southern types is shiftlessness. The Colonel; Uncle Buckhorn, who sits all day in his chair and follows the shade around the porch; the son Henry, who turns away a client from his real estate office rather than interrupt a chat about literature; the worthless tenant farmer Jack Gap; and the negroes are all studious avoiders of exertion. Unquestionably Read thought of this as the South's inheritance from slavery, for in the

1A Kentucky Colonel (Chicago, 1891), p. 302.

epsning sentences of the book he speaks of Burwood as "a fair type of that class of Southern young men whose prospects of a life of thoughtlessness and ease had been destroyed by a decree which we all now cheerfully admit was issued by the God of justice." The Colonel and Uncle Buckhorn, moreover, are parodies of Confederate fierceness. The former confesses, 'I was a not-headed secessionist, but there is one thing I am proud of - in fact, I don't know but that I was proud of it all along - and that is the fact that old Kentucky did not go out of the Union. Thank God 2 it is all over now and settled as it was." Uncle Buckhorn had made a number of secession speeches, declared that he was ready to die for the cause, and then neglected to join the army.

The second noticeable characteristic of the entire Osbury clan(- and they are intended to represent the average Kentucky family of the better class - is an intense provincialism. They display the puzzling Southern capacity to be cordial in all personal relationships while remaining very narrow in range of sympathies. Colonel Osbury and Burwood hold the following illuminating bit of conversation about New Orleans:

> 1<u>1b1d.</u>, p. 5. 2<u>1b1d.</u>, pp. 41-42.

"You say you like New Orleans?"

"It is a quaint and interesting city" "I don't care much for it," he rejoined. "I am used to hearing negroes talk English, and, suh, when they begin to jabber in French, why, that settles it with me. Don't want to live in a town where negroes can't talk as they should." "Out in some places where I have been," Fred

remarked, "they talk Spanish." "Well, I don't want any of them to come talking Spanish to me," said the Colonel. "English is good enough for me. It was good enough for old Andrew Jackson and the men who planted this government, and I don't believe in scattering foreign jabber among the people." 1

In a similar way Uncle Buckhorn is on the alert to seent Yankee innovations. After having had his pronunciation corrected by the Colonel's daughter. Luzèlle. he explodes:

> "Oh, well, if you want to call it 'Such," you can do so. When I was a boy and folks was honest, 'sich' was good enough for people who didn't have to borry from the neighbors every time they wanted to get a bite to eat; but now that everything is gittin' to be Yankeefied, we have to twist up our mouth and say 'Such'." 2

If the South is provincial within the nation,

Kentucky may be said to be provincial within the South. Little hints of its individuality come out on many pages. The Golonel first greets Burwood in characteristic fashion, "showing that he was a true Kentuckian with a sort of aiscellaneous and unanalytical courtesy." He informs his

> 1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 294. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 37. 3<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 19.

guest irritably, and yet one suspects with an underlying pride, "Well, sub, I'll warrant you that Kentucky has more shiftless fellows than any State in the Union. All they care for is to drink licker and talk about women and l herees."

In <u>A Teanessee Judge</u> Read brings a Chicagoan down and initiates him into the illogical Southern way of life. Mr. Eavley soon discovers that the way to win acceptance by local society is to forget the profit motive and take a semimental view of all things. As the Judge remarks to him, "You are a man of semiment and therefore a gentleman. But I had my doubts when I heard you were from Chicago, a eity that respects nothing old or venerable."

In <u>The American Cavalier</u> (1904) Read turned essayist and wrote extensively in praise of the New South of practical education and men of business. Yet here the familiar dichotomy comes out; whenever he needed an anecdote or peused for a flight of imagination, it was the intractable Old South which supplied the material - golden eggs of a geose which his program would kill. In a sense an American reared as a Southerner is like a man born into the Church

> 1<u>IDid</u>., p. 24. 2<u>A Tennessee Judge</u> (Chicago, 1893), p. 40.

of Rome; it is a question whether he ever finds it possible to repudiate the South entirely. He may show himself torn between an inherited sympathy with its basic attitudes. and an exampleration with its inertia, narrowness, and pretense. Yet its famous prejudices tend to form a matrix for his thinking; even overt rebellion may testify to its hold upon him; and in the end he is likely to return to its easier and more primitive ways as to something that conforms better with his nature. The rebellion will be intellectual; it is strenuous and costly to maintain, and there is always the impulse to let the "natural" resume its sway. From an abstract social creed and from a selfdevised religion one turns instinctively to something that seems to have the authority of tradition. In this case, though Read saw justice in the great revolution which brought about a general leveling of society, he must have realized that the South of the past, with its distinctions, anachronisms, paradoxes, and conflicts - in short, with its "human" society - was the great storehouse for the reconteur. In the course of a long life he drew upon it for more than a dozen inimitable works.

Smith, on the other hand, was a versatile mind, whose preoccupation with the traditional South was only one of several interests. Born in Baltimore, a descendant of the Francis Hopkinson who signed the Declaration of

Independence and wrote "The Battle of the Kegs," he found himself at the close of youth too impecunious to afford the Princeton education for which he had been prepared. After some experience at odd jobs, he went to New York, with a Southern boy's natural forboding of that great Yankee hive. But an endowment of valuable personal qualities, plus a capacity for hard work enabled him to become in course of time an outstanding mechanical engineer, among whose constructions the Race Rock Lighthouse and the foundation of the Statue of Liberty are notable.

Though interested in painting and literature from an early age, Smith was past fifty when he secured literary recognition with <u>Colonel Carter of Cartersville</u>. This study of a Virginia patrician, obviously emanating from a profound sympathy with the type, and yet delightfully satifical, has probably done as much as any other book to fix the public's conception of the Southern colonel. Colonel Carter is an embodiment of all that is fine and much that is dubious in Southern character; he is honest, proud, loyal, and affable on the one hand, but on the other visionary, indolent, a trifle iselined to pamper the physical man, quick-tempered, and prone to invoke violence in the settlement of disputes. He has to a high degree the insular mind of his class, and he gives his address, "Col. George Fairfax Carter, of Carter Hall, Cartersville, Va.,"

in the innocent assurance that it will be recognized throughout the universe. Smith describes him as

A Virginian of good birth, fair education, and limited knowledge of the world and men, proud of his ancestry, proud of his State, and proud of himself; believing in States' rights, slavery, and the Confederacy, and away down in the bottom of his heart still olinging to the belief that the poor white trash of the earth includes about everybody outside of Fairfax county. 1

Blind to most of the changes about him, the Colonel has not lost faith in the old standard of personal honor, and he relates with obvious relish and approval the action of his neighbor Col. Talcott, who shot a Yankee postmaster dead for the discourtesy of refusing him credit for a three-cent stamp. The only thing left "for a high-toned Southern gentleman to do," he added.

An underlying theme of the novel is the Colonel's childlike incompetence at business affairs. He proposes to retrieve his fortunes by promoting "The Cartersville and Warrenton Air Line Railroad," the chief recommendation of which as an investment is that it will pass by several old estates of the Virginia landed gentry, including Carter Hall. The Colonel's practical friend Fitzpatrick, who loves him for being a character true to type, and who

p. 10. ¹<u>Colonel Carter of Cartersville</u> (New York, 1908), ²<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 21. humors him is his fancies, confesses to someone. "I couldn't raise a dollar in a lunatic asylum full of millionaires on a scheme like the colonel's, and yet keep on lying to the dear old fellow day after day, hoping that something will turn up by which I can help him out." The venture succeeds when it is revealed that the Colonel's estate contains a rich coal deposit, an item which he, in his enthusiass for the history and prestige of Carter Hall. had ignored as of no material importance. An amusing complication is the Colonel's challenge to a duel of a New York stockbroker who had spoken slightingly of the investment project. Colonel Carter has prepared his will and has his seconds on hand when he learns that the challenge was never delivered because, with characteristic casualness , he had forgotten to affix the necessary postage to his letter.

The author followed <u>Colonel Carter of Cartersville</u> with <u>Colonel Carter's Christmas</u> which, like most sequels, fails to reach the level of its predecessor. It describes in detail the patching up of the quarrel between the Colonel and his broker rival, Mr. Klutchem. In an exuberant burst of Southern generosity the Colonel invites the Vermonter and his daughter to Christmas dinner, and here one meets the customary solution in which the Yankee, after being given a close look at Southern life and menners,

lIbid., p. 43.

becomes entranced and departs pronouncing them good.

Smith wrote also short stories of Southern life, most of which treat of conventional types and subjects. "Six Hours in Squantico" is a clever satire on Southern impecuniousness and business ineptitude. "A Gentleman Vagabond" is a parallel to <u>Colonel Carter of Cartersville</u>. Its chief character is "Major" Tom Slocombe of Pocomoke, who by current reports attained his title by marrying the vidow of Major John Talbot. Major Slocombe, who owns an island in Chesapeake Bay, is land poor. Nevertheless, he puts on the airs of a magnifico, and when he entertains shooting parties from the North, he pretends that he has a splended estate so successfully as to make them half satisfied with thebiliPusion.

In these stories even the wrongs of Reconstruction take on a burlesque character, for he was no advocate. He was merely among the first to see that the old South, by its very contrast with the business civilization then completely triumphant in the North, would invariably gain attention. It is important to bear in mind that the South of legend has been the nation's escape from the reality of the industrial world; and creations like those of Smith have done much to confirm the popular notion of its romantic character and to make artistic reconstruction of its past one of the fruitful fields of American letters.

On a still more fruitful level, however, such contrasts might call forth inquiry. To the extent that modernism represents the break-down of cultural forms, the traditional South surviving in the present is a rebuke, teasing in its persistence, disturbing in the reasonableness of its "unreasonable" predilections, a warning to arrogant presentian that deep thoughts lie in old customs. But there are many ways of missing these philosophical implications, and the satirists, through their absorption in the details of localism, illustrated one of them.

4. Realism

The question of whether the whole South could be presented in fiction was thus held in abeyonce for more than forty years after the war. Its realities had been obscured, its presumptions exaggerated, and the lesson of its splendid past drawn on a very narrow basis. The advocates of the old regime had composed stories which were charming because impossible, but because impossible, in the end barren. The critics of Southern life never rose to full stature, and the whole phantasmagoria of Reconstruction, which might have produced a school of Zolas, received but a cursory telling. The satirists floated on the surface of the stream, keeping the nation amused with oddities of

Southern life and character. But at the end of the century there appeared in the field a young Virginian who was to take the first solid step toward giving the South a literary expression free from mawkishness and unwieldy political theses on the one hand, and from the superficiality of local colorism on the other. If Ellen Glasgow was not the first to perceive that what the South needed above all else was blood and irony, she was at least the first to try the experiment of supplying them. Born in Richmond to the sheltered life, she is proof that the artist is made not by the socident of environment, but by an imagination which strives to seek out and understand the issues of the world.

Miss Glasgow was only twenty-three when she published her first novel, <u>The Descendant</u>, which is not a Southern story at all, except by the reflection it throws on the Southern caste system. The orotagonist is Michael Akershem, an extra-legal child, who flees from Virginia to New York and there experiences to the last degree the heartlessness and brutality of the great city. Later, his successful career as an editor is brought to an end by a tragic love affair. The conclusion is decidedly stern, and one does not wender that the literary taste of the day pronounced the work "disagreeable." But Miss Glasgow had demonstrated that she could handle a love story without becoming maudlin, a real achievement in the decade of the nineties, especially

For a lady author from below the Potomac.

Three years later she wove the society and politics of postbellum Virginia into The Voice of the People (1900). It is in brief the story of the rise of a plebeian to the gevernorship of the Old Dominion, narrated with an expert knowledge of the class system of that state and of the ispediments which it places in the way of the unfriended, The setting is Kingeborough, which "dozed through the present to dream of the past, and found the future a nightmare." The hero is Nicholas Burr, who, like Michael Akershem, belongs to the disinherited. His father is a peanut planter. sepressed and spiritless; his mother a dour woman, distrustful of booklearning, and fretted by the hopelessness of their condition. Through the compassion of a wealthy seighbor Nichelas is invited to share in private tutoring, in the sessions of which he is first made to feel the cruelty of upper-class hauteur. But by virtue of singlemindedness and a capacity for hard work he perfects himself in the study of law.

The crisis of his life turns upon a personal relationship. There had been a ripening understanding between himself and the aristocratic Eugenia Battle, but Eugenia's

17he Voice of the People (New York, 1936), 12.

brother Bernard, seeking to escape the opprobrium of extralegal fatherhood, falsely accuses Nicholas. The emotional haveo wrought by this pièce of perfidy is so great that from this point on Nicholas becomes a less human figure; alsof and self-righteous, even after attaining the governor's chair he refuses to mellow, and remains a stiff embodiment of his own conception of justice, scorning to trade favors in the traditional style. Finally he loses his life in a quixotic attempt to save a negro from lynching, an opponent of social discrimination to the last.

Virginia society appears in ample cross-section. The aristocrats of Kingsborough, "where there isn't enough vitality to make one first-class savage," earnestly fight the battle for their class. A prominent character is the manghty Mrs. Jane Dudley Webb, who points to the Confederate button at her throat and tells a Northern visitor "Sir, the women of the South have never surrendered." It is she who tries to drive Nicholas from the school by telling Judge Bassett, "It is folly to educate a person above his station," but it is the Judge who saves him by insisting 2 firmly, "Men make their stations, madam."

The political meetings, which are described with

1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 95.

2_{Ibid.}, p. 98.

gruel truth, are rife with sentimentality, rhetoric. bombast, and cant, and yet in all such gatherings "one would have recognized instinctively the tiller of the soil." For it is this kind of man, "the sole survivor of the Virginia pioneer." who listens patiently to appeals to the old fidelities while he learns fumblingly the devious methods of a new political day. In this sphere more than in any other the author turns the light of realism upon topics hitherto obscured with artifice and sentiment. "The Nother of States and Statesmen" affords in its deliberative gatherings only a carnival of vulgarity and ridiculous pretense. At twenty-three Nicholas Burr had gone to Richmond "to meet an assembly of statesment he had found a body of half educated and wholly unprofitable servants." He was quick to perceive that "The day when a legislator meant a statesman was done with; it meant now merely a representative of the lower average, a man to be juggled with by shrewder politicians, or to be tricked by more dishonest ones." It is Burr, a son of the lower orders, who places himself at the head of these people, whom he sees

> 1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 261. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 262. 3<u>The Battle-ground</u> (New York, 1939), p. ix. 4<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 261.

to be "honest in everything except convictions" and " "faithful where their prejudices or interests lead them," and by one of those ironies in which Miss Glasgow finds satisfaction, illustrates the path of incorruptibility and justice.

She had by now written three novels in which the Civil War appears only by reference and indirection, but in <u>The Battle-ground</u> (1902) she took up this most tempting of all subjects to the Southern writer. In a preface written many years after the first appearance of the novel she admitted a realization that no one could touch upon that "desperate if fantastic struggle" without touching romance, because the War for Southern Independence must forever remain one of the romantic episodes of the world's history. "For Virginia the Civil War was the expiring gesture of chivalry." But at the same time its profound effects upon the society and civilization of the old commonwealth convinced her that no one, however realistic, satirical, or even cynical his purposes in depiction, could ignore it.

The chief actor of this story is Dandridge Montjoy, son of scapegrace Jack Montjoy and Jane Lightfoot, who permanently estranged herself from her aristocratic family

¹Ibid., p. 262. 2The Battle-ground (New York, 1939), p. ix.

by eloping. Following the death of his mother, young Dandridge comes trudging two hundred miles to Uplands, where old Major Lightfoot, after inspecting his countenance, owns the tie of blood by saying, "Come in, sir, come in: 1 you are at home."

Apart from the interest of its special episodes, <u>The Battle-ground</u> is noteworthy for showing the coalescence of classes under the fierce heat and pressure of war. Young Nontjey grows up in a very arrogant household indeed, the Lightfoots hold themselves a bit above the Washingtons. In the midst of her misfortunes his mother had said to him:

> "The Lightfoots were never proud, my son; they have no false pride, but they know their place, and in England, between you and me, they were more important than the Washingtons. Not that the General wasn't a great man, dear, he was a very great soldier of course, and in his youth, you know, he was an admirer of your Great-great-sunt Emmeline." 2

In his grandfather's house Dandridge gets a rearing appropriate to his class; gay, and spirited, he leads a foyous and thoughtless existence until a scandal at the University puts an end to his cordial relations with the

> ¹<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 31. ²<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 28.

eid Major. It was not the fact that the young man fought a duel that mortally offended the Major, it was that he had tried to "murder a Virginia gentleman for the sake of 1 a barroom hussy." He had descended beneath his class and had capped this social error by spending a night in gaol. After an alteroation Dandridge leaves the ancestral place in anger, but the war comes along almost at once to provide him with a four-year occupation.

In camp Dandridge meets Pinetop, a specimen of that sturdy Southern yeomanry, who, with hazy ideas about the political issues and with indifference to whether or not the aristocracy preserved its stake, appeared to defend native soil against invasion. Pinetop had come "with easy strides, down from his bare little cabin in the Blue Ridge, bringing with him a flintlock musket, a cornoob pipe, and a stockingful of Virginia tobacco." At first the butt of every jest from the high-toned gentlemen about him, he wins by means of imperturbability, doggedness, and courage in the field the respect of those who had contemned him for his origins. Something new in the social history of the South occurs when Dandridge and Pinetop

> ¹<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 183. ²<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 252.

realize that whatever the diversity of their heritage, both are proved men in the test of battle, beside which indifferences of class fade into significance. As the untutored philosopher from the hills expresses it when they part at Appointtox:

> "I reckon you'll go yo' way an' I'll go mine, for there's one thing sartain an' that is our ways don't run together. It'll never be the same agintthat's natur - but if you ever want a good stout hand for any uphill plowing or shoot yo' man an' the police get on yo' track, jest remember that I'm up thar in my little cabin. Why, if every officer in the county was at yo' heels, I'd stand guard with my old squirrel gun and maw would with her kettle." 1

Few men born to a high-daste existence realize the cruelty of the caste system until they are forced outside it and made to witness its operations from another point of view. The tragedy of it broke upon Dandridge the night he found Pinetop by the campfire absorbedly trying to teach himself to read out of a primer. When he saw this uncomplaining and self-poised stalwart groping after "the primitive knowledge which should be the birthright of 2 every child," he understood the great woe which slavery had brought to the South by degrading the underprivileged white. From Major Lightfoot's arrogant statement that

¹Ibid., p. 424. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 384.

elementary perception of its social consequences lies the great gulf between the Old and the New South.

And in the experience of Dandridge there is mirrored the whole tragic story of the section - its stubborn pride going before a cataclysmic fall, its descent through war to the ultimate privations and humiliations, and its idealistic decision to build a new order without losing that part of the old order which deserved to survive. When he returns to Uplands and greets Betty by telling her that he is now a worse beggar than upon his first forlorn appearance, to find himself accepted with joy and affection, he symbolizes the resurrection.

Niss Glasgow is the first Southern author to be able to treat without predilection these two different eras. Her Virginia nobility is a true nobility, firm in its virtues but limited in its sympathies, and its shortcomings are held up to full view. But it is especially significant that her new men too are real; in Michael Akershem, in Nicholas Burr, and in Pinetop one meets the offspring of the vital lower orders who will not be denied their entrance upon the stage of the world.

In one sense it can be said that the whole body of Southern fiction published between 1865 and 1910 is auxiliary to the apologia. Whatever diverse sime the authors had in

mind, they helped to vindicate the South by making it a concrete reality, a part of the stubborn fact of the world, against which political delusions must always break. The artist, as D. H. Lawrence has remarked, is by nature a dama liar, but if he is an artist, he will tell you the truth about his time. The artist who can show that Major Lightfoot is just as real as Pinetop, because he is the product of conditioning factors just as solid, adds to our understanding.

In another aspect, this body of writing indicates a progressive emancipation from the peculiar "mind of the South." The complete absorption of Cooke and Page gave way to criticism, however vague in direction and ineffective. This was succeeded by humorous satire, which requires a certain detechment; and then came full realism, with all that it implies of freedom from obsessions and systematic delusions. It was no accident that Southern literature became mature when it first became capable of irony, for the road to maturity lies through ironic understanding of life. Because irony proceeds from critical awareness, it opens up alternatives and leaves one confronted with the multiplicity of the actual world. The early Reconstruction writers who undertook to defend the antebellum South by picturing it as without fault were on a false trail. Thomas Nelson Page and Harriet Beecher Stowe both are ridiculous by the same test. Distortions have a way of

being found out, and there is no reason to believe that the political seal which today leads to an absorption with the more primitive Southern types will not also be recognized and judged. Only when the impulse to justify is replaced by the impulse to see the thing in the round does something like an enduring justification become possible.

The development of Southern fiction is not a repudiation of the antebellum ideal, but a reflection of changing conditions, which inevitably called attention to its narrowness and exclusiveness. The artists are always the first to sense impending changes, and thus fiction was shifting its ground while the politicians, less perceptive, were still declaiming the old ideas. But "the South," which in some form or other is an inescapable inheritance, has remained with the novelists of every persuasion as a part of their self-consciousness.

CHAPTER IV

THE SOUTH AS A CONSCIOUS MINORITY

In 1890 the South crossed a dividing line, because this was for her the most significant date since 1877, when President Hayes, in partial fulfillment of a "deal," withdrew the Federal garrisons. The last month of the old year had seen two symbolic Southern voices silenced. Jefferson Davis, returning by boat from an inspection of his dilapidated estate Brierfield, caught a chill and expired peacefully in New Orleans on December 6. Just seventeen days later Henry Grady, universally known as a champion of the New South, died in Atlanta after a metoric career of only three years, which had carried him to the front rank of American orators. In the popular mind these two figures represent opposite poles of Southern thought and feeling. but if one examines the whole body of their utterances, he finds that they stood fairly close together in support of orthodox Southern ideals. On the matter of sectionalism they differed, but the speakers who today declaim "There was a South of slavery and secession - that South is dead," are usually unaware that in succeeding speeches Grady spent most of his time defending the social creed of antebellum civilization. Davis had taken his stand on the narrow point of constitutional interpretation; and his massive Rise

and Fall of the Confederate Government is an exposition of the Constitution as a preservative agent. But Grady looked at the whole drift of modernism, and instead of attempting to bury Southern traditionalism, he deplored, in those speeches which he prepared for home consumption, the very tendencies which were making way for the New South.

A few attations will reveal the true bent of his mind. Grady was keenly apprehensive of the division of the people into self-seeking classes, envious, distrustful of one another, and ready to make use of political machinery to further special interest. "The universal brotherhood is dissolving," he declared in an address "Against Gentralization" given at the University of Virginia," and people are huddling into classes." This was a poor exchange for the old feudal loyalty which everyone was consigning to the irrevocable. In a speech on the "Solid South" he asked. "Can a Northern man dealing with casual servants, querulous, sensitive, and lodged for a day in a ephere they resent, understand the close relations of the races in the South?" The increasing rootlessness of people was a sign of dangerous things to come, and he praised the farm as the best nursery of character. It would be

<u>Grady</u> (New York, 1910), p. 137. <u>ZIbid.</u>, pp. 168-169.

difficult to find stronger preachments against the breaking of the feudal bond of sentiment and duty than those which filled his addresses to fellow Southerners.

But the most alarming sign of the times to Grady. conservative to the core where matters of religion and conduct were involved, was the growing "bitterness of unbelief." He told an audience that "culture has refined for itself new and strange religions from the strong old creeds," and he advised the South not to seek immigrants who would bring in heresies and discordant ideals. Speaking at Augusta, Georgia, he urged his listeners to invite only such as would come "to confirm and not to estrange, the simple faith in which we have been reared, and which we should transmit unsullied to our children." Like the traditional Southerner again, he retained a belief in divine Providence and he cherished the characteristic dogma that the position of the races is ordained by God. Apart from the disavowal of sectionalism, which was certainly acthing new in 1886. Grady thus stands much nearer to the apologists than to the liberals and reformers; and his disposition to see the future of the South in some kind of sublimated rounion with the nation should not be allowed to obscure his allegiance to the conservative values.

If the career of Grady were the only augury of a "New South" to appear by this date, the advocates of a new

set of values and a differently constituted society could have hoped for little, but in 1890 there occurred an event which prepared the way for a real change by making it unlikely that the South would again have to assume the purely defensive role. This was the defeat in Congress of the "Force Bill." Introduced into the House by the suave and scholarly representative from Massachusetts, Henry Cabot Lodge, it threatened to revive bitterness between New England and the South by re-establishing Northern dominion. The measure, would have authorized Federal supervision of elections in all districts where there was evidence of fraud or intimidation, and although Representative Lodge politely pointed out how his bill would be of benefit in certain Northern areas, its intention was plainly to extend the franchise to the negroes of the South. The debate which it occasioned in the House, though lengthy, was good-humored and happily free from the acerdities of Reconstruction days. There it passed in the end by a narrow margin of six votes, but it failed in the Senate.

This was in effect a victory for the upholders of white supremacy, for it indicated that the national legislature, whether because it was wearying of the fight or because the Southern arguments had begun to make converts, was at last minded to let the South run its own household. But memories of Reconstruction were less than twenty years

behind, and fear that the Southern states might again be turned over to negroes and bureaucrats produced a flurry of alarm, particularly among the business elements, who were beginning to feel that finally they had the South on even keel. With the object of forestalling a revival of this disastrous policy fourteen spokesmen, including such well-known names as Zebulon Vance, John J. Hemphill, and Bernard J. Sage, undertook to explain the Solid South to what might be termed the New North. In April, 1890, they published a symposium Why the Solid South? or Reconstruction and its Results. This book, rather than the speeches of Grady, must be regarded as the first tune document of the New South, for whatever else may be said of the work it was designed frankly to appeal to the self-interest of a business class, and it was free from the old Southern rallying cries. The authors were men who had been in the thick of the affairs they described, and they believed they could win their case by making Northern business, whose pecuniary stake in the South was growing, realize what Reconstruction had cost in money, in public morale, and in cultural retardation.

Dedicated specifically to "the business men of the North," <u>Why The Bolid South</u>? has a distinctive tone of sobriety. Absent are the posturing and attitudinizing of ex-rebels, and in their place is a straightforward account

of what carpetbaggery and negro rule had meant in terms of responsible government, without which orderly business cannot be conducted. Hilary Herbert of Alabama, its editor. expressed the thought in a preface: "Its object is to show to the public, and more especially to the business men of the North, who have made investments in the South, or who have trade relations with their Southern fellow-citizens. the consequences which once followed an interference in the demestic affairs of certain states by those, who either did not understand the situation or were reakless of results." There followed factual histories of Reconstruction in each of the Confederate states, plus West Virginia and Missouri. which also suffered from the fraud, repression, and vicious partisanship of the postwar settlement. All in all, it is one of the most dismal stories ever told, unrelieved by a single ray of light, unless a revelation of how much people can endure and how they will struggle to attain their hopes even in extremis be such. Governor Vance of North Garelina pointed out in a particularly mild and philosophic chapter that during what was supposed to be a moral and political rebirth "the criminals sat in the law-making chamber, on the bench and in the jury-box, instead of

lyny the Bolid Bouth? or Reconstruction and its Results, ed. Hilary Herbert (Baltimore, 1890), p. xvii.

standing in the dock." It has become the fashion nowadays to regard Reconstruction as a kind of chamber of horrors into which no good American would care to look, but Governor Vance reminded his readers that no portion of our history better deserves study "by every considerate patriot."

From the comparatively uneventful story of North Garolina's experience, the chronicle moves on to the wild seturnalia of South Carolina, where amidst riotous spending of public funds the State House became a combination saloon and brothel. Yet the ordeal of South Carolina was matched by that of Louisiana, where in four years' time the ineredible Warmoth regime squandered an amount equal to half the wealth of the state. "Corruption is the fashion," Governor Warmoth, an ex-soldier who had been dishonorably discharged from the Federal army, remarked with haudable candor. "I do not pretend to be honest, but only as honest 4 as anyone in politice."

The concluding chapter, which the editor entitled "Sumrise," was well supplied with figures to show what good government means in terms of appreciation of capital assets, and it closed with the earnest plea "that the American

> 1<u>1bid.</u>, p. 70. 2<u>1bid.</u>, p. 71. 3<u>1bid.</u>, p. 405. 4<u>1bid.</u>, p. 429.

people may not need to take another lesson in the school l of Reconstruction."

Why the Solid South? was decidedly a fresh tack for Southern spokesmen, who here seem to have concluded that policy could accomplish more than principle. It showed recognition of the fact that the postbellum North was in charge not of Furitan zealots trailing clouds of Transcendentalism, but of the money-seeking class which, as <u>De Bow's Review</u> had once declared, "cared nothing for the negroes unless to dislike them," and "nothing for the Abolitionists, unless to wish that they would hold their tongues and stay their pens, or transport themselves <u>en</u> <u>Resse</u> to Exeter Hall, never to return to America." But obviously it was a step in the direction of pragmatic acculescence.

However important <u>Why the Solid South</u>? may seem as a sign of change, it would be unfair to regard it as setting the keynote of the decade, for the period beginning in 1890 was one of mixed trends in the South, with the old and the new battling on fairly even terms. There was the beginning of industrial exploitation, to which many

11bid., p. 442.

²De Bow's Review, After the War Series, III (April and May, 1867), 428.

Southern scalawags were ready to lend an eager hand, and to which <u>Why the Solid South</u>? was in a sense an invitation. There was a great upsurge of Confederate sentiment, expressing itself in reunions, with glowing memorial addresses and the unveiling of monuments, where the veterans, now gray and unsteady, grew tearful over the eulogies of dead leaders. But perhaps most important of all there was the appearance of a group of Southern scholars, trained in the new disciplines, who tried to see the history of their section in terms of the pattern of world history, with indifference to the old partisan slogans.

1. The Last Confederate Offensive

A realization that "the Cause" was slipping into the irrecoverable past produced a strong resurgence of Southern feeling, which took form in a final attempt to express to the world the ideals for which the soldiers of the South had fought. The last Confederate offensive got under way in 1890 with the organization of the United Confederate Veterans. There were already in existence numerous separate survivors' associations, but because of the Confederate's preoccupation with the rebuilding of his shattered fortunes, and because of a strong distrust of "rebel societies" prevalent in the North, there had been no group comparable to the Grand Army of the Republic. In

this year, however, a great assembly of the wearers of the grey gathered in Chattanooga, with the ever-popular John B. Gordon commanding. A constitution was drawn up which declared the purpose of the organization as follows:

> to gather authentic data for an impartial history of the war between the States; to preserve relics or mementoes of the same; to cherish the ties of friendship that should exist among all men who have shared common dangers, common sufferings and privations; to care for the disabled, and extend a helping hand to the needy; to protect the widows and orphans, and to make and preserve a record of the services of every member, and as far as possible of those of our comrades who have preceded us in eternity. 1

Actually, the association through its annual meetings served to keep green the memory of sectionalism, and not infrequently to breathe defiance at Yankee civilization.

Three years later there was established <u>The</u> <u>Confederate Veteran</u>, a journal which defied the customary fate of Southern periodicals by maintaining existence for forty years. Notwithstanding its good humor and camaraderie, the prevailing note of the <u>Veteran</u> is pathos. The minds of most Southern soldiers hed been stopped by the war as a clock is stopped by an earthquake, in the graphic figure employed by Walter Hines Page, but the war was now almost

Pirst Annual Convention, United Confederate Veterans (Famphlet), p. 4.

thirty years behind, and a new generation, wearying of old aen's stories and marking the contrast between the wealth of the bustling North and the poverty of the languid and still tatterdemalion South, was taking over the scene. It was increasingly hard to interest the younger men in what had been the one great, illuminating, passionate experience of the soldier's life. Plaintive hints that the old order and the values which alone make living significant were being buried together began to appear. "We are drifting away from the old anchorage" became a thems. The upholders of the civilization of "superior sentiment" recognized their true enemy in the spirit of connercialism, which would judge everything by tangible "enterprise and thrift are well enough," wrote regults. Daniel Bond in the issue of February, 1896, the content of which may be regarded as typical, "but there are some signs in this desire for an exchange of old ideas for new that 2 seem but taking the false for the true." Even such sacred things as the ancient custom of hospitality were threatened. Business suggests that we entertain those who entertain us. or worse still, that we do it as a stroke of business

1The Confederate Veteran, II (June, 1894), 166.
 2Ibid., IV (February, 1896), 54.
 1The Confederate Veteran, II (June, 1894), 166.
 2Ibid., IV (February, 1896), 54.

adverticing." Filled with resentment against the matterof-factness of the commercial spirit, he went on to remind his readers that "There is something better than wealth, something dearer than success." With the failure to discriminate between the relevant and the irrelevant which is slmost characteristic of the <u>Veteran</u>, he closed with a plea for general cooperation twoard saving "that glorious songster of the Southland, the mockingbird."

A self-imposed ban upon political topics kept speculative writing at a minimum, but whenever the <u>Veteran</u> essayed the subject of the future, it expressed a desire for perpetual union - then practically a formula in all Confederate writing - together with the hope that the Old South, "the South of chivalry," might continue through the years. Here lay a difficulty which many ingenious rationalizations were never able to overcome. Manifestly the South could not keep pace with the march of industrial progress if it condemned a business civilization, insisted on class distinctions which grew out of a different order, and resisted the penetration of new ideas. A few writers attempted the solution in general, if not evasive terms, but for the most part it must be said that the South

> ¹<u>Ibid</u>. 2<u>Ibid</u>. p. 55.

which the soldiers apotheosized remained a memory world, in which they lived in time's despite, but which they did not earnestly try to square with the world of present 1 realities.

Faul Buck has noted in the expressions at Confederate reunions a general undercurrent of satisfaction that the 2 eause had been lost, but this was offset by a widespread feeling that the South had only the choice of meeting force with force, and that any tame submission to the outrageous demands of the North would have been a betrayal of manhood. It was the custom of the <u>Veteran</u> to print the more significant speeches delivered before each annual convention, and in many of them this trend of sentiment is unmistakably plain. The Hon. John H. Reagan, appearing in Nachville before the seventh annual gathering, gave a typical review of the South's position with regard to abolition:

> Such as sacrifice as that which was demanded of the Southern people has not in the world's history been submitted to by any people without an appeal to the last dread arbitrament of war; and ours were a chivalric, intelligent, proud,

This may recall a statement made two decades earlier by Sidney Lanier: "Our people... whirl their poor eld dead leaves of recollection round and round, in a piteous eddy that has all the wear and tear of motion without any of the rewards of progress" (Quoted by Edwin Mims, <u>Sidney Lanier</u>, p. 267).

²Paul Buck, The Road to Reunion (Boston, 1937), p. 241.

liberty-loving people, who, had they submitted to this sacrifice without a struggle, would have proved themselves unworthy of the proud title of being Americans. And I say now, with deliberation and sincerity, in view of all the calamities of that war, if the same condition of things could again occur, I would rather accept those calamities than belong to a race of cowards and surrender the most secred rights of self-government to the clamor of a majority overriding the Constitution and demanding terms so revolting to our sense of justice.

The <u>Veteran</u> stubbornly refused to see the war on a profit- and- less basis. Recognizing sentiment as a binding and integrating power, such speakers frequently pointed out this quality as one of the distinctive marks of Southern superiority. The Reverend J. B. Hawthorn, in an address before the same meeting declared:

> I am sometimes confronted by a cold-hearted, self-seeking, mammon-worshipping man who wants to know what good will come from keeping alive such sentiments... My reply is that the poorest, weakest, and meanest country on God's footstool is the country without sentiment. A nation without sentiment is a nation without character, without virtue, without power, without aspiration, and without self-respect. 2

In addition to all this there was a tendency, atronger as the veterans grew older and animosities faded, to view the struggle as a transfiguration. Discipline and suffering awaken men to the eternal verities, which

Inte Confederate Veteran, V (July, 1897), 345.
²Ibid., V (August, 1897), 411

thoughtlessness and ease obscure. Truth was carried to Calvary and there crucified that all men might know it. The Reverend James S. Vance expressed in a sermon the thought in which many Confederates found chief solace:

> The South is not ashamed of the lost cause, which can never be lost as long as men preach patriotism, glorify valor, and worship sacrifice. The period of struggle was the period of discipline. It was providence placing the idle ore in flame and forge. God said, "Go up and die," but already the South has learned that the summons to death was a summons to life. It was a call to transformation rather than to a grave, and so, lying down on the rugged summit of her defeat and despair, the South is awakening to an inheritance that eclipses her past. 1

For the real note of defiance one must look not so much to the editorial columns of the <u>Veteran</u> as to the memorial addresses which were being made at dedications in every part of the South. Many of these were conspicuously lacking in conciliatory spirit, and tended, if anything, to aggravate the sense of loss. The Rev. R. C. Cave in a speech at the unveiling of the Soldiers and Sailors Monument at Richmond in 1894 renewed the claims of Southern eivilization. "On one side of the conflict was the South," he said,

¹Ibid., V (July, 1897), 351.

led by the descendants of the cavaliers who, with all their faults, had inherited from a long line of angestors a manly contempt for moral littleness, **1** a high sense of honor, a lofty regard for plighted faith, a strong tendency to conservatism, a profound àre ar i respect for law and order, and an unfaltering layalty to constitutional government. Against the R 19 1 South was arrayed the power of the North, dominated by the spirit of Puritanism, which, with all its . . virtues, has ever been characterized by the pharaisaism that worships itself, and is unable to perceive any goodness spart from itself, which has always "lived and moved and had its being" in 1 rebellion against constituted authority.

In the same city two years later, upon the dedication of "Battle Abbey," Bradley T. Johnson of Maryland carried further the distinction between the "free mobocracy" of the North and the chivalry of the South.

.

There was forming in the South a military democracy, aggressive, ambitious, intellectual, and brave, such as led Athens in her brightest epoch and controlled Rome in her most glamorous days.

If that was not destroyed the industrial society of the North would be dominated by it. So the entire social force, the press, the pulpit, the public schools, was put in operation to make destructive war on Southern institutions and Southern character, and for thirty years attack, vituperation, and abuse were incessant. 2

And when implacable old Jubal Early died, John W.

Daniel assured the audience gathered in commemoration that the cause was sufficiently characterized by the men who upheld it. "Indeed, my countrymen, it is impossible to conceive that a cause espoused and led by such men as Davis, Lee,

^{1&}lt;u>Southern Historical Society Papers</u>, XXII (1894), 361. 2<u>Ib16.</u>, XXIII (1895), 367.

Jackson, the two Johnstons, Early, and their compatriots was wrong, whilst that led by Lincoln, Seward, Stanton. Sherman. Thad Stevens, and Ben Butler, et 1d omne genus, It was growing late for justifications in was right." the form of full-length books, although one exception is to be noted in the case of J. L. M. Curry's The Southern States of the American Union. It was still keenly felt that the history of the United States everywhere taught and accepted placed the South in the role of criminal, and in 1894 Curry, an Alabama coldier, statesman, and teacher, presared a Southern version which met with such wide approval that a special edition, complete with questions. was issued for school use. "History as written," he said, "if accepted in future years will consign the South to infamy." He planned his work to prove that the history of the South had been "rich in patriotism, in intellectual force, in civil and military achievements, in heroism, in henerable and sagacious statesmanship."

The work itself is nothing more than a succinst re-expection of the Southern theory of the Union. It begins with the origin of the colonies and their mutual

1 Ibid., XXII (1894), 283. J. L. M. Curry, <u>The Southern States of the</u> <u>American Union</u> (Michmond, 1895), p. 5. <u>31bid</u>. relationship, analyzes the Articles of Confederation and the Constitution, discusses the Kentucky-Virginia "Besolutions, reviews the various points of sectional disagreement, and then defends the legality of secession. It adds a shapter on "The Horrors of Reconstruction" ond points out hopefully how the New South was vindicating idself "under the stimulus of free institutions and a "Shristian civilization." That the work was not wholly ingenuous may be seen from some of the questions appended at the end: "How did New Englanders ease their conscience be the subject of slavery?" "What constituted the fouthern states the true defenders of the Constitution and "Southern people?"

The last and the most ambitious attempt to put forward a Southern history of the war occurred in 1899 with the appearance of the twelve-volume <u>Confederate Military</u> <u>Metery</u>, prepared under the general editorship of Clement frans. The first and last volumes of the series contained general topic matter, and the intermediate ones related the story of the war as it had affected the several states.

> ¹<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 237. ²<u>Ibid.</u> p. 265. ³<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 266. ⁴<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 270.

. :

The History was thus a compendium bringing a great deal together, but it may be doubted whether it furnished much that was new, and one is surprised to find at this late date a concealed sense of inferiority lying behind portions of the argument. Gone is the firm assurance of Bledsoe, Dabney, and Pollard, and one detects what was perhaps the unavoidable result of thirty years of defense-mindedness and the psychological problems created by involuntary adjustment.

The task of stating the inevitable "Legal Justification" was assigned to J. L. M. Curry, who had by now fallen heir to the mantle of the apologists. He began by noting that "As the South is habitually condemned and held criminal for seeking to perpetuate a great wrong, it is well to inquire and investigate who was responsible for the state of things which precipitated and prolonged the crisis of 1860-65." He re-opened an old feud by attacking the New England theory of a "Higher Law." Like all strict constructionists he considered the Constitution a safeguard of liberties, in which "Changes cannot be made to conform to a supposed moral sense, or to new environments neither by the 'fierce democracy,' nor by the action of a

1 Confederate Military History, I (Atlanta, 1899),

4.

department, nor by a combination of all departments." not down the greater part of the Confederate Military History is too familiar to bear review, but special notice must be taken of a chapter by J. William Jones, "The Morale of the Confederate Soldier." Much has been said about the religious zeal of Southern soldiers, but this is a revelation of their "religiousness" as a factor in their remarkable stamina. Jones pointed out how these men "all reared under the religious faith prevailing at the South, which was singularly free from skepticism, carried their moral convictions with them to keep company with their ardent patrictiss." And the kind of religious service the soldier wanted was the kind he had been accustomed to before the war. He did not want a lecture on ethics or a course in the higher criticism, or even a discussion of religion in relation to the war. He wanted "the simple truth" and "the old. old story of salvation," and the welling up of an inner feeling of acceptance and faith. Jones gives a graphic description of a meeting held in the Episcopal Church of Fredericksburg, Va., sometime after a bloody struggle had left hecatombs of dead in the streets of that historic sity. Soldiers run to reach the building before all seats

> 1<u>1b1d.</u>, I, 6. 2<u>1b1d.</u>, XII, 146.

are taken, and the sermon is "Gospel." The preacher does

not discuss

"the relation of science to religion," or the slavery question, or the causes which led to the war, or the war itself. He does not indulge in abusive epithets of the invaders of our soil, or seek to fire his hearers with hatred or vindictiveness toward the enemy. He is looking in the eyes of herces of many a battle, and he knows that the long roll may beat in the midst of his sermon, and therefore he "speaks as a dying man to dying men." 1

Jones believed that not even the army of Cromwell contained so many genuinely religious men as that of the Confederacy, and it may be doubted whether the following scene, enacted while the fighting raged around Atlanta, has had a parallel since the seventeenth century. "Yesterday," he quotes an Episcopal bishop as writing,

> in Strahl's brigade, I preached and confirmed nine persons. Last night we had a very solemn service in General Hood's room, some forty persons, chiefly generals and staff officers, being present. I confirmed General Hood and one of his aides, Captain Gordon of Savannah, and a young lieutenant from Arkansas. The service was animated, the praying good. Shells exploded nearby all the time. General Hood, unable to kneel, supported himself on his crutch and staff, and with bowed head received the benediction. 2

Like the mass of the people for whom he fought, the Gonfederate soldier approached religion in an uncritical

1 -

¹<u>Ibid.</u>, 151. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, 186.

apirit. It was not for him a clarification of events, but a power to sustain in dealing with the incluctable. Those Confederates who in the depths of despair kept faith in the cause but lost faith in religion, as was alleged, were not typical. The Southern refusal to correlate religion with temporal successes and failures was never better demonstrated than in the war, and some ministers reported that wen of their congregations came home from the field better Christians than before. Nany new church members of the postbellum era were men who had found "Christ in the Camp," and the experience of war even induced a few te enter the ministry.

2. The South in the Perspective of History

Thus the soldiers, with the help of the clergy, who were closer to them in sympathy than any other group, continued to write the story of the Southern past in large

¹<u>Ibid.</u>, 167.

²<u>Ibid.</u> Basil Gildersleeve (Atlantic, LXIX [January, 1892], 76) recalled the power of religion in the army. "Here let me say that the bearing of the Confederates is not to be understood without taking into account the deep religious feeling of the army and its great leaders. It is an historical element, like any other, and is not to be passed over in summing up the forces of the conflict."

letters throughout the decade. But there was commencing a different kind of interpretation, which, without being deasgrably less pro-Southern, had the invaluable aid of historical perspective. Previously nearly all of the postbellum writers who had undertaken the theme of the war had become so engrossed in its details, or so moved by its passions, that they had failed to see it as anything nore than a sectional contest, sectional in the ideologies of the opposing sides, and sectional in the glories to be apportioned out. The more obvious and superficial comparisons had, of course, been made: the Confederates were high-minded Cavaliers fighting fanatical Roundheads: or, thrust into the position of the colonists of 1776 they were resisting a tyrannous subjugation; or, the South was La Vendee, destroyed and desecrated by infidel areies. Not until the 1890's, when Southern men began again to be vocal, not as special pleaders, but in wider fields of expression, and when sectional allegiance ceased to be carried about like a banner, were the first attempts made at objective analysis of the great civil conflict.

Two of the best of these came from the pen of Basil Gildersleeve, the learned philologist of Johns Hopkine. While a young professor at the University of Virginia Gildersleeve had "spent his vacations" serving in the army until the campaign of 1864, when he received

a disabling wound. Thus he was later able to confront the North not only as an ex-Confederate bearing the marks of battle, but also as that unfortunately rare creature, a Southerner able to compete with the Yankees in something other than political scholarship. In 1892 he contributed "The Greed of the Old South" to <u>The Atlantic Monthly</u>, and in 1897 he followed it with the provocative "A Southerner in the Pelopeanesian War."

The first article was an attempt, which he feared would be futile, to give the rising generation some notion of the form and pressure of the time which had sent blue and gray armies into the field. "That the cause we fought for and our brothers died for was the cause of civil liberty, is a thesis which we fell ourselves bound to maintain whenever our motives are challenged or misunderstood, if only for our children's sake." Two thoughts give "The Greed of the Old South" the flavor of "unreconstructed" Southern writing: pride in having belonged to "an hereic generation," and satisfaction in having served an intensely felt particular loyalty rather than a general and diffuse one. Southern men, he reminded the reader, were often rebuked for a baseless pride, but the pride itself,

¹Baail Gildersleeve, "The Creed of the Old South," <u>Atlantic Monthly</u>, LXIX (January, 1892), 87.

however disputable its grounds, was a factor to be reckoned with. For

the very pride played its part in making us what we are proud of being, and whether the descendants of the aforesaid "deboshed" [younger sons of decayed gentry] of simple English yeomen, of plain Scotch-Irish Presbyterians, a doughty stock, of Muguenots of various ranks of life, we all held to the same standard, and showed, as was thought, undue exclusiveness on this subject. 1

Whether excessive addiction to a local patriotism may reach the point of treason is debatable, but Gildersleeve saw, as others have done after him, that attachment to the place of one's nativity is an indispensable element in any larger patriotism. Andrew Carnegie, "a canny Sect who has constituted himself the representative of American patriotism, not without profit," had mentioned how under the new settlement one felt prouder of being an American than of being a citizen of any particular state. To this Gildersleeve's answer was: "What it is to be a native of any state in the country, especially an old state with an ancient and honorable history, is something Mr. Garnegie cannot possibly understand." For those who brought up the matter of "the expense of independence" he had an equally scornful reply. "'Counting the cost' is in things

> ¹<u>Ib16.</u>, p. 76. ²<u>Ib1d.</u>, p. 78.

temperal the only wise course, as in the building of a tower, but there are times in the life of an individual, of a people, when the things that are sternal force themselves into the caluclation, and then the abacus is newhere." And if a state is resolved upon a carear of independence, it must resist the slightest encroachment as well as the greatest; to do otherwise means submission and 2 slavery. It may happen, he conceded, that the effacement of state lines will prove "the wisdom of the future," but nothing is more certain than that "the poetry of life" will find its home in the old order, among those who chose to 3

"A Southerner in the Peloponnesian War," a halfserious, half-frivolous comparison of the American civil conflict with the famous war of antiquity, follows the truism that all wars are one war. Though professing a disbelief in the fruitfulness of historical parallels, Gildersleeve proceeded to show how the American war could be described, even down to actonishingly small details, in the language of Thucydides. The ancient affair was

> ¹<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 79. ²<u>Ibid.</u> ³<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 87.

a war between two leagues, a Northern Union and a Southern Confederacy. The Northern Union, represented by Athene, was a naval power; the Southern Confederacy, under the leadership of Sparta, was a land power. The Athenians represented the progressive element, the Spartans the conservative. The Athenians believed in a strong centralized government. The Lacedaemonians professed a greater regard for autonomy.

Slavery happened not to be an issue, for all ancient eivilisations were slave societies, but there were the trade jealcusics between Athens, Negara, and Corinth. Hegara, like the South, was blockaded; the ory in the South for sugar is matched by the ory of the Peloponnesians for honey, and the dearth in the South of materials for ilimination, finds its counterpart in the scarcity of cil, an Attic product, among those fighting Athens. It would be misleading to read too much serious intent into this comparison, but if one regards it for its significance rather than for what it specifically says, he finds a beginning of that detachment which would enable Boutherners to put themselves back in the world picture and drop the embarrassing role of a singular nation and a singular people.

In the same year which saw "The Social Creed of

Is A Southerner in the Peloponnesian War," <u>Atlantic Monthly</u>, LXXX (September, 1897), 334-335. 2<u>1bid</u>., p. 342.

the Old South Milliam Peterfield Trent, another Southerner whose ipritation with the society into which he had been bern led finally to expatriation, published a life of William Gilmore Simms, the chief purpose of which was to Lay the blame for Simme' lack of recognition on the "primitive nature" of the Southern people. Since the work is a critical study of Simms in his relationship to Southern civilization, it may be considered an important part of the re-evaluation. Trent had a Tennysonian faith in the certainty of progress, and the picture was easy for him to decipher: the North was prepared and determined to go forward; the South with equal determination and more temper was resolved to go her way, which was backward. Yet when Trent brought the struggle into the higher reaches of speculation, he could do only what many a less sophisticated person had done before him, which was to invoke destiny as a prime mover. "All life is a struggle; and the higher planes of existence, individual as well as national, are reached by toil, by slow degrees, by pain." There is little difference between this and the chief sentiment of an infinitude of postbellum sermons. He continued upon the assumption:

lwilliam Peterfield Trent William Gilmore Simms, p. 287.

-* :

But it was the forces of destiny in the main that placed the South in her direful position; and it was the forces of destiny that made the North the instrument by which the whole country, North and South, was finally saved for what we all believe will be a glorious future. 1

Five years later in an article entitled "Dominant Ferees in Southern Life" Trent took a yet closer look at the Southern people, in an attempt to explain to the nation their "unity in diversity." He wished first to determine whether it is profitable in any discussion to refer to Southerners as a distinct group, and his finding was that it is profitable despite the libels, half-truths, exaggerations, and misnomers which had been bandled about in sectional controversy.

He would at the beginning make certain concessions to the traditional view of the South. It was true, for example, that Southerners were descended from those Englishmen who retained longest part of "the feudal notion." Thus the familiar claim that the South had a Cavalier heritage had a small but real basis in fact. It was also a proved reality that slavery had bred a patriarchal attitude among the ruling whites. But acknowledging these to be elements in the general complexion, he found disparities which had to be accounted for in any complete stocktaking

1<u>ID14</u>.

st she Southern people. Southerners were sufficiently attenhed to their states to fight for them, and when one investigates the matter, he discovers that the people do differ roughly by states. The Virginian is the eighteenthcentury English squire, fond of bonhommie and good living. and although to the country as a whole he typifies the Santhern cristograt, he is measurably more democratic than his cousin. the South Carolinian. The South Carolinian is a seventeenth-sentury Royalist: masterful, conscious of his position, and, because of an infusion of Huguenot block, somewhat stern, he is the most provincial of the Southerners. He "actually wishes to be rooted in a particular parish or town. The genius loci is the god he worships. and he stands for everything that is not cosmopolitan." Korth Carolina. the most bourgeois of states, is the home of the typical Southern democrat. less fancy than his seighbors, but willing to work for a good thing; and Seorgians are properly denominated the Yankees of the South Louisianans have learned how to enjoy life, but have been conspicuously lacking in ambition, and the Tennessean may well be considered more Western than Southern, or as "with" the South rather than "of" it. All

William Peterfield Trent, "Dominant Forces in Southern Life," <u>Atlantic Monthly</u>, LXXIX (January, 1897), 44.

this prepares for the generalization that the Southern people are "heterogeneous in manners, but homogeneous in liters."

These are discriminating sketches, and no one versed in the Southern regions could take exception to them unless on the basis of local patriotism, but there remains the question of what can be predicated of these people as they take their place in the picture of the reconstructed nation. The first lesson to be mastered is that the South has not escaped from its yesterdays. The negro is still there, and with him a dragweight on the industry, independence, and personal habits of the whites. The poor white is still there too, with his unfortunate heritage and his comparative unteachability. The rural South is a decayed country; the calling of gentleman farmer has vanished except in a few localities where the lushness of nature makes failure defficult. Cities develop, and with them the urbanized Southerner, who inevitably gains shrewdness and loses some of the traditional virtues. Politics remains abysmal; orthodoxy enfectles the press and retards education; and there is no literature "except in the narrow field of provincial storytelling." The general view is dismaying,

¹Ib1d., p. 49.

²Ibid., p. 81.

but Trent thought he spied a source of hope in the slow disintegration of old creeds, which would leave room for some free play of the mind. The salvation of the South lay in the "growing liberalization of ideas, which is visible in politics and literature and religion, and which renders it certain that no long time will clapse before the advent of both philosophy and statesmanship."

Just as this article is less severe in its atrictures than the life of Simms, so the life of Robert E. Lee, which Trent prepared for the Beacon Biographies, represents a further stage of mellowing. This work, which he apparently commenced in a skeptical spirit, believing that much of the praise of Lee had been partisan fustion, turned into something approaching a panegyric, in which the great Virginian is charmingly set forth as a Enight <u>same pour et same reproche</u>, and "a master of the artart the trade- of war."

It would be measurably true to say that Trent's writings upon the South constitute a progressive extenuation of the Southern cause, for in the next year he appeared with "Gleanings From an Old Southern Newspaper," a gracious

> ¹Ibid., p. 53. 2Robert E. Lee (Boston, 1899), p. 61.

study of an antebellum community, in which he concluded that the slaveholders as a class were "kindhearted men who made the best of a bad system handed down to them from an epoch callous to human rights and suffering."

To the list of trained scholars who undertook to re-evaluate the figures of the Southern past must be added Edwin Miss, whose Sidney Lanier is an attempt to do for the chief poet of the South what Trent had done for its chief nevelist. Though uncertain in point of view and perhaps semplacent in the face of contradictions, it presents Lasier as one of the more determined voices of the New South. The author proceeded at some (allngth to score the advocates of the old regime as men who "failed to understand the meaning of defeat." They saw the war as a triumph of brate force: they regarded the negro as incapable of improvement: they fought stubbornly for an exclusive system of education: they made puerile overestimates of Southern achievement. He found Lanier distinguished from these by his knowledge that "belief in the sacredness and greatness of the American Union among the millions of the North and of the great Northwest is really the principle which

1.Gleanings from an Old Southern Newspaper," Atlantic Nonthly, LXXXV (September, 1900), 364. 2Edwin Mims, Sidney Lanier, p. 272.

conquered us"; by his sympathetic feeling toward the erstwhile enemy; by his opposition to "the looseness of 2 thought among our people"; and by his strong interest in science and improved agriculture as means of recreating the fallen section.

Pragmatie in every approach, Mims found the "New South" as employed by Lanier not a reproach to the "Old South," but a "recognition of changed social life due to one of the greatest catastrophes in history." Lanier is placed with Atticus Haygood, Benjamin Hill, and Henry Grady as one of "a group of far-seeing, liberal-minded, agressive Georgians," who pioneered the work of "upbuilding."

With the beginning of the new century, even The Atlastic Monthly was ready for a re-appraisal of the chapter of American history most inflamed by partisan dispute. Beginning in January, 1901, it published a series of ten articles, mostly by Southerners, re-assessing the years since Apponattox. Woodrow Wilson led the list of contributors with "The Reconstruction of the Southern States," a learned but formal and austere study, which betrays in one pregnant and perhaps unguarded sentence

> ¹<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 266. ²<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 267. ³<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 276.

what the restored union meant to this future president: "It is evident that empire is an affair of strong government, and not of the nice and somewhat artificial poise or of the delicate compromises of structure and authority characteristic of a more federal partnership." Hilary Herbert, who ten years earlier had edited Why the Solid South?, reviewed the general problems of Reconstruction, and Daniel H. Chamberlain recounted South Carolina's melancholy experience, expressing the unequivocal opinion that "To all who feel a real solicitude for the welfare of the Southern negro, it ought to be said that the conditions of his welfare lie in reversing at all points the spir and policy of recon-William Garrott Brown of Alabama described struction.* the Ku Klux Klan, and though he asserted. like all other Southerners, that it had been a necessary device of the hour, he could not overlock its crippling effect upon society. "Southern society was righted"; but a paralyzing solidity holds the Southern people prisoner. "They outdid their conquerors, yet they are not free." Thomas Nelson

lwoodrow Wilson, "The Reconstruction of the Southern States," <u>Atlantic Monthly</u>, LXXXVII (January, 1901), 14. ²Daniel H. Chamberlain, "Reconstruction in South Cemolina," <u>ibid</u>., LXXXVII (April, 1901), 483. ³William Garrott Brown, "The Ku Klux Movement," <u>ibid</u>., (May, 1901), p. 644. Page contributed a notable essay "The Southern People Nuring Reconstruction." Although Page was an exponent of the Old South in all he wrote, one would go far to find a discussion more judicious than this, or more earnest in its purpose to discern the motives and understand the limitations of all involved in the great disturbance. He emphasized the attachment of Southern people to the soil. Because they "leved the land on which they had been reared it with a devotion little short of idolatry," and because they were "habituated to rule," they believed in personal defense of their rights, whether by the ceremonious code duelle, or by ruder methods. Such attachment always results in an intense provincialism.

> They knew little more of the modern outside foreign world than they knew of Assyria and Babylon; that is, they knew it almost exclusively from books. They knew no more of New England and the rest of the North than New England knew of them, and that is a large measure.

Two peoples, originally somewhat diverse, and made more so by their differing social and economic institutions, were expected to live together under a federal constitution which could be interpreted in two ways. Each took the

l*The Southern People During Reconstruction, " <u>ibid.</u>, (September, 1901), 289. <u>2Ibid.</u>, p. 290. interpretation which conformed with its interests, and thirty years of emcldering enmity, dramatized by many heated incidents, finally burst into civil war. The Southern soldiers came home from the war feeling that man for man they were superior to their opponents, and this convistion, together with the already potent pride of race, fermed a spirit which enabled them to weather Reconstruction.

One indignant Northerner, at a date immediately after the war, had compared the Southern people to the Bourbons; they had learned nothing, he said, and they had forgotten nothing. Though the conquered side, they came swaggering up in the old spirit of arrogance to dictate the terms of the peace. How this impression could be given may be seen from the following story related by Page: A worn and tattered Confederate soldier, trudging to his home after the war, was asked what he would do if the Yankees got after him. "Oh, they ain't goin' to trouble me," he said. "If they do, I'll just whip 'em again."

Page showed no desire to excuse the evils of Reconstruction, but he remarked that when the North approached the negro problem in a spirit of arrogance and bigetry, it was met by the same spirit; and where it had

11bid., p. 293.

expected settlement, it produced only aggravation. He echeluded his article with a paragraph which the <u>Atlantic</u> editors looked upon as begging the question. Its intent, however, was plain: "That intelligence, virtue, and force of character will eventually rule is as certain in the states of the South as it is elsewhere; and everywhere it is as certain as the operation of the law of gravitation. Whatever people wish to rule in those states must possess 1

3. The First Liberale

Though the decade of the 90's saw the United Confederate Veterans at the peak of their strength and witnessed the formation of the political Solid South, it saw also the first stirrings of Southern liberalism. As this birth was a rebellion against what Walter Hines Page, its bravest and best equipped champion, called an "unyielding stability of opinion," it began naturally in the field of education. Page himself was born in North Carolina, the son of a father out of sympathy with dominant Southern ideals, and although his education, which included a period under the incomparable Basil Gildersleeve, was such as

1<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 304.

might have made him another defender of the faith. he developed a hostility to those forces which kept Southern Viought conservative, narrow, and uncritical. While a very young man he had described a typical small Southern opmaunity for The Atlantic Monthly in "Study of an Old Southern Borough." Though he had tried to demonstrate that the South could build something fine upon the foundation of its inheritance, he showed signs of resenting the constrictions of the tradition. There could be little opportunity for improvement in a society where every man "considers the influence of his opinion either pro or con on a given subject of the greatest importance, and ... looks to its finally conquering all opposition." He had seen other young men rebel against the insrtia resulting from this condition: "The only successful rebellion, however, is an immediate departure" - a dictum which foreshadowed his own course. At the height of his career as an editor. Page collected and published his indictment of the old order under the title "The Rebuilding of Old Commonwealths." Judged as a piece of crusading journalism, this little volume is almost beyond praise.

lwalter Hines Page, "Study of an Old Southern Borough," <u>The Atlantic Monthly</u>, XLVII (May, 1881), 649. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 654.

Page believed that whatever had been the needs of the past - and he was never bitter against the ideal of the Old South - the time for self-satisfaction and selfflattery was now over, and he proposed to tell his people exactly what their perverse dogmas had done for them. In the first section, which had been prepared as a speech on "The Forgetten Man," he observed:

> Thus we have come to put a false value on our social structure, and we have never looked ourselves in the face and seen ourselves as others see us. This false view has done an incalculable hurt. All social progress must begin with a clear understanding of men as they are. We are all common folk, then, who were once dominated by a little aristocracy, which, in its social and seconomic character, made a failure, and left a stubborn crop of wrong social notions behind it especially about education. 1

He illustrated this with a story about his classmate in a famous boys school, who one day had come into his room and burst into tears of humiliation "because his father 2 was not a Colonel." In the days of aristocratic domination the two molders of public opinion had been the stump and the pulpit; and both of these had generated false philosophies of education. The aristocrat, with his conception of education

Ivalter Hines Page, The Rebuilding of Old Commonwealths (New York, 1905), p. 9. 2Ibid., p. 7.

15

as a special privilege, had given the masses a notion that for them learning was not attainable, and from this they had drawn a conclusion - still of startling prevalence in the South - that it was not desirable. Religious leaders had a somewhat broader outlook, but the training they provided was for the sake of the church and not for the sake of the people, and they preached to the forgetten man a doctrine which only confirmed him in his habit of inertia. In some of the boldest sentences ever spoken in Southern halls, Page put down the politician and the preacher as the chief impediments to Southern progress and invited them to step out of the way while North Carolina get on with her educational progress.

In "The School that Built a Town" he took a concrete instance to show a public high school had revolutionized the life of an entire community. This town had been led to abandon the usual view of the teaching profession as a refuge for needy women, or as the monopoly of the old-fashioned schoolmaster "who made the boys learn the Latin grammer by heart, and who flogged them when they failed." It sought instead instructors in applied arts and sciences. Page was basing his whole contention on the theory that no country can be great unless it possesses sufficient social mobility to allow its citizens to find places consonant with their gifts. The unanswerable argument

in favor of demodratic soucation is that it enriches the accounts by discovering aptitudes. "Society forever needs m-inforcements from the rear." Slavery, by "pickling" Southers society had made impossible that rise through talent and industry which is the selective process of the warld. Now that slavery was gone, and with it the ald aristopracy, it was a simple necessity for the South to establish an educational system in order to find its leaders. People who failed to see this truth, Page told his readers, were one of the reasons property in the South was not worth five times what it was: "You are a frayedsut 'knight' of feudal times with a faded plume. and you think in terms of the Middle Ages; and the sooner you know it the better for the community, and I am glad of a shance plainly to tell you so." But he was sure that no man "who can distinguish dominant from incidental forces" doubted that education and industry would in the course of time defeat the reactionary impulses, now "respectable" but "spent."

A few years later Page put these concepts into a novel, which he entitled <u>The Southerner</u>, or the <u>Autobiography</u> of <u>Nicholas Worth</u>. It is a work replete with brilliant

> ¹<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 89. ²<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 76.

insights into Southern and Northern character, and remorseless, though not bitter exposures of those elements which the author viewed as blocking progress in his native section. Nicholas Worth is a young Southerner who grows up an eyewitness to the deeds of war and Reconstruction, goes to Harvard for an education, and returns home puzzled over what to do about the discrepancy between his poor South and the wealthy, educated, and progressive East. His efforts to get his people to see the value of education and to rally them behind a political program which will incorporate something other than the old fustian and bombast constitutes a complete course in the sociology of the South. Page opposed the Confederate mind, but he understood it, and that means he knew by what process it had come to be. The psychological effect of thewar on the surviving Southern males he believed to be more unfortunate than the physical devastation.

> It gave everyone of them the intensest experience of his life, and ever afterwards he referred every other experience to this. Thus it stopped the thought of most of them as an earthquake stops a clock. The fierce blow of battle paralyzed the mind. Their speech was the vocabulary of war; their loyalties were loyalties, not to living ideas or duties, but to old commanders and to distorted traditions. They were dead men, most of them, moving among the living as ghosts; and yet, as ghosts in a play, they held the stage. 1

Walter Hines Page, The Southerner (New York, 1909), p. 46.

A type lingering on in the new era is Colonel Stringweather, representative of the politically potent "rebel brigadiers." One learns that "The poor old Colonel gloried in the poverty of our people. He used to say that the South was the only country laft in the world where men are content without soney, believe in God, read Scott's novels, bake sweet 1 potatoes properly, and vete the Democratic ticket."

But when Nicholas Worth tries with the firmest intention to break away from a sectional attachment and to essape the constrictive influence of a sectional label, he finds himself in a dilemma. Neither in his own section nor outside it will be be accepted as a member of the common genre. In the South had compatriots expect him to behave as a "Southerner," and if he goes beyond her borders, his opinions are listened to as those of a "Southerner." Every Southern-born man carries about with him a ghost, which somehow remains master of his actions. And this ghest is "the old defensive man." The crippling selfconsciousness which comes of always being judged as a problem or a special product has done more than anything else to keep Southerners from a full and free participation in the mational life. Page saw that other sections were in a

> ¹<u>1614</u>., p. 388. 2<u>1614</u>., p. 390.

nessure to blame for this situation.

In such a position many Southern young men choose 1. 9<u>1</u> (the simple alternative of flight, and rehabilitation in a region without such encumbrances. Nicholas Worth lost his teaching post when it was bruited about that he represented forces "Against the Church, and the ex-Confederates and the pious Lady and our Honored Dead and Anglo-Saxon Civilermation:* Hany another so aspersed had deemed the odds hepeless and fled, diminishing by that much the already scenty talent of the South. Page was outlining the most gelancholy trend in postbellum history when he wrote: "The backvardness of the Southern people is to a large degree the result of this forced emigration of many of its young men who would have been the leaders of the people and the builders of a broader sentiment." But with the curious audivalence which arises in the breasts of even her most offended sons, Worth stays on and resolves to fight it out with the "rebel brigadiers."

After losing the election to forces of the old order, comprised of men who will deal with him in private in a kindly and sincere fashion, but who, once the old issues of race, caste, and fealty are raised, will oppose

¹¹bid., p. 181. 21bid.

him in public with every unscrupulous device of demagoguery, Worth perceives a further split in the Southern character: one half of it is genuine, natural, sensible; the other a strange medley of poses, fixed reactions, and lofty professions completely out of line with reality.

The keynote of the book is struck when his friend. "Professor Bully," declares, "we can't at once work a revolution for education among a people who do not yet care to be educated." Page thus realized what many persons born outside the culture are never able to grasp, and that is that Southern resistance to "education" and "progress" is not just a negative thing - not merely a matter of torpor - but a positive one: it is part of the old pride in being a military, outdoor, unbookish, "sound" people, who prefer to be wrong in their own way rather than right is another's, and who have no desire to emulate the triumphs of New England, the section of "long-haired men and short-haired women." If it were only a matter of gaining physical access to them, or of bringing them to wakefulness, the revolution would have been accomplished years ago. It is instead the far more difficult task of winning them over to a completely different scale of values.

11bid., p. 294.

Although Page was easily the best-known voice of Southern liberalism, he was not without allies, especially in his own state of North Carolina. The history of North Garolina liberalism affords a topic in itself. Settled by a thrifty and self-reliant population of Scotch-Irish and Germans, this state never had to overcome the heritage of powerful traditions such as conserved the old order in Virginia and South Carolina. Its inhabitants were for the most part small farmers and tradesmen, without protensions, who felt no embarrassment over severing with the past and accepting the practical tasks necessary to build up a commonwealth. Even with these advantages, however, it got a late start: for political corruption and the threat of negro rule made systematic development impossible until 1900, when Charles B. Aycock was elected governor on a platform of white supremacy and universal education. From this point forward North Carolina led the Southern states in what the remainder of the nation styled progress.

Governor Ayoock was a man of exactly the right stature to promote such a movement. Although at the University of North Carolina he had distinguished himself in the humanities and in the then generally admired art of eratory, a short perusal of his speeches will show that he was not a great intellect. He was a man well endowed with the bourgeois gift of common sense; he was a sageoious

student of people; and he possessed just enough book learning to realize the value of it and to covet it for others. He was, in brief, another common man, writ large in his own state. So he went up and down North Carolina and later over the South, carrying the message of education, often eppealing to his audiences with homely anecdotes and parables which presumed a very slight acquaintance with book learning. Like Page, he offered the people of his state the cogent argument that whereas in the old days an aristocracy was depended on to send qualified leaders to the front, today that aristocracy was no more, and only the schools could perform this work of selection.

It is very nearly true to say that if you scratch a Southern liberal you will find, perhaps, not a conservative, but at least one with strong convictions about individualism and local prerogative. The liberalism of Ayeock, like that of Grady and others who could be named, took the form of a battle for specific improvements, but did not include rationalism, or "the dishwater of modern world citizenship," to recall the expressive phrase of Thomas Dixon. The great substratum of Southern conservatism in Ayeock's character occasionally betrayed itself in a distrust of the skeptical habit of mind, and in an affirmation, not heard everywhere, that virtue and literacy are not interchangeable terms. Speaking on "The Genius of North Carolina Interpreted," he boasted: *Illiterate we have been, but ignorant never. Books we have not known, but men we have learned, and God we have sought to find out." And he accepted it as a matter for congratulation that North Garolins had "nowhere within her borders a man known out of his township ignorant enough to join with the fool in saying "There is no God." 1

Governor Aycock was, moreover, a Southern patrict in the sense that Walter Hines Page was not. Speaking in New York City in 1901, he made the defiant pronouncement: "There are two subjects on which I take it there can be no debate - that the States had the right to second in 1861, and that they no longer have that right," and in the following year at the Charleston Exposition, in the presence of President Roosevelt, he rebuked the Governor of South Carolina for making a concession on this point. Governor McSweeney, in a burst of warm feeling, had expressed regret that the South did not share the Northern view of the war. Whereupon Aycock, to the sound of tumultuous applause, said, "There is a South and a glorious South, and we are not ashamed of what our fathers wrought in the days from '61 to '65."

It was characteristic of the student of men rather

Life and Speeches of Charles Brantley Aycock, ed. R. D. W. Connor and Clarence Pos (New York, 1912), p. 277. 21bid., p. 153.

3<u>Ib1d.</u>, p. 269.

than of books that Ayosok did not call for an abdication of character. Universal education did not mean for him a general "Yankeefication" of North Carolina and the rest of the section, but a cultivation of local virtues and sptitudes. In an address before the Southern Educational Association in 1903 he urged the point that

> No people can ever become a great people by exchanging their individuality, but only by developing and encouraging it. We must build on our own foundation of character, temperament, and inherited traite. We must not repudiate but develop. We must seek out and appreciate our own distinctive traits, our own traditions, our deep-rooted tendencies, and read our destiny in their interpretation. 1

No notice of the liberal group would be complete without mention of Edgar Gardner Murphy, a minister of the Protestant Episcopal Church, who during a residence in Montgomery, Alabama, made some intelligent studies of the vexed race question. His work <u>The Present South</u>, published in 1905, approaches several old topics from fresh angles. Murphy interpreted the extreme sensitiveness of Southerner: to criticism from the outside not as a sign that they felt guilty of evading plain duties, but on the contrary as proof that they had worthy goals in view and that they were painfully conscious of tardy progress toward them.

1<u>Ibid.</u> p. 281.

He thought that the old aristocreey, instead of being a deadweight on all advance, would become the real basis of the new deacoracy. The day of aristocratic ascendancy was over, but he felt that the qualities of that class, the finest of which was a sense of responsibility, lived on to impart valuable features to the new social alliance. And the white race. now back on its feet after surviving several decades of attack was in a position to include the negro in the general progrem of responsibility. But like Governor Aycock. Murphy saw the negro problem with the eyes of a realist, and he maintained that separate development of the races was the only acceptable solution. The purpose of segregation was not to degrade the negro, but to give him his only conceivable chance of progress. "There is no hope for a race that begins by despising itself," and nothing could be worse then to teach the negro that he must go outside himself for any share in the world of enjoyment and knowledge. The negroes must be taught rather "race sufficiency," which means a desire to produce "its own leaders and thinkers, its own scholars, artists, and prophets." The South was acting with instinctive wisdom

1Edger Gardner Murphy, The Problems of the Present South (New York, 1909), p. 17. 21bid., p. 274.

when it realised that good fences make good neighbors and that an indisoriminate mingling such as visionaries at the North had urged would only multiply the points of friction, leaving the dreamed-of "equality" as chimerical as ever. These are the views of a man who has studied the problems of a bi-racial society on the ground, and not in the textbooks of revolutionaries.

4. The South in the Nation

While the scholars were endeavoring to explain the place of the South in the continuum of history and the liberals were striving to make it responsive to new impulses, another group of spokesmen, difficult to label in terms of party or predilection, were attempting a realistic appraisal of the section in the new nation. It was obvious that the Old South was not going to be reborn, and consequently those who defended its record could expect nothing more than a forensic victory. The task of explaining what the South had to contribute to the future, now that a united national existence seemed beyond question, engaged some of her more thoughtful minds. Her great promise was usually read in her individualism, her loyalty, and her respect for tradition.

During the period in which the South was beginning to be so assessed, both at home and abroad, no man was

more eagerly attended to in both sections than Henry Watterson, editor of the Louisville Courier-Journal. Watterson had been "in and out" of the Confederate army for four years: at Chattanooga he had edited a propaganda paper of distinguished quality called "The Rebel"; and settled in Louisville after the war, he had opened the fight on carpetbaggery when "the Courier-Journal was one day old." After thirty years of speechmaking North and South, in which he strove as resolutely as any man to bury sectionalism, he published his discourses as The Compromises of Life. A study of their leading ideas reveals an anomaly which is by now almost familiar, a repudiation of the abstract doctrines for which the Confederacy stood, and a defense of those things which were the substance of the Old South, the art of good living, provincial pride, and a distrust of novel social arrangements. As he told an audience in Louisville, "I shall begin by saying that, with a gray coat actually upon my back, I did not believe either in the gospel of slavery, or in the doctrine of secession." He could cheerfully bid goodbye to a "system which, because it was contented, refused to realize,

lArthur Krock in the <u>Dictionary of American</u> Biography, XIX, 553. ²Henry Wetterson, <u>The Compromises of Life</u>, (New York, 1903), p. 350. or to be impressed by the movements of mankind."

And yet one finds him, at a somewhat earlier date it is true, lecturing the students of Georgetown (Ky.) College on the value of provincialism. Confessing that postbellum Kentucky was no match for the old commonwealth to which the whole nation had looked for eloquence and leadership, he pointed out how "the present generation of Kentuckians in relapsing into a state of mediocre indifferentiality and a relaxation of that provincial pride which lay at the bottom of the supremacy once enjoyed by the commonwealth." The decline of Kentucky was simultaneous with the decline of "the soldierly and gentlemanlike school," and for this the modern spirit was accountable. It is a result of a "heaven-defying modern impiousness, which scorns the old. slow, and homely methods, in a vain and wicked effort to formularize society under certain universally recognized conventional limitations." It would be difficult to make a bolder case for particularism. He went further to say, "The provincial spirit, which is dismissed from polite society in half-sneering, halfcondemnatory way, is really one of the forces of human

> ¹<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 101. ²<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 269. ³<u>Ibid.</u> ⁴<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 270.

482

achievement. As a man loves his provincialism he loves, in part, his originality, and, in this way, so much of his power as proceeds from his originality." This is summed up with the striking paraphrase, "What is life to me if I gain the whole world and lose my province?" Wherever he turned in Kentucky he saw degeneracy, "a miserable, cosmopolitan frivolity stealing over the strong, simple realism of by-gone time." Native worth was ignored and pretense set up in its stead. And so he exhorted his hearers to return to the old provincial Kentucky, which had drawn strength from belief in herself.

In the same period Edwin Alderman, a distinguished president of the University of Virginia, was striving diligently to set before the nation the virtues of Southern life and society. Visiting various parts of America as a kind of Southern ambassador of good will, he presented the gift of the South to the nation as a spiritual heritage, which had met some checks, as any spiritual force in a meterial world is bound to do, but which had never failed to display its intrinsic value. He told the New England Society of the City of New York that the Civil War

> ¹<u>Ibid</u>. ²<u>Ibid</u>., p. 273. ³<u>Ibid</u>., p. 275.

had been a war over "ancient ideals of English freedom." It was idle to seek a guilty party, because "No war in human history was a sincerer conflict than the American Civil War. It was not a war of conquest or glory. To call it rebellion is to speak ignorantly." The South had fought to preserve "the original essence of the idea of American liberty."

In company with Thomas Dixon, Alderman adopted the view that Southern conservatism and pride of locality, plus a pure attitude toward government, might prove in some future time the salvation of the country. He entertained the fear of all traditionalists that the destruction of old customs and restraints would bring, not the millenium, but chaos and the rule of passion. In an address at the University of California he told his audience that they could count on the South in that day of distress.

> And so when the age of moral warfare shall succeed to the age of passionate gain getting; when blind social forces have wrought some tangle of inequality and of injustice, of hatred and suspicion; when calculation and combination can only weave the web more fiercely; when the whole people in some hour of national peril shall seek for the man of heart and faith, who will not falter

1*Sectionalism and Nationality" (New York, 1902), p. 9. 2<u>1bid.</u>, p. 8. 3<u>1bid.</u>

or fail, in the sweet justice of God, hither they shall turn for succor as once they turned to a simple Virginia planter. 1

Alderman was the ideal Southern emissary, a champion without belligerence, and an advocate who knew the effectiveness of manly independence.

. . .

By the second half of the opening decade the South had advanced so far in prosperity and courage that her leaders felt the time had come for a determined bid for recognition. Henry James had described the section as "disinherited of literature," and since the war, if not indeed before it, there had prevailed the custom of discounting whatever came from below the Mason-Dixon line, or of regarding it superciliously as "pretty good for the South." Obviously the only kind of answer to this prejudice was a lesson in the facts of Southern achievement, and a group of young Southerners prepared to give this to the nation. Trent, Alderman, Edwin Mims, W. L. Fleming, and a few others became the moving spirits behind two impressive collections, <u>The Library of Southern Literature</u> (1907), and <u>The South in the Building of the Nation</u> (1909).

The first was a fifteen-volume anthology presenting the best of Southern writing under a rather inclusive

1"The Spirit of the South" (Berkley, 1906), D. 15.

principle of selection. As Charles W. Kent said in the general preface, it was not intended to prove anything. but "to set forth much." No other section of the union, he declared, had been so little given to exploiting its own accomplishments, and the small figure which the South cut in the story of the nation's culture was due primarily to this reluctance to join the jostling throng and clamor for recognition. Alderman undertook to explain the sectional perspective by pointing out that sectionalism, which "is naive and even sinister when its votaries merely distrust those who do not live where they do" and which "reaches a climax of folly and hurtfulness when it exalts complaisancy and self-satisfaction," nevertheless, when thoughtfully applied, is a source of "force, fruitfulness, and beauty." He recalled how Homer, Shakespeare, and Burns had drawn from narrow locales the materials needed to mirror the world's experience. This led to the thought that the South, which was of all sections the "richest in romanticism and idealism, in tragedy and suffering, and in pride of region and love of home" offered the same sources of strength.

The second was an even more ambitious undertaking,

^{1&}lt;u>Library of Southern Literature</u>, I, xv. 2<u>Ibid.</u> p. xix.

for it would record the whole achievement of the section, its history, its notable lives, its literature and press, its arts and sciences. In his introduction to the volume on "The Literary and Intellectual Life of the South" Trent also felt it necessary to point out how indifferent Southerners had been toward advertising their deeds in the great world. While he would not pretend that the South had rivalled New England or the Middle Atlantic States in general contributions to intellectual life, he believed that no other section had produced so admirable a group of political sages. Instead of trying to become Yankees,

> It seems much more desirable that we should endeavor to comprehend what our fathers stood for, especially in all matters relating to self-government, then study calmly our own situation, and resolutely acknowledge and adapt the principles and policies that seem most consonant with our welfare. So far as my own studies allow me to judge, no other people or fraction of a people has a more admirable body of publiciests from whose writings inspiration and guidance may be derived. 1

But he cautioned the Southern people to ask themselves "whether ... they are sufficiently trained in clear thought and expression, and sufficiently bold, to make their 2 political and social ideals prevail." While most of the writers in this symposium emphasized the liberal

> IThe South in the Building of the Nation, VII, xxiv. 21bid., VI, xxv1-xxv11.

features of Southern life, the entire work was predicated on the assumption that there lay in the Southern inheritance much which should be brought forth, studied, and preserved insofar as it met the test of critical inspection.

Special contributions reviewed a number of impertant aspects of Southern culture which had gone overleoked, such as folklore, wit and humor, classical scholarship, and Southern influence upon the character and culture of the North.

> 1<u>Ibid.</u>, VII, xli. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, p. xlii. 3<u>Ibid.</u>, p. xliv.

One of the clearest signs of increased Southern vitality was a sudden renewal of periodical publication. The first decade fellowing the war had witnessed the establishment of numerous magazines in the South, the best of which had been journals of protest. The 1880's saw ealy the short-lived Southern Bivouac; and the 1890's enly the Sewance Review and the Confederate Veteran. But between 1905 and 1910 there appeared four periodicals of some degree of promise, a substantial evidence of renaissance in this poverty-ridden section. Bob Taylor. the folk hero of Tennessee, began Bob Taylor's Magazine. which divided its content between a dreamy, poetic recreation of the Old South and a sober estimate of business possibilities; John Trotwood Moore, Tennessee historian, insugurated Trotwood's Monthly, which featured, for the one part, Southern history, and for the other, the lore of the horse-breeder; Thomas Watson removed his Tom Watson's Jeffersonian from "hateful, calculating New York" to Thomson, Georgia, where it became the militant champion of a strange congeries of movements; and Joel Chandler Harris undertook with the Uncle Remus Magazine to publish more of his great fund of negro folklore.

Bob Taylor's Magazine took its stand for the Old South, but a great gulf lies between it and the belligerent, uncompromising publications of forty years earlier. There

is no longer the arduous work of coming to grips with an argument, of defending every inch of disputed territory. All is mellew, nostalgic and just evasive enough to allow one to know that hope for a real restoration has been abandoned. A sentimentality talking of moonlight and magnelia and breathing goodwill to all men has replaced the knotty syllegisme of the great apologists.

The first issue opened with an editorial by Bob faylor on the Old South, which was characterized as "one of the most brilliant civilizations that ever flourished." The author took satisfaction in signs of wealth and progress, but he urged his readers to "never forget to worship at the shrine of memory nor permit the glory of the blessed past to be dimmed by the splendor of the future." Effusive salutations of the Old South became a pattern, and in the following issue it was lauded as "the most brilliant civilization that ever flourished in any land in any age." It was a sign of decay that loyalty to the Confederacy, now ceasing to be a matter for reasoning, was taking on the form of an incentation:

LBob Taylor's Magazine, I (April, 1905), 3.
ZIDid., p. 8.
3Ibid., I (May, 1905), 124.

For neither bayonets nor bombshells nor any power in the world can ever banish from the Southerner his love of the mockingbird's song and the cotton bale and the orange blossom. No legislation can force him to forsake the hills and welleys where his comrades died, or to be disloyal to the grayjackets they wore. 1

There is the ceaseless re-iteration: "Upon their faithful souls there is no stain of treason; upon their brows they wore no bloody wreath of conquest, but only the noble 2 crown of honor." Avoiding the sharp edges of sectional difference, he saw reunion in a vision, with "the North and the South clasping hands in eternal friendship and brotherhood, and Old Glory waving above a united people for a 3 thousand years to come."

Bob Taylor's Magazine is an extreme example of the tendency, by this time widespread, to bury issues without caring to analyze them, and to indulge in comforting recollections without interest in marking their relevance to the present.

<u>Trotwood's Monthly</u>, which after a few issues merged with <u>Bob Taylor's Magazine</u>, confined itself principally to descriptive and expository writing, but it was almost rabidly anti-negro. "For the South being a oure race is

l<u>Ibid</u>., II (October, 1905), 5. 2<u>Ibid</u>., IV (January, 1907), 432. 3<u>Ibid</u>.

wise," wrote John Trotwood Moore in endorsement of Ben Tillman's position on the negro issue, "and it knows that black and white may be mixed to the end of time and never preduce anything but yellow.

"And yellow is now and will be forever the flag of the mongrel."

In the next issue he returned to this subject in "The Three Traits of the Negro," which were listed as ingratitude, a failure to make moral distinctions between members of his own race, and an unwillingness to turn over to justice such of his people as committed felonies. In elaboration of the second point Moore declared that

> In the swellest circles of his upper ten, the blue-gum nigger with a razor in his boot-legs, hell in his heart and that odor of assafoetida, amonia, and sulphuric acid that causes the chickens to drop from their roosts when he reaches up for them, is as welcome and as important and as respected as the most plous, canting, ebon-faced preacher of them that ever sang his long-metered doxology while he passed around a short-metered hat. 2

But apart from this insistence on racism, <u>Trotwood's Monthly</u> preserved a fairly objective reportorial style.

Tom Watson's Magazine, a strangely conceived attempt to promote the theory of Jeffersonian democracy, spoke for the South on living political issues. Watson was a powerful

^{1&}lt;u>Trotwood's Monthly</u>, III (November, 1906), 153. 2<u>Ibid.</u>, III (December, 1906), 263.

but oftentions quixotic personality; and to the traditional Southern stand in favor of state rights and racial exsluctureness; he added an intense hostility to the Roman Catholic Merarchy; to Big Business; and to the theory of socialism.

Almost as illiberal as John Trotwood Moore in his view of the megro, he wrote that he would like to see "the ever-educated," the restless, and the ambitious members of this race remove beyond the Mason-Dixon line, so that those "ho remained would be "laborers, simply." Opinions differed, he thought, because "The Southern man has his experiences and his necessities to fix his attitude; the Northern man has only his philosophy and his prejudices." Turning anti-Semite later, he attacked "Jew-hired and Jew Blinded journaliets."

A life-long student of American history, Watson realized that the public conception of Lincoln was compounded largely of myth, and he noted in an editorial that the work of making a saint out of the Civil War 4 president was going busily forward. The magazine was too

¹<u>Tom Watson's Magazine</u>, I (November, 1907), 1040.
²<u>Ibid.</u>, I (April, 1907), 500.
³<u>Ibid.</u>, XXII (November, 1915), 2.
⁴<u>Ibid.</u>, I (January, 1907), 39.

concerned with contemporary politics to devote much space to the issues of that struggle, but Watson opened his columns to "A Southerner's View of Secession," by John S. Beard. This is a solemn rebuke to a Southern expatriate for having written an article accepting the Northern version of the war. The tragedy of the South, the author concluded sarcastically, was that she had been "patriotic, hemest, and possessed of constitutional morality."

It is a foregone conclusion that all movements based upon a dynamic universalism will meet their greatest resistance in the individualistic, traditional South. Watson's repeated attacks on the theory of socialism thus foreshadowed an alignment certain to appear when the more radical social theories are able to force a showdown. The socialist premise that patriotism is but a nickname for prejudice, for example, gave him a wonderful opportunity to attack the movement on a ground that Southerners would understand. The removal of such abuses as concentration of wealth and power was all that was needed, he argued, "for the present form of Society will satisfy every reasonable demand." This would leave the individual owner in

¹<u>Ibid.</u>, V (April, 1911), 236.
 ²<u>Ibid.</u>, I (January, 1907), 32.
 ³<u>Ibid.</u>, I (March, 1907), 402.

control and so preserve that personal relationship which agrarian people have never been willing to sacrifice.

Tom Watson was distinguished from all other Southern editors before his time by a knowledge of the true effects of Northern financial invasion. Many had prattled about "wealth" and "signs of progress" while deploring only the brusqueness of commercial ways. He knew that this wealth could lie in the South without belonging to the South: that it could be exploited without raising the South's pitiful rank in the national economy. He saw, noreover, that there was a group among his own people willing to become the instruments of Northern domination while wearing the garb of sectional loyalty. To betray an ideal at the very moment in which one praises it is an old pattern in history. Some of those who talked most glibly of the antebellum South were readiest to drive a bargain with Northern financial imperialism. They did not escape notice by the man who had learned politics in hard struggle against the money-power.

The South moved into the new century feeling renewed confidence in its future, striving manfully to put its case before the nation, but actually conceiving that case rather badly. With hopeful exceptions here and there, its people suffered from intellectual stagnation. They were paying a heavy price for the old boast that the South affords poor

soil for isms. The keener minds, who realized that no people can safely step aside from the stream of humanity and let it flow on, pleaded for a little more liberalism. a little more receptivity to new ideas. a little more creative enterprise in place of the old threshing about of the dead leaves of recollection. Decadence sets in when the inheritors of a tradition cut themselves off from the sources of vitality and become suspicious of all change. Somewhere a line has to be drawn between formalization on the one extreme and surrender to the flux on the other. The ultra-conservative Southerner, who worshipped the South in its crystallized form was as much at fault as the Yankee who turned his back upon history and thought of the past as just so much error. But the Southern press retained exactly the right degree of orthodoxy to keep conditions settled at home while disarming criticism from abroad; the arduous work of thinking problems out to their ultimate solutions was eschewed; and there was developing a dangerous tendency to be content with second-rate achievements while pluming oneself on possession of the softer virtues. An increasing number of persons showed all the signs of final acceptance of defeat, a weariness, a dedication to the less dangerous occupation of money-getting, and a willingness to collaborate with the conqueror on all points.

The South which entered the twentieth century had largely ceased to be a fighting South.

BIBLIOGRAFMY

Books and Articles

- Alexander, R. Porter, Military Memoirs of a Confederate. New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1907.
- Allen, James Lone, Plute and Violin, New York, The Recaillan Company, 1925.
- _____ The Reign of Law, New York, The Macmillan Company, 1900.
- Andrews, Eliza Frances, The War-time Journal of a Georgia Girl, New York, D. Appleton and Company, 1903.
- Avary, Myrta Lockett, Dixle After the Mar, New York, Doubleday, Page, and Company, 1906.
- Avirett, James B., The Memoirs of General Turner Ashby and <u>His Compeers</u>, Baltimore, Selby and Dulany, 1357.
- _____ The Old Plantation, New York, F. Tennyson Beely Company, 1901.
- Aycock, Charles Brantley, The Life and Speeches of Charles Brantley Aycock (ed. R. D. W. Connor and Clarence Fee), Sarden City, Doubleday, Fage and Company, 1912.
- Ealdwin, Joseph Glover, The Flush Times of Alabama and <u>Mississippi</u>, Americus, Ge., American Book Company, 1855.
- Beard, Charles A., The Economic Origins of Jeffersonian Democracy, New York, The Macmillan Company, 1927.
- Beatty, R. C., Colonel William Byrd of Mestover, Boston, Houghton, Mifflin Company, 1932.
- Beaty, John Oven, John Esten Cooke, Virginian, New York, Columbia University Press, 1922.
- Beauregard, P. G. T., A Conventary on the Campalan and Battle of Manassas, New York, G. P. Putman's Jons, 1891.

- Beveridge, Albert J., Abraham Lincoln (2 vols.), Boston Houghton, Mifflin Company, 1928.
- Beverley, Robert, The History of Virginia, Richmond, J. W. Randolph, 1855.
- Bledsoe, Albert Taylor, An Essay on Liberty and Slavery, Philadelphia, J. B. Lippincott Company, 1856.
- An Examination of President Edwards' Inquiry into the Freedom of the Will, Philadelphia, H. Mooker, 1045.
- _____ Is Davis a Traitor? Baltimore, Innes and Company, 1866.
- Brown, Samuel R., The Mestern Gazetteer; or Enigrants, Directory, Auburn, R. Y., H. C. Southwick, 1817.
- Brown, William Garrott, A Gentleman of the South, New York, The Eachillan Company, 1903.
- "The Ku Elux Hovement," Atlantic Monthly, LXXXVII (Nay, 1901), 634-644.
- Bruce, Philip A., Institutional History of Virginia (2 vols.) G. P. Putner's Sons, 1910.
- The Plantation Megro as a Freedman, New York, G. P. Putnam's Sons, 1089.
- Buck, Paul, The Hoad to Reunion, Boston, Little Brown and Company, 1937.
- Buckinghem, J. S., The Slave States of America, London, Paris, Fisher, Son and Company, 1842.
- Busch, Morits, <u>Bismarck:</u> Some Secret Pages of his History (2 vols.), New York, The Macmillan Company, 1090.
- Cable, George W., The Creoles of Louisiana, New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1884.
- John March, Southerner, New York, Crosset and Dumlap, Inc., 1894.
- The Negro Question, New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1910.

- Cable, George W., Old Creole Days, New York, Charles Seribaer's Sons, 1927.
- The Silent South, New York, Charles Scribner's
- Campbell, Lily B. (ed.), <u>Mirror for Magistrates</u>, Cambridge, The University Press, 1930.
- Carpenter, Jesse Thomas, The South as a Conscious Minority, New York, New York University Press, 1930.
- Cartwright, Peter, Autobiography, New York, Carlton and Porter, 1857.
- Cary, Constance, Recollections Grave and Gay, New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1911.
- Cash, W. J., The Mind of the South, New York, Alfred A. Knopf, Inc., 1941.
- Chamberlain, Daniel H., "Reconstruction in South Carolina," Atlantic Monthly, LXXXVII (April, 1901), 473-484.
- Chesnut, Kary Boykin, A Diary from Dixle, New York, D. Appleton.and Gempany, 1905.
- Chevalier, Michael, Society, Hanners and Folitics in the United States, Boston, Weeks, Jordan, and Company, 1839.
- Clark, Thomas D., The Rempaging Frontier, Indianapolis, The Bobbs-Merrill Company, 1939.
- Clarke, James Freeman, Autobiography, Diary and Correspondence, Boston, Houghton, Milfilin Company, 1891.
- Clay-Clopton, Virginia, A Belle of the Fiftles, New York, Doubleday, Page and Company, 1904.
- Code of Honor, The. Its Nationale and Uses, by the Tests of Common Sense and Good Morals, with the Effects of its Freventive Remedies, New Orleans, N. A. Brandag and Company, 1883.
- Cooke, John Esten, Harmer and Hapler, New York, C. W. Carleton and Company, 1370.

- Cooke, John Esten, The Heir of Gaymount, New York, Van Evrie, Norton and Company, 1970.
- <u>A Life of General Robert E. Lee</u>, New York, D. Appleton and Company, 1871.
- Kehun, or the Last Days of Lee and his Paladins, New York, F. J. Muntington, 1369.
- _____ Surry of Eagles-nest, New York, G. W. Dillingham,
- Couch, A. T. (ed.), <u>Culture in the South</u>, Chapel Hill, The University of North Carolina Press, 1934.
- Coulter; E. Merton, College Life in the Old South, New-York, The Macmillan Company, 1928.
- Craddock, Charles Egbert, Where the Battle Was Foucht, Boston, Houghton, Mifflin Company, 1892.
- Craven, Avery, Edmund Ruffin, Southerner, New York, D. Appleton and Company, 1932.
- The Repressible Conflict, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, The Louisiana State University Press; 1939.
- Curring, Kate, A Journal of Mospital Life, Louisville, John F. Korton and Company, 1806.
- Curry, J. L. N., The Southern States of the American Union, Richmond, B. F. Johnson Publishing Company, 1395.
- Cutting, Elizabeth, Jefferson Davis, New York, Dodd, Mead and Company, 1930.
- Dabney, Charles W., Universal Education in the South, Chapel Hill, The University of North Carolina Press, 1936.
- Debney, Robert Lewis, A Defense of Virginia and Through Her of the Bouth, Kew York, E. J. Hale and Son, 1567.

- Bebrey, Virginius, Liberelism in the South, Chapel Hill, The University of North Caroline Press, 1932.
- Baniel, F. S., <u>Recollections</u> of a <u>Rebel</u> Surgeon, Austin, Texas, Von Boeckmann, Schutze and Company, 1899.
- Barie, Jefferson, The Rise and Fall of the Confederate Geverament (2 vols.), New York, D. Apleton and Company, 1861.
- Meuson, Sarah Morgan, A <u>Confederate</u> Girl's Diary, Boston, Houghton, Mifflin Company, 1913.
- Dean, Henry Clay, The Crimes of the Civil Mar, Baltimore, printed for the publisher by Innes and Company, 1868.
- De Leon, Thomas Cooper, Belles, Beaux, and Brains of the 60's, New York, G. W. Dillingham, 1909.
- <u>Four Years in Rebel Capitals</u>, Mobile, Gossip Printing Company, 1890.
- Dixon, Thomas, The Clansman, New York, Doubleday, Page and Company, 1905.
- The Leopard's Spots: A Romance of the White Man's Burden, New York, Doubleday, Page and Company, 1902.
- The Traitor, New York, Doubleday, Page and Company 1907.
- Douglas, Henry Kyd, I Rode with Stonewall, Chapel Hill, The University of North Carolina Press, 1940.
- Duke, Basil W., <u>History of Morgan's Cavalry</u>, Cincinnati, <u>Miami Printing and Publishing Company</u>, 1867.
- Dunning, William A., Essays on the Civil War and Reconstruction, London, The Macmillan Company, 1898.
- Early, Jubal Anderson, A Memoir of the Last Year of the War for Independence, Lynchburg, Virginia, C. W. Britton, 1867.

- Baton, Clement, Freedom of Thought in the Old South, Durham, Duke University Press, 1940.
- Egglesten, George Cary, A Rebel's Recollections, New York, C. P. Putnam's Sons, 1389.
- Elliot, E. M. and others, <u>Cotton is King</u>, and <u>Pro-Slavery</u> <u>Arguments</u>, Augusta, Georgis, Fritchard, Abbott and Loomis, 1860.
- Perral, S. A. <u>A Ramble of Six Thousand Elles through the</u> United States of America, London, Effingham Wilson, 1852.
- Pitzgerald, C. P., Judge Longstreet, Nashville, Fublishing House of the Nethodist Episcopal Church, South, 1891.
- Fitsgerald, Virginia, "A Southern College Boy Eighty Years Ago," South Atlantic Quarterly, XX (July, 1921), 236-246.
- Fitshugh, George, Sociology for the South, Richmond, A. Horris, 1854.
- Plening, Walter L., Documentary History of Reconstruction (2 vola.), Cleveland, Arthur H. Clark, 1906-7.
- For, John Jr., The Little Shepherd of Kingdom Come, New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1909.
- Freeman, Douglas S., R. E. Lee (4 vols.), New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1934-5.
- The South to Posterity, New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1939.
- Premantle, Arthur J. L., Three Months in the Southern . States, New York, Bradburn, 1864.
- Fulkerson, Horace, <u>Eandom Recollections of Early Days in</u> <u>Mississippi</u>, Vicksburg, Vicksburg Frinting and Fublishing Company, 1885.
- Gaines, Francis Fendleton, The Southern Plantation, New York, Columbia University Press, 1924.
- Say, Mary A., Life in Dixie During the War, Atlanta, Foote and Davies, 1894.

- Shodes, Clarence, "Some Notes on the Unitarian Church in the Ante-bellum South," American Studies in Monor of William K. Boyd, Durham, Duke University Press, 1949, pp. 527-566.
- Gildersleeve, Basil, "The Creed of the Old South," Atlantic Monthly, LXIX (January, 1892), 75-87.
- Glasgow, Ellen, The Battle-Ground, Garden City, Doubleday, Page and Company, 1922.
- The Descendant, New York, Harper and Brothers, 1897.
- The Voice of the People, New York, Boubleday, Doran and Company, 1936.
- Gordon, John B., Reminiscences of the Civil Mar, New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1903.
- Grady, Henry N., The Complete Orations and Speeches, of Henry N. Grady, New York, Minds, Noble, and Eldredge, 1910.
- Grayson, William J., The Hireling and the Slave, Charleston, MeCarter and Company, 1856.
- Grund, Francis J., The Americans in their Moral, Social and Political Relations, Boston, Marsh, Capen and Lyon, 1336.
- Hague, Parthenia Antoinette, A <u>Blockaded</u> Pamily, Boston, Houghton, Mifflin Company, 1888.
- Hall, Basil, Travels in North America in the Years 1827 and 1928 (2 vols.), Philadelphia, Carey, Lea, and Carey, 1829.
- Hemilton, Thomas, Men and Hanners in America (2 vols.), Edinburgh, M. Blackwood, 1833.
- Herris, Joel Chandler, Free Joe and Other Georgia Sketches, Bew York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1897.

Gebriel Tolliver: A Story of Reconstruction, New York, Reclure, Phillips and Company, 1902.

Bood, Marthorna, Sathaniel, The Norks of (Standard Library Edition, Houghton, Kifflin Company, Bodgson, Resseltine, W. B., A History of the Frentice-Hall, Inc., 1941. Eeneyvill, Soy J., Jefférson, 1931. Baror th, Barris, Jefferson, The York, Jan 9 8 . ingrahan. Hubbell, Jay B., The Last Years of The Duke University Press, ierbert, terria. for the Hood Orphan Memerial Fund, 1880. 0. P. Hack and white, Moston, Julia Collier Evens, 1860. Register Fublishing Of the Confederacy. Paul. deorge Indianapolis, and Reservert, Chapel Mill, The University Carolina Frees, 1931. On the since of Occasions, Page and Company, 1900. and Hilary (ed.), Mhy the Solid South? tion and its Results, BuiltImore, R. and Company, 1890. <u>د</u>م • Thomas, Works (Federal Edition, Fr. G. P. Futnam's Sons, 1904-5. Brothers, The Educational Nork of Thomas Cambridge, Harvard University History of 1862. Sunny South. The bobbs-Merrill Company, 1925. Morks of Maition, Opt walry. Jane 4 Philadelphia, 調 Mathanial Hawthorne 15 vols.), Boston, 1003. New York, Doubleday, South-Sketches in R. Cadood, 1884. Thurog. New R 調合業 Or. Reconstruc-York, vols. York. С) е Mobile. Treas, Durham. Tublished • Editor ç • Marper NOV

Johnson, Louis Thomas Hew York, D. Appleton-Century in the 01d Inc.,

50E

[Jahnson, William B.7. The Loves of Jonathan and Virginia, Philadel, his Zno publisher/, 1873.

- Johnston, Joseph E., <u>Marrative of Military Operations</u>, New York, D. Appleton Company, 1374-
- Jenes, Charles C., Jr., <u>Historical Sketch of the Chatham</u> <u>Artillory</u>, Albeny, J. Munsell, 1367.
- Jones, John Beauchamp, A Rebel War Clerk's Diary (2 vols.), Philadelphia, J. B. Lippincott Company, 1866.
- Jones, J. William, Life and Letters of Robert Edward Lee, New York, The Neale Publishing Company, 1906.
- Kell, John McIntosh, Recellections of Neval Life, Washington, The Neals Company, 1900.
- Kennedy, John P., <u>Horse-Shoe</u> <u>Robinson</u>, New York, American Book Company, 1937.
- Swallow Barn, New York, G. P. Futnam's Sons, 1906.
- Kennedy, W. G., Ichabod, or, The Glory of the South Has Departed and Other Poems, Sumter, S. C., N. G. Kennedy, 1082.
- King, Grace, Balcony Stories, New York, The Macmillan Company, 1925.

Monsieur Motte, New York, A. C. Armstrong, 1888.

- _____<u>Tales of a Time and Place</u>, New York, Harper and Brothers, 1092.
- Kirke, Edmund /James Roberts Gilmore7, Life in Dixie's Land, London, Ward and Lock, 1863.
- Le Conte, Joseph, "Ware Sherman, Barkeley, University of California Press, 1937.
- Le Grand, Julia, The Journal of Julia Le Grand, Richmond, Everett, Waddey Company, 1911.
- London, Richard Bontley, 1883.
- Lloyd, Arthur Young, The Elevery Controversy, Chapel Hill, The University of Morth Carolina Fress, 1939.

- Longstreet, James, Prom Manassas to Appomattor, Philadelphia, J. B. Lippincott Company, 1896.
- Lothian, Marquess of, The Confederate Secession, Edinburgh, William Blackwood and Sons, 1864.
- Lant, George, The Origin of the Late Mer, New York, D. Appleton Gempany, 1867.
- Washington, Langures and O'Sullivan, 1840.
- Engrader, Julia, Across the Chasm, New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1005.
- Liss Avr of Virginia, Chicago, A. S. Stone and Company, 1896.
- Eslone, Dunas, Edwin &. Alderman, New York, Doubleday, Dorah Gompany, Inc., 1940.
- Marshall, Charles, An Alde-de-Camp of Lee, Boston, Little, Brown and Company, 1927.
- Martineau, Harriet, Society in America, New York, Saunders and Otley, 1037.
- Hanry, Dabney H., <u>Heminiscences of a Virginian in the</u> <u>Region, Indian, and Civil Mars</u>, New York, <u>Charles Scribner's Sons, 1894.</u>
- Willer, Stephen F., Bench and Bar of Géorgia, Philadelphia, J. P. Lippincott Company, 1858.
- Hins, Edwin, <u>Sidney Lenier</u>, Boston, Houghton Mifflin Company, 1905.
- Monteire, A., War Reminiscences by the Surgeon of Mosby's Germand, Michmond, Everett, Waddey Company, 1890.
- Morgan, Mrs. Irby, How It Was, Mashville, Fublishing House of the Methodist Spiscopal Church, South, 1392.
- Horton, Louis, Robert Carter of Nomini Hall, Williamsburg, Virginia, Colonial Williamsburg, 1941.
- Kosby, John Singleton, Mosby's Mar Reminiscences and Stuart's Cavalry Campaigns, New York, Godd, Mead and Company, 1837.

- Hones, Montrose J., The Literature of the South, Hew York, Thomas Y. Crowell Company, 1910.
- Europhy, Edgar Gardner, The Froblems of the Présent South, See York, The Macmillan Company, 1904.
- M'Caleb, Thomas (ed.), The Louisiane Book, New Orleans, B. F. Straughan, 1394.
- MeDonald, Mrs. Corneliá, <u>A Diary</u>, Mashville, Cullom and Chertner, 1935.
- McGuire, Judith W., Diary of a Southern Refugee, Richmond, J. W. Randolph, 1809.
- Mollwaine, Shields, The Southern Poor-Shite from Lubberland to Tobacco Road, Norman, University of Oklahoma Press, 1939.
- Olmsted, Prederick Law, The Cotton Kingdom (2 vols.), New York, Meson Brothers, 1961.
- A Journey in the Seaboard Slave States (2 vols.), New York, Dix and Edwards, 1856.
- Pege, Thomas Melson, Bred in the Bone, New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1912.
- The Burial of the Guns, New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1910.
- Gordon Heith, New York, Charles Scribner's Sons,
- John Mervel, Assistant, New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1910.
- The Old Dominion, New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1910.
- In Ole Virginia, New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1926.
- Red Rock, New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1925.
- The Southern Feople During Reconstruction," Atlantic Monthly, LXXXVII (September, 1901), 289-304.

- Page, Walter Mines, The Rebuilding of Old Componwealths, New York, Doubleday, Page and Company, 1905.
- The Southerner, A Novel; Being the Autobiography of Micholas Worth, New York, Doubleday, Page and Company, 1909.
- "Study of an Old Southern Borough," Atlantic Konthly, XLVII (May, 1881), 648-658.
- Parrington, Vernon L., <u>Main Currents in American Thought</u> (3 vols.), New York, Marcourt, Brace and Company, Inc., 1927-30.
- Pender, Phoebe Tates, A Southern Noman's Story, New York, G. W. Carleton, 1379.
- Fhillips, U. B., Life and Labor in the Old South, Boston, Listle, Brown and Company, 1929.
- Pike, James S., The Prostrate State, New York, D. Appleton and Company, 1874.
- Poe, Edgar Allan, The Complete Norks of Edgar Allan Poe (17 vols.), New York, E. R. Dumont, 1902.
- Pluterch, Lives of Illustrious Men (3 vols.), Chicago, S. A. Maxwell Company, n.d.
- Pollard, Edward A., Life of Jefferson Davis, Thiladelphia, Estimal Fublishing Company, 1869.

The Lost Cause, New York, E. B. Treat, 1866.

- Power, Tyrene, Impressions of America; During the Years 1853, 1034, and 1855 (2 vola.), Philadelphia, Carey, Lea, and Blanchard, 1836.
- Pryor, Ers. Roger A., <u>Reminiscences</u> of <u>Peace</u> and <u>Mar</u>, New York, The Macmillan Company, 1905.
- Putnem, Sallie A., <u>Richmond During the</u> War, New York, G. W. Carleton and Company, 1867.
- Quintard, Charles Todd, Doctor Quintard, Sewanee, Tenn., The University Press, 1905.
- Remsdell, Charles W., "Lincoln and Fort Sumter," Journal of Southern History, III (August, 1937), 259-200.

- Brad, Opie, The American Cavaller, Chicago, Thompson and Thomas, 1904.
- A Kentucky Colonel, Chicago, F. J. Schulte and Company, 1891.
- A Tonnessee Judge, Chicago, Laird and Lee, 1893.
- Richardson, E. Ramsay, <u>Little Aleck</u>; Indianapolis, The Bobbs-Nerrill Company, 1932.
- Roman, Alfred, Military Operations of General Beauregard, New York, Harper and Brothers, 1084.
- Rees, Pitsgerald, A Visit to the Cities and Camps of the Confederate States, London, William Blackwood and Sons, 1865.
- Royall, William L., Some Reminisconces; New York, The Seale Fublishing Company, 1909.
- Sage, Bernard, The Republic of Republics, Boston, Little, Brown and Company, 1001.
- Seitz, Don, C., <u>Pemous American</u> Buels, New York, Thomas Y. Crowell Company, 1929.
- Semmes, Raphael, <u>A Memoir of Service Afloat</u>, Baltimore, Kelly, Piet, and Company, 1869.
- Sime, William Gilmore, Poems Descriptive, Drematic, Legendary, and Contemplative, New York, Medfield, 1853.
- Sinclair, Arthur, Two Years on the Alabasa, Boston, Lee and Shephard, 1896.
- Smedes, Susan Dabney, Memorials of a Southern Planter, Baltimore, Cushings and Bailey, 1888.
- Smith, F. Hopkinson, Colonel Carter of Cartersville, New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1908.
- <u>Colonel Carter's Christmas</u>, New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1907.
- Smith, John, Travels and Works of Captain John Smith (2 vols.), Sdinburgh, John Grant, 1910.

- Southwood, Marion, Beauty and Booty, New York, published for the author by N. Doolady, 1867.
- Spencer, Cornelia Spencer, The Last Einety Days of the Mar in North Caroline, New York, Watchman Publishing Company, 1866.
- Spencer, Theodors, Death and Elizabethan Tragedy, Cambridge, Harvard University Fress, 1936.
- Stephens, Alexander H., A <u>Constitutional View of the Late</u> <u>Sar Between the States</u> (2 vols.), Philadelphia, <u>Mational Publishing Company</u>, 1868-70.
- Stiles, Robert, Four Years Under Marse Robert, New York, Neale Publishing Company, 1903.
- Sullivan, Edward, Rambles and Scrambles in North and South America, London, R. Bentley, 1852.
- Sweet, W. W., The Methodist Episcopal Church and the Civil Ner, Cincinnati, W. K. Stewart, 1912.
- Sydnor, Charles, "The Southerner and the Laws," Journal of Southern History, VI (February, 1940), 3-23.
- Tandy, Jeannette Reid, "<u>Pro-Slevery Propaganda in</u> American Fiction in the Piftles, <u>South Atlantic</u> <u>Guarterly</u>, XXI (January, 1922), 41-50.
- Tate, Allen, Reactionary Essays on Fostry and Ideas, New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1936.
- Taylor, Richard, Destruction and Reconstruction, New York, D. Appleton Company, 1079.
- Thomas, P. W., John Bandolph of Roanoke and Other Sketches of Cheracters, Including William Wirt, Philadelphia, A Mart, 1853.
- Trent, William Feterfield, "Dominant Porces in Southern Life," <u>Atlantic Konthly</u>, LXXIX (January, 1897), 42-53.
- "Gleanings from an Old Southern Newspaper," Atlantic Monthly, LXXXV (September, 1900), 356-364.
- Robert E. Lee, Boaton, Small, Maynard and Company, 1899.

- Promb, William Poterfield, William Gilmore Simas, Boston, Houghbon, Mifflin Company, 1392.
- Trollope, Frences, Demostic Manners of the Americana, London, Whittaker, Treacher, and Company, 1932.
- Tucker, H. B., The Cavaliers of Virginia, London, A. K. Seeman, 1857.
- Teelve Southerners, <u>111 Take My Stand</u>, New York, Harper and Brothers, 1930.
- Nade, John Donald, <u>Augustus</u> Baldwin Longstreet, New York, The Nacmillan Company, 1924.
- Profite and Losses in the Life of Joel Chandler Marris, ⁸ American Neview, I (April, 1933), 17-35.
- Sarro-Cornish, F., Chivalry, London, The Macmillan Company, 1901.
- Washington, George, The Writings of George Washington from the Official Menuscript Sources (57 vols.), Washington, United States Frinting Office, 1931-40.
- Waterson, Henry, The Compromises of Life, New York, Fox, Duffield and Company, 1903.
- Wertenbaker, Thomas Jefferson, The First Americans, New York, Kacmillan Company, 1927.
- Patrician and Flebeian in Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, The Michie Company, 1910.
- Whipple, Henry Benjamin, Southern Diary, Minneapolis, The University of Minnesota Press, 1937.
- Wilkinson, J., Barrative of a Blockade Runner, New York, Sheldon and Company, 1877.
- Williamson, James J., Mosby's Rengers, New York, R. B. Kenyen, 1896.
- Silson, Augusta Evans, Devota, New York, C. W. Dillingham, 1907.
- <u>Macaria; or, Altará of Sacrifice</u>, Richmond, West and Johnston, 1864.

Wilson, Augusta Erana, St. Elmo, Chicago, M. A. Donohus and Company, n.d.

A Speakled Bird, New York, G. W. Dillingham, 1902.

- Wilson, John Lyde, "The Code of Honor; or Rules for the Geverament of Principals and Seconds in Duelling," Charleston, James Phinney, 1858.
- Wilson, Woodrow, Division and Réunion, New York, Longmans, Green and Company, 1993.
- "The Reconstruction of the Southern States," Atlantic Konthly, LXXXVII (January, 1901), 1-15.
- Wirt, William, Letters of a British Spy. New York, Harper and Brothers, 1061.
- Wise, John S., The End of an Era, Boston, Houghton, Mifflin Company, 1900.

 \sim

- Wright, Louis B., The First Gentlemen of Virginia, San Barine, California, The Huntington Library, 1940.
- Wright, Louise Wigfall, A Southern Girl in 161, New York, Doubleday, Page and Company, 1905.
- Teats, W. B., A Vision, London, The Eachillan Company, 1937.

CONTEMPORARY SOUTHERN PERIODICALS

Bob Taylor's Magazine (Mashville, 1905-1906).

Confederate Veteran, The (Hashville, 1993-1932).

Danville Quarterly Review (Danville, Ky., 1861-1864).

DeBow's Review (New Orleans, 1846-1880).

Land We Love, The (Charlotte, 1966-1869).

New Eclectic, The (Baltimore, 1869-1870).

Our Living and Our Dead (Raleigh, 1874-1876).

Richmand Relectic, The (Richmond, 1866-1868). Russell's Magazine (Charleston, 1857-1860). Scott's Monthly Magazine (Atlantic, 1865-1869). Southern Bivouse, The (Louisville, 1885-1887). Southern Historical Society Papers (Richmond, 1876-1909). Southern Historical Society Papers (Richmond, 1834-1864). Southern Literary Messenger, The (Richmond, 1834-1864). Southern Magazine, The (Baltimore, 1870-1875). Southern Quarterly Review (Charleston, 1842-1855). Southern Review, The (Charleston, 1828-1832). Southern Review, The (Baltimore, 1867-1879). Taylor-Trotwood Magazine, The (Nashville, 1907-1910). Tem Watson's Jeffersonian Magazine (Atlanta, 1907-1915). Tretwood's Konthly (Mashville, 1905-1906).

Pemphlets

Alderman, R. A., "Sectionalism and Nationality," New York, The New England Society of the City of New York, 1902.

"The Spirit of the South," Berkeley, The University of Calfornia Fress, 1906.

- Eagby, George W., "A Waek in Hepsidam," Richmond, Geo. W. Cary, 1879.
- Brune, J. Dickson, N. D., "Address to the White League of New Orleans," New Orleans, A. H. Hystt, 1875.
- Campbell, James B., "Two Letters from the Hon. James B. Campbell, U. S. Senator from South Carolina, on Public Affeirs, and our Duties to the Colored Race," Charleston, Walker, Evans, and Cogswell, 1868.

- Chamberlayne, John Mampdon, "Why Despair?" Richmond, Jack E. Coute, 1880.
- "Civis" /E. Purped T "The Public School in Its Helation Sector Negro," Richmond, Clemmitt and Jones, 1877.
- Dabney, & L., "The New South," Raleigh, Edwards, Broughton, and Company, 1882.
- Fusilebug, Fritz /pseudf/, "Frison Life During the Rebellion Being a Brief Harrative of the Miseries and Sufferings of Six Hundred Confederate Frisoners Sent from Port Delaware to Morris' Island to be Funished," Singer's Olen, Virginia, Joseph Funk's Sons, 1869.
- Gordon, John N., "Burial of a Confederate Soldier," /ne imprint/, 1865?
 - "Southern Rights, and Northern Wrongs," Norfolk, Hodges Printing Company, 1872.
- Harris, Chas,7, "The State Sovereignty Record of Hassachusetts By a Son of Norfolk," Norfolk, J. W. Patherly, 1872.
- Lawrence, Effingham, "Race Issue," New Orleans, Jas. A. Gresham, 1874.
- EcKim, Rev., Randolph H., "Lee The Christian Hero," Zashington, D. C., Brentano's, 1907.
- Horwood, Themas N., "Civil Rights," Washington, U. S. Covernment Printing Office, 1874.
- Palmer, B. M., "The Present Chisis and Its Issues," Baltimore, John Murphy, 1872.
- Pickens, Mon. Francis W., "Letter of Hon. Francis W. Fickens. The Grops and Condition of the Country. Effects of Emancipation. The Different Races of Mankind," Charleston, Courier Job Press, 1866.
- Nhett, R. B., Jr., "A Farewell to Subscribers of the Charleston Mercury," Charleston, Courier Job Fress, 18681
- Ruffin, Frank G., "The Cost and Outcome of Negro Education in Virginia," Richmond, Everett Waddey, 1889.

- Rutledge, Onl. B. H., "Address" (Memorial Day, May 10, 1875), Chapleston /no imprint/.
- Stephens, Alexander H., "Address of Hon. Alexander H. Stephens Before the General Assembly of the State of Georgia, 22nd February, 1866," Milledgeville, Georgia, Boughton, Misbet, Barnes, and Moore, 1866.
- Chattenooga /no imprint/, 1890.
- Wardlaw, James B., "Southern Literature- Its Status and Outlook," Macon, J. W. Burke, 1890.

General

- Battles and Leaders of the Civil War (ed. R. U. Johnson and C. C. Buel), New York, The Century Company, 1887-83. 4 vols.
- Confederate Filitary History (ed. Clement A. Evans), Atlanta, Confederate Fublishing Company, 1899. 12 vols.
- Congressional Globe (XVII), Washington, Blair and Rives, 1848.
- Dictionary of American Biography (ed. Allen Johnson), New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1928-37. 20 vols.
- Library of Southern Literature (ed. R. A. Alderman and Joel Chandler Marris), Atlanta, Martin and Hoyt Company, 1909. 16 vols.
- Register of Debates (IV), Washington, Gales and Seaton, 1828.
- South in the Building of the Nation, The, Michmond, The Southern Mistorical Fublishing Society, 1909. 12 vols.
- War of the Robellion, Washington, Government Frinting Office, 1930-1901. 70 vols.

The author was born in Asheville, North Carolina, Wareh 3, 1910. When he was six his family removed to Lexington, Kentucky, where he received his primary education, and where he entered the University of Kentucky in 1927. He graduated with distinction in 1932, and the following year he accepted a graduate scholarship at Vanderbilt University. At Vanderbilt, where he spent the years 1933-36, he became acquainted with the Southern Agrarian group, who first showed him the significance of the Southern heritage and led him to investigate the subject of this dissertation.

In 1936-37 he was instructor in English in the Alabama Polytechnic Institute; and in 1937-h0 acting assistant professor in the Agricultural and Mechanical College of Texas. In the fall of 1940 he came to the Louisiana State University to work with Cleanth Brooks and Robert Fenn Warren.

He has attended summer sessions at the Sorbonne, Harvard University, and the University of Virginia.

VTTA

EXAMINATION AND THESIS REPORT

Candidate: Richard Malcolm Weaver

Major Field: English

Title of Thesis: The Confederate South, 1865-1910; a Study in the Survival of a" Mind and a Culture

Approved:

Learth Brooks Major Professor and Chairman Dean of the Gradua iool

EXAMINING COMMITTEE:

Date of Examination:

Hpril 6, 1943